THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 2010 NINE THIRTY A.M.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA STATE OF ILLINOIS COUNTY OF WILL

County Executive Walsh called the meeting to order.

Member Stewart led in the Pledge of Allegiance to our Flag.

Member Stewart introduced Assistant Minister Naomi Brown, Mt. Zion Baptist Church of Joliet.

Roll call showed the following Board members present: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-four.

Absent: Wisniewski, Traynere. Total: Two

COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALSH DECLARED A QUORUM PRESENT.

Member Adamic made a motion, seconded by Member Seiler, the Certificate of Publication be placed on file.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-four.

No negative votes.

THE CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION IS PLACED ON FILE.

Member Moustis made a motion, seconded by Member Singer, to appoint Ms. Laurie McPhillips to the vacancy in Will County Board District #3.



LAWRENCE M. WALSH WILL COUNTY EXECUTIVE

WILL COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING • 302 N. CHICAGO STREET • JOLIET, ILLINOIS 60432

Phone (815) 774-7480 Fax: (815) 740-4600

APPOINTMENTS BY THE WILL COUNTY EXECUTIVE

February 2010

Will County Board District 3

Laurie McPhillips 13410 Wood Duck Drive, Plainfield, IL 60585

New appointment - Replaces Susan Riley

*Ms. McPhillips is a resident of County Board District 3 in Will County and is qualified to serve.

Note:

Ms. McPhillips was recommended by Will County Republican Chairman Richard J. Kavanagh

Submitted to the Will County Board February 11, 2010

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-four.

No negative votes.

APPOINTMENT OF LAURIE McPHILLIPS TO WILL COUNTY BOARD DISTRICT #3 IS APPROVED.

Ms. Laurie McPhillips came forward and County Clerk Voots swore her in to the position of Will County Board Member of Will County Board District 3.

Member McPhillips stated that I feel like a homecoming here. I started the County in 1985. There are still a couple of Board Members that were on the Board when I started in 1985 and at least this homecoming I get a date; my husband is here. I'm just really excited to be back. It's great to be back. First I want to thank Ms. Suzanne Hart who was our running mate with Member Dralle and myself for allowing me this opportunity so she can continue to serve on the Naperville Park District and the citizens of Naperville. So thank you Suzanne. And my husband as well who said when I told him I wanted to run for County Board said "are you nuts". That wasn't his exact words. I'm glad to be back and I'm looking forward to working hard. I'll hit the ground running. Thank you.

Member Moustis stated that for me it's pretty strange when I see a colleague on the County Board who went to school with my kids, my one daughter. Now I'm starting to feel kind of old. But I'm not just that father figure, but grandfather figure. That's strange.

Member Kusta made a motion, seconded by Bilotta to seat Newly Appointed County Board Member McPhillips.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-four.

No negative votes.

MOTION TO SEAT NEWLY APPOINTED COUNTY BOARD MEMBER McPHILLIPS IS APPROVED.

County Executive Walsh welcomed Member McPhillips.

Member Gould made a motion, seconded by Member May, to approve the January 21, 2010 County Board Minutes.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-four.

No negative votes.

THE MINUTES FOR THE JANUARY 21, 2010 COUNTY BOARD MEETING HAVE BEEN APPROVED.

Elected officials present were: Auditor, Duffy Blackburn; County Clerk, Nancy Schultz Voots; County Executive, Larry Walsh; Recorder of Deeds, Karen A. Stukel; and Sheriff, Paul Kaupas.

News media present were: Dennis Sullivan, Chicago Tribune; Michael Cleary, Farmers Weekly Review.

CITIZENS TO BE HEARD

County Executive Walsh announced there are citizens to be heard and they will be able to speak at the appropriate time. He further stated that there will be two public hearings today and that there is a signup sheet in the back of the room. County Executive Walsh asked that if you are here to speak on any zoning case, public hearing, or if you are here to speak on the public hearing in regard to the Waste Management issue, see Ms. Marie Tidwell in the back of the room to get signed up.

HONORARY RESOLUTIONS/PROCLAMATIONS

County Executive Walsh stated that Member Moustis has a plaque honoring Member Riley for her Years of Service.

Member Moustis stated that he will give the presentation at his desk. He said that Member Riley is not able to join us. She is spending some time in a warmer climate. I wish I could do the same.

PLAQUE WITH GAVEL:

SUSAN C. RILEY

IN APPRECIATION FOR YOUR 18 YEARS OF DEDICATED SERVICE TO THE CITIZENS OF WILL COUNTY AS COUNTY BOARD COMMISSIONER, 13 YEARS ON THE INSURANCE & PERSONNEL COMMITTEE, 11 OF WHICH WERE AS CHAIRMAN AND VICE-CHAIRMAN, AS WELL AS VICE-CHAIRMAN OF PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE AND SERVICE ON THE HEALTH & AGING COMMITTEE, FINANCE COMMITTEE, EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS COMMITTEE. Member Moustis commented that as you can see Ms. Riley has served well. She served on many committees. We appreciate all her service, she will be missed. We will get the plaque to Ms. Riley.

Member Moustis made a motion, seconded by Member Maher, Presentation of Plaque to former County Board Member Susan Riley for her years of service.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-four.

No negative votes.

PRESENTATION OF PLAQUE TO FORMER COUNTY BOARD MEMBER SUSAN RILEY FOR HER YEARS OF SERVICE IS APPROVED.

County Executive Walsh stated that we want to thank Ms. Riley for many, many years of dedication and service.

County Executive Walsh asked next if Ms. Julie McCabe and Member Dralle would come forward for a presentation of the check from the Joliet Elk's Lodge #296.

Member Dralle stated I'm always excited to have the Elk's here. For our County Board Member's information, this is the fourth year that the Joliet Elk's Lodge has come forward to present us with a check for the Juvenile Drug Court Program. This morning we have with us Mr. Bill Boyce. Mr. Boyce is past Exalted Ruler of the Joliet Lodge and past day President of the Illinois Elk's Association. We also have Mr. Mark Bump. He is District Jeopardy Grand Exalted Ruler 2009 – 2010 and District Vice President of Illinois Elk's Association and Mr. Earl "Muggs" McGary. Good morning Exalted Ruler of the Lodge and past President Joliet Elk's Lodge. Let's give a round of applause.

Applause....

Member Dralle stated we have someone here for the fourth time and their commitment is to helping our juvenile programs through the County. The mission of the Elk's Drug Awareness Program is to promote constructive and cooperative approaches to the prevention of the use of illicit substances by the youth of the United States of America. This will be accomplished through education of students and parents and by sixteen Scholastic Institutions with programs and materials. Just a little background; the Elk's National Drug Awareness Program strives to teach all children, parents about the dangers of illegal drug use and prevent the abuse of legalized prescription drugs. As the largest volunteer Drug Awareness Program in the United States, the programming relies on State, District and Lodge Volunteers to promote a drug free lifestyle. By taking pride in America's community and youth the Program takes action against youth drug use through education inspiration. The Elk's believe that the youth of today are the leaders of tomorrow. With that in mind, the Elk's Drug Awareness Program reaches out to youth of all ages and backgrounds through the 2100 lodges and communities across the country. At this time I would like to ask Mr. Boyce if he would like to make a couple comments and then we'll ask him for the check.

Mr. Boyce came forward and stated that it is indeed a pleasure to be here and what a worthy purpose. We can't think of anything better that we can do then to give this money the purpose it's going to go to. When we received these funds, we can select what we want to do with it. We could give it to an individual; we can give it to a college student, what have you. But we really feel from the bottom of our hearts that this is going to do more good for more people and to help these young people to come back to us. Society could come back willingly, knowledgeable of what the problems were and the solutions to those problems. So, were very happy and it's my privilege to have Mr. McGary and Mr. Bump with us today.

PRESENTATION OF THE CHECK FROM THE JOLIET ELK'S LODGE #296 TO THE WILL COUNTY JUVENILE DRUG COURT PROGRAM IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,000.00.

Ms. Julie McCabe stated thank you to the County Board for letting us have it presented here. I'm sure as you follow the news; you'll see the heroin epidemic that we have now. A hundred teenagers in the northern part of Illinois died last year from heroin overdoses. It is our sincere hope that we will be able to draw more juveniles into our program. We have our Adult Drug Court Graduation this afternoon and the majority of those graduates started using drugs by the time they were fourteen. So, we need to address it and we need to address it now. And with the County Board and budget crisis that we are in, it's only with the help of these organizations that we continue to operate, so thank you.

County Executive Walsh asked Member Winfrey to please come forward to make a presentation a Proclamation Recognizing February as Black History Month and the National Hook-Up of Black Women.

Member Winfrey stated thank you County Executive Walsh. In 1973 a group of women from all over the country met in Washington D.C. during the national black caucus and put together a group called the National Hook-Up of Black Women. They intended to support the black community, but especially women and children in that community. They went back to their respective states and organized chapters. With a goal of encouraging education, promoting economic development, providing networking opportunities, employment opportunities and fostering the spirit of empowerment among women. In 1991 Dorothy Campbell, organized a group of women in the Joliet area to be one of those Chapters. Since then that camp (inaudible) of women has grown to a group of over a hundred women. They have also added an Associates Chapter, men who believe in their goals who support them and want to provide positive role models. They have also organized a junior chapter, young girls 11 to 17 whom they mentor and they encourage to make their educational goals and to also become productive members of the community. They have partnered with several local groups and agencies to provide services to the community, opened to the public and all those services are free. Since their inception they have worked tirelessly to improve conditions in the Joliet community and I'm proud to be a member of such a prestigious group. I have with me this morning, Ms. Luanne Johnson who is

President of the Joliet Chapter of the National Hook-Up of Black Women. Now I'm gonna ask all those in the house who are members, associate members, junior members to please stand.

PROCLAMATION

RECOGNIZING FEBRUARY AS BLACK HISTORY MONTH AND NATIONAL HOOK-UP OF BLACK WOMEN

WHEREAS, the Will County Board wishes to acknowledge the efforts of the African-American community at all times, but especially during the period set aside for recognition, and

WHEREAS, the Will County Board understands the rich tradition of internal support that exists in the African American community, and

WHEREAS, the National Hook-Up of Black Women, Joliet Chapter is an organization that embodies that tradition, and

WHEREAS, the NHBW, because of its ongoing efforts toward literacy has recently, in partnership with the Silver Cross Hospital, established a family reading room, and

WHEREAS, the NHBW continues to provide support for educational and social development with its Passport to Success mentoring program, and

WHEREAS, the NHBW recognizes the need for further education by awarding annual scholarships, and

WHEREAS, the NHBW understands the health needs of the underserved community and provides free eye exams and eye glasses, prostate screening, HIV/AIDS testing and counseling, glucose and blood pressure screening, and

WHEREAS, all programs sponsored by the National Hook-Up of Black Women, Joliet Chapter are free to the public.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board and the Will County Executive recognize February as Black History Month and recognizes the National Hook-Up of Black Women as an organization that exemplifies the beliefs on which Black History Month was founded.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Board and the Will County Executive encourage the citizens of Will County to celebrate our diverse heritage and the continuing efforts to build a better tomorrow for all our children and grandchildren.

DATED THIS 18TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2010.

ATTEST:

LAWRENCE M. WALSH WILL COUNTY EXECUTIVE

NANCY SCHULTZ VOOTS WILL COUNTY CLERK

Member Winfrey made a motion, seconded by Member Brooks, Proclamation Recognizing February as Black History Month and the National Hook-Up of Black Women.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-four.

No negative votes.

PROCLAMATION RECOGNIZING FEBRUARY AS BLACK HISTORY MONTH AND THE NATIONAL HOOK-UP OF BLACK WOMEN IS APPROVED.

County Executive Walsh offered his congratulations.

Ms. Luanne Johnson stated I want to thank the County Board for giving us this prestigious honor for the National Hook-Up of Black Women. We are a community service organization and our main focus is education. Because as the Elk's said earlier, the youth of today is the leaders of tomorrow. If we don't educate them then we got a big problem. Thank you, and to all my sisters that came out.

County Executive Walsh stated Majority Leader Bilotta will read into record a Proclamation Recognizing May 12, 2010 as Health Care Worker Appreciation Day.

Member Bilotta stated he would like to recognize through a Proclamation that May 12, 2010 is Health Care Worker Appreciation Day. There are over 140 hospitals and health care organizations that are part of the Chicagoland Metropolitan area. We just want to thank them for their service to their clients and to the community with this special recognition and this proclamation.

PROCLAMATION

RECOGNIZING MAY 12, 2010 AS HEALTH CARE WORKER APPRECIATION DAY

WHEREAS, health care organizations of the Chicago area, including Will County, are both dedicated and committed to providing quality care for their communities; and

WHEREAS, all members of the health care team - nurses, allied health professionals, support staff, financial services personnel, administration, physicians and volunteers - are recognized as a vital component to providing the very best health care available; and

WHEREAS, these individuals' contributions not only enhance but reinforce the wellbeing of communities throughout Will County; and

WHEREAS, the more than 140 hospitals and health care organizations that are Metropolitan Chicago Healthcare Council members wish to pay homage to health care workers for their unwavering commitment and contributions at work and in their communities.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board and the Will County Executive do hereby designate May 12, 2010 as

Health Care Worker Appreciation Day In Will County

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Board and the Will County Executive urge all residents to recognize the many contributions of health care workers.

DATED THIS 18TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

ATTEST:

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Moustis, Proclamation Recognizing May 12, 2010 as Health Care Worker Appreciation Day.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-four.

No negative votes.

PROCLAMATION RECOGNIZING MAY 12, 2010 AS HEALTH CARE WORKER APPRECIATION DAY HAS BEEN APPROVED.

OLD BUSINESS

STATUS OF UNSIGNED OR RETURNED RESOLUTIONS/ORDINANCES BY THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

County Executive Walsh stated that all Resolutions from the January 21, 2010 and February 11, 2010 County Board Agendas have been signed by the County Executive.

NEW BUSINESS

LAND USE PLANNING, ZONING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE Tom Weigel, Chairman

Member Weigel made a motion, seconded by Member Kusta to open Public Hearing for all Land Use cases.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

PUBLIC HEARING FOR ALL LAND USE CASES IS OPENED AT 10:07 A.M.

County Executive Walsh announced we are in open Public Hearing. Absolutely no new evidence or information will be allowed once this Land Use Public Hearing is closed. Today we have two cases; Case #5889-M and #5890-SV2. County Executive Walsh asked three times if there was anyone from the general public that wishes to speak on any of these cases.

Member Weigel made a motion, seconded by Member Singer, to close Public Hearing for all Land Uses Cases.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

PUBLIC HEARING FOR LAND USE CASES IS CLOSED AT 10:09 A.M.

Member Weigel presented Case #5889-M, Zoning Map Amendment from A-1 to E-2 in Wesley Township.



ORDINANCE AMENDING THE "WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS ZONING ORDINANCE" Adopted and Approved September 9, 1947 as amended

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of Will County, Illinois has recommended the reclassification of a certain area within the County as hereinafter described; and

WHEREAS, it appears that such recommendation was based upon a duly advertised hearing by said Planning and Zoning Commission of Will County affecting property located in <u>Wesley</u> Township where such area is situated; and

WHEREAS, it appears that due notice of the time and place of such hearing was published in a paper of general circulation in Will County, Illinois; that such hearing was duly and properly held, and that report thereof has been made to this Board within thirty days after such hearing;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the County Board of Will County, Illinois that:

<u>Section 1.</u> That the "Will County Zoning Ordinance", approved September 9, 1947, as amended, be and the same is hereby amended by reclassifying the area described as follows:

MAP AMENDMENT FROM A-1 TO E-2

SEE ATTACHED FOR LEGAL DESCRIPTION

Section 2. That the County Clerk shall alter the map of said territory described in Subsection 3.1, Section 3 of said Will County Zoning Ordinance to indicate such revised classification and shall certify such alteration by her signature and the date thereof, file the same and make available for public reference.

<u>Section 3.</u> This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law (or passage, approval and publication as provided by law).

CASE NO: <u>5889-M</u>	APPELLANT	: <u>Charles J & Catherine A. Butcher,</u> <u>Owners</u> <u>William A. Francis, Attorney at Law</u>
Adopted by the Will County Board this	<u>18th</u> day of	February , 2010
Vote: Yes No Pass		Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk
Approved this <u>day of</u>	, 2010	Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Weigel made a motion, seconded by Member Rozak, Zoning Map Amendment from A-1 to E-2 in Wesley Township be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

ZONING MAP AMENDMENT FROM A-1 TO E-2 IN WESLEY TOWNSHIP IS APPROVED.

Member Weigel presented Case #5890-SV2, Special Use Permit for Floodplain Development in Wilmington Township. It was noted at the caucus that there was a typo in the legal description. It says "eat" instead of "east". That will be changed by the staff prior to a Signature on this Resolution. Land Use Committee recommends approval and I so move with one condition.



ORDINANCE AMENDING THE "WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS ZONING ORDINANCE" Adopted and Approved September 9, 1947 as amended

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of Will County, Illinois has recommended the reclassification of a certain area within the County as hereinafter described; and

WHEREAS, it appears that such recommendation was based upon a duly advertised hearing by said Planning and Zoning Commission of Will County affecting property located in <u>Wilmington</u> Township where such area is situated; and

WHEREAS, it appears that due notice of the time and place of such hearing was published in a paper of general circulation in Will County, Illinois; that such hearing was duly and properly held, and that report thereof has been made to this Board within thirty days after such hearing;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the County Board of Will County, Illinois that:

Section 1. That the "Will County Zoning Ordinance", approved September 9, 1947, as amended, be and the same is hereby amended by reclassifying the area described as follows:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT FOR FLOODPLAIN DEVELOPMENT WITH ONE (1) CONDITION

 Upon fourteen (14) days of written notice to the owner of record at their last known address, Will County Land Use Department and Will County Sheriff's Department employees are hereby granted the right of entry in and upon the premises for the purpose of inspecting the premises and uses thereon for compliance with the terms and conditions of the Special Use Permit.

UNIT 3 IN BARDWELL PLACE CONDOMINIUM, AS DELINEATED ON A SURVEY OF THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED REAL ESTATE: A TRACT OF LAND BEING PART OF THE EAST ½ OF THE EAST ½ OF SECTION 7, TOWNSHIP 33 NORTH, RANGE 9 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

25748 COTTAGE ROAD, WILMINGTON, ILLINOIS

<u>Section 2.</u> That the County Clerk shall alter the map of said territory described in Subsection 3.1, Section 3 of said Will County Zoning Ordinance to indicate such revised classification and shall certify such alteration by her signature and the date thereof, file the same and make available for public reference.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law (or passage, approval and publication as provided by law).

CASE NO: <u>5890-SV2</u>	APPELLANT: John & Vicky Ramuta, Owners
Adopted by the Will County Board this	<u>18th</u> day of <u>February</u> , 2010
Vote: Yes No Pass	Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk
Approved this <u>day of</u>	, 2010 Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Weigel made a motion, seconded by Member Gould, Special Use Permit for Floodplain Development in Wilmington Township be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

SPECIAL USE PERMIT FOR FLOODPLAIN DEVELOPMENT IN WILMINGTON TOWNSHIP IS APPROVED.

FINANCE COMMITTEE Edward Kusta, Chairman

Member Kusta stated good morning everybody. I have a couple of reports to place on file. Member Kusta presented the following reports:

- 1. Report from the Illinois Department of Revenue showing sales tax remitted to Will County for the month of December, 2009 to be \$1,164,689.94. The RTA Tax received is \$1,410,013.10 for a total of \$2,574,703.04.
- 2. Will County Monthly County Treasurer Report from Will County Treasurer, Pat McGuire, dated January 31, 2010.

Member Kusta made a motion, seconded by Member Winfrey, to place the above mentioned correspondence on file.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

CORRESPONDENCE IS PLACED ON FILE.

Member Kusta Presented Resolution #10-31, Authorizing Approval Of Lease With Pitney Bowes for New Mail Machine (Emergency Replacement Necessary).



Finance Committee Resolution #10-31

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

AUTHORIZING APPROVAL OF LEASE WITH PITNEY BOWES FOR NEW MAIL MACHINE (EMERGENCY REPLACEMENT NECESSARY)

WHEREAS, the Will County Purchasing Ordinance requires that in order to make an emergency procurement, there must exist a threat to public health, welfare, or safety, or to prevent or minimize serious disruption of government services, and

WHEREAS, after numerous attempts to repair the existing mail machine, the Will County Executive's Office declared that in order to minimize serious disruption of governmental services, an emergency replacement of the mail machine was necessary, and

WHEREAS, the Will County Executive's Office obtained a quote from the existing vendor for the lease of a new mail machine from Pitney Bowes, and a quote of \$2,374.00 per month for 39 months was received, and

WHEREAS, the Finance Committee concurs with this request to declare the replacement of the mail machine as an emergency procurement.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby confirms the request for emergency procurement of the mail machine from Pitney Bowes for a 39 month lease at a rate of \$2,374.00 per month.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set forth herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this <u>day of</u>, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Kusta made a motion, seconded by Member May, Resolution #10-31 to be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-31 IS APPROVED.

Member Kusta presented Resolution #10-32, Transferring and Increasing Appropriations in Various County Budgets to Fund Year End Shortfalls.



Finance Committee Resolution #10-32

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Transferring and Increasing Appropriations in Various County Budgets to Fund Year End Shortfalls

WHEREAS, as preparation begins to close the fourth quarter books of Fiscal Year 2009, various departmental budgets are experiencing line item shortfalls, and

WHEREAS, in an effort to fund these shortfalls, the Executive's Office has requested to fund said shortfalls with transfers from other departmental budgets that are experiencing surpluses at this time, and

WHEREAS, the Finance Committee has recommended approval of this request.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby amends its 2009 Budget, by transferring and increasing appropriations in the various departmental budgets as fully described in the document attached hereto.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Finance Department, Auditor and Treasurer are directed to make the necessary line item and fund adjustments, in accordance to statutory authority.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass_____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this ______day of ______, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive Member Kusta made a motion, seconded by Member Wilhelmi, Resolution #10-32 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-32 IS APPROVED.

Member Kusta presented Resolution #10-33, Resolution Amending 2010 Budget.



Finance Committee Resolution #10-33

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

RESOLUTION AMENDING 2010 BUDGET

WHEREAS, on November 19, 2009, the Will County Board passed a Resolution adopting the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance of the County of Will, State of Illinois, for Fiscal Period beginning December 1, 2009 and ending November 30, 2010, and

WHEREAS, the Will County Executive's Office recommends the attached Amendments be made to its 2010 Budget; and

WHEREAS, the Finance Committee agrees that the attached Amendments be incorporated into the County of Will 2010 Budget.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board officially amends its 2010 Budget and that the Amendments recommended by the Will County Executive's Office, attached hereto named 2010 Budget Amendments, be adopted and effective upon signage of this Resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Finance Department, Auditor and Treasurer are directed to make the necessary line item and fund adjustments, in accordance to statutory authority.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law. Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010. _

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Kusta made a motion, seconded by Member Adamic, Resolution 10-33 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-33 IS APPROVED.

Member Kusta Presented Resolution #10-34, Temporary Loan from the County Motor Fuel Tax Fund to the County Highway Fund.



Finance Committee Resolution #10-34

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

TEMPORARY LOAN FROM THE COUNTY MOTOR FUEL TAX FUND TO THE COUNTY HIGHWAY FUND

WHEREAS, the County Highway Department Fund (220) experienced a shortfall of available cash to meet the upcoming contract payments, and

WHEREAS, the County Motor Fuel Tax Fund (222) has a temporary surplus of idle and unencumbered funds in the maximum amount of \$2,000,000.00, and

WHEREAS, the County Executive has recommended and the Finance Committee has concurred that the above surplus of \$2,000,000.00 be temporarily transferred from the County Motor Fuel Tax Fund (222) to the County Highway Fund (220) on a draw-down as needed basis, to be repaid upon receipt of property tax revenues, and

WHEREAS, said loan will not deplete the County Motor Fuel Tax Fund below the level necessary to meet the expenses of said fund as those expenses come due.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that there be and is temporarily transferred the maximum amount of \$2,000,000.00 from the County Motor Fuel Tax Fund (222) to the County Highway Fund (220), on a draw-down as needed basis, to be repaid upon receipt of property tax revenues.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Member Kusta made a motion, seconded by Member Babich, Resolution #10-34 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-34 IS APPROVED.

Member Kusta presented Resolution #10-35, Authorizing County Executive to Execute Necessary Documents for Delinquent Tax Program.



Finance Committee Resolution #10-35

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

AUTHORIZING COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE NECESSARY DOCUMENTS FOR DELINQUENT TAX PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the County of Will has undertaken a program to collect delinquent taxes and to perfect titles to real estate in cases where the taxes on the same have not been paid pursuant to 35 ILCS 200/21-90; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to this program the County of Will, as trustee for the taxing districts involved, has acquired an interest in the real estate in question; and

WHEREAS, it appears to the Will County Board that it would be to the best interest of the taxing districts of Will County to dispose of this interest in said property.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby authorizes the Will County Executive to execute a deed of conveyance of the County's interest or authorize the cancellation of the appropriate certificate of purchase, as the case may be, on the real estate in question for the sums shown on the attachment and to be disbursed as shown and according to law.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set forth herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes	No	Pass	(SEAL)	
				Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk
Approved this	d	ay of	, 2010).
		, <u> </u>		Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Kusta made a motion, seconded by Member Laurie Smith Resolution #10-35 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-35 IS APPROVED.

Member Kusta ended by saying that as you know County Executive last month, if you recall, we set a record pace for our meetings. I don't think that's going to be the case today so everybody get comfortable. Thank you.

County Executive joked don't be such doom and gloom. Thank you Member Kusta.

PUBLIC WORKS & TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE Jim Bilotta, Chairman

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Adamic, Public Notice of a Proposed Issuance of a Federally Enforceable State Operating Permit to Vision Integrated Graphics, LLC in Tinley Park to place on file.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

PUBLIC NOTICE OF THE PROPOSED ISSUANCE OF A FEDERALLY ENFORCEABLE STATE OPERATING PERMIT TO VISION INTEGRATED GRAPHICS, LLC IN TINLEY PARK IS PLACED ON FILE.

Member Bilotta Presented Resolution #10-36, Confirming Award of Contract to P.T. Ferro Construction Co. (\$514,738.92) – Let on January 13, 2010 – for CH 28 (Essex Road) from Illinois Route 113 to the County Line, Section 10-00129-03-GM, County Board District #6.



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-36

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AWARD OF CONTRACT

WHEREAS, on January 13, 2010 bids were received and opened for public highway improvements under the jurisdiction of the County of Will; and

WHEREAS, the improvement shall be constructed using County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds; and

WHEREAS, on February 2, 2010 the Public Works Committee of the County Board of Will County met to consider the bids; and

WHEREAS, the said committee determined that the following qualified bidder submitted the low bid as listed below for the work herein described and did award the bid subject to the confirmation of this County Board.

BIDDER	JOB	<u>AMOUNT</u>
P.T. Ferro Construction Co. P.O. Box 156 Joliet, IL 60434	Section 10-00129-03-GM CH 28 (Essex Road) County Board District # 6	\$514,738.92

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Will County confirms the award of the foregoing contract heretofore awarded by the Public Works Committee subject to the confirmation hereby enacted.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Gould, Resolution #10-36 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-36 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta Presented Resolution #10-37, for Improvements by County under the Illinois Highway Code for CH 28 (Essex Road) from Illinois Route 113 to the County Line, Section 10-00129-03-GM, County Board District #6, Using County's allotment of MFT funds (\$550,000.00)



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-37

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

STATE OF ILLINOIS

RESOLUTION FOR IMPROVEMENTS BY COUNTY UNDER THE ILLINOIS HIGHWAY CODE

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County of Will, Illinois that the following described County Highways be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

CH 28 (Essex Road) from Illinois Route 113 to the County Line.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the type of improvement shall consist of a one (1) inch lift of Leveling Binder, a one and one-half (1 ½) inch lift of HMA Surface Course, raised reflective pavement markers, and other items as designated in the Special Provisions and shall be designated as Section 10-00129-03-GM, County Board District #6.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed using the sum of \$550,000.00 from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit four (4) certified copies of this resolution to the regional office of the Illinois Department of Transportation through the office of the County Engineer.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes____ No____ Pass____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Stewart, Resolution #10-37 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-37 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-38, Confirming Award of Contract to P.T. Ferro Construction Co. (\$340,570.16) – Let on January 13, 2010 – for CH 48 (Old Monee Road) from Exchange Street to Crete-Monee Road, Section 10-00133-09-GM, County Board District #1.



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-38

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AWARD OF CONTRACT

WHEREAS, on January 13, 2010 bids were received and opened for public highway improvements under the jurisdiction of the County of Will; and

WHEREAS, the improvement shall be constructed using County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds; and

WHEREAS, on February 2, 2010 the Public Works Committee of the County Board of Will County met to consider the bids; and

WHEREAS, the said committee determined that the following qualified bidder submitted the low bid as listed below for the work herein described and did award the bid subject to the confirmation of this County Board.

BIDDER	JOB	<u>AMOUNT</u>
P.T. Ferro Construction Co. P.O. Box 156 Joliet, IL 60434	Section 10-00133-09-GM CH 48 (Old Monee Road) County Board District # 1	\$340,570.16

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Will County confirms the award of the foregoing contract heretofore awarded by the Public Works Committee subject to the confirmation hereby enacted.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Laurie Smith, Resolution #10-38 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-38 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-39, Resolution for Improvements by County under the Illinois Highway Code for CH 48 (Old Monee Road) from Exchange Street to Crete-Monee Road, Section 10-00133-09-GM, County Board District #1, Using County's allotment of MFT funds (\$375,000.00).



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-39

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

STATE OF ILLINOIS

RESOLUTION FOR IMPROVEMENTS BY COUNTY UNDER THE ILLINOIS HIGHWAY CODE

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County of Will, Illinois that the following described County Highways be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

CH 48 (Old Monee Road) from Exchange Street to Crete-Monee Road.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the type of improvement shall consist of a one (1) inch lift of Leveling Binder, a one and one-half (1 ½) inch lift of HMA Surface Course, raised reflective pavement markers, and other items as designated in the Special Provisions and shall be designated as Section 10-00133-09-GM, County Board District #1.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed using the sum of \$375,000.00 from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit four (4) certified copies of this resolution to the regional office of the Illinois Department of Transportation through the office of the County Engineer.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes____ No____ Pass____ (SEAL)

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Winfrey, Resolution #10-39 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-39 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-40, Resolution Confirming Award of Contract to P.T. Ferro Construction Co. (\$82,425.77) – Let on January 13, 2010 – for CH 55 (Cherry Hill Road) from Route 30 to Washington Street, Section 10-00047-09-GM, County Board Districts #2, 6 & 8.



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-40

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AWARD OF CONTRACT

WHEREAS, on January 13, 2010 bids were received and opened for public highway improvements under the jurisdiction of the County of Will; and

WHEREAS, the improvement shall be constructed using County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds; and

WHEREAS, on February 2, 2010 the Public Works Committee of the County Board of Will County met to consider the bids; and

WHEREAS, the said committee determined that the following qualified bidder submitted the low bid as listed below for the work herein described and did award the bid subject to the confirmation of this County Board.

BIDDER	JOB	<u>AMOUNT</u>
P.T. Ferro Construction Co. P.O. Box 156 Joliet, IL 60434	Section 10-00047-09-GM CH 55 (Cherry Hill Road) County Board Districts #2, 6, & 8	\$82,425.77

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Will County confirms the award of the foregoing contract heretofore awarded by the Public Works Committee subject to the confirmation hereby enacted.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Dralle, Resolution #10-40 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-40 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-41, Resolution for Improvements by County under the Illinois Highway Code for CH 55 (Cherry Hill Road) from Route 30 to Washington Street, Section 10-00047-09-GM, County Board Districts #2, 6 & 8, Using County's allotment of MFT funds (\$100,000.00).



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-41

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

STATE OF ILLINOIS

RESOLUTION FOR IMPROVEMENTS BY COUNTY UNDER THE ILLINOIS HIGHWAY CODE

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County of Will, Illinois that the following described County Highways be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

CH 55 (Cherry Hill Road) from Route 30 to Washington Street.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the type of improvement shall consist of a one (1) inch lift of Leveling Binder, a one and one-half (1 ½) inch lift of HMA Surface Course, raised reflective pavement markers, and other items as designated in the Special Provisions and shall be designated as Section 10-00047-09-GM, County Board Districts #2, 6, & 8.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed using the sum of \$100,000.00 from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit four (4) certified copies of this resolution to the regional office of the Illinois Department of Transportation through the office of the County Engineer.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes____ No____ Pass____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Brian Smith, Resolution #10-41 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-41 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-42, Resolution Confirming Award of Contract to Homer Tree Service, Inc. (\$42,420.00) – Let on January 13, 2010 – for Tree Removal, Various County Highways, Section 10-00000-02-GM, All County Board Districts.



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-42

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AWARD OF CONTRACT

WHEREAS, on January 13, 2010 bids were received and opened for public highway improvements under the jurisdiction of the County of Will; and

WHEREAS, the improvement shall be constructed using County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds; and

WHEREAS, on February 2, 2010 the Public Works Committee of the County Board of Will County met to consider the bids; and

WHEREAS, the said committee determined that the following qualified bidder submitted the low bid as listed below for the work herein described and did award the bid subject to the confirmation of this County Board.

BIDDERJOBAMOUNTHomer Tree Service, Inc.Section 10-00000-02-GM\$42,420.0014000 S. Archer Ave. Ste 200County Wide Tree Removal\$42,420.00Lockport, IL 60441All County Board Districts

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Will County confirms the award of the foregoing contract heretofore awarded by the Public Works Committee subject to the confirmation hereby enacted.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass_____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Stewart, Resolution #10-42 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-four.

No negative votes.

Abstain: Anderson. Total: One.

RESOLUTION #10-42 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-43, Resolution for Improvements by County under the Illinois Highway Code for Tree Removal, Various County Highways, Section 10-00000-02-GM, All County Board Districts, Using County's allotment of MFT funds (\$50,000.00).



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-43

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

STATE OF ILLINOIS

RESOLUTION FOR IMPROVEMENTS BY COUNTY UNDER THE ILLINOIS HIGHWAY CODE

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County of Will, Illinois that the following described County Highways be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

County Wide Tree Removal at various locations in Will County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the type of improvement shall consist of tree removal within existing right-of-way of various County Highways shall be designated as Section 10-00000-02-GM, All County Board Districts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed using the sum of \$50,000.00 from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit four (4) certified copies of this resolution to the regional office of the Illinois Department of Transportation through the office of the County Engineer.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes____ No____ Pass____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Gould, Resolution #10-43 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-four.

No negative votes.

Abstain: Anderson. Total: One.

RESOLUTION #10-43 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-44, Resolution Confirming Award of Contract to Herlihy Mid-Continent Co. (\$428,764.20) – Let January 13, 2010 – for CH 14 (Plainfield-Naperville Road) from 104th Street to 95th Street, Section 07-00036-23-NW, County Board District #3, Using County's allotment of RTA Tax funds (\$428,764.20).



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-44

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AWARD OF CONTRACT

WHEREAS, on January 13, 2010 bids were received and opened for public highway improvements under the jurisdiction of the County of Will; and

WHEREAS, the improvement shall be constructed using County's allotment of RTA Tax funds: and

WHEREAS, on February 2, 2010 the Public Works Committee of the County Board of Will County met to consider the bids; and

WHEREAS, the said committee determined that the following qualified bidder submitted the low bid as listed below for the work herein described and did award the bid subject to the confirmation of this County Board.

BIDDER	JOB	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Herlihy Mid-Continent Co.	Section 07-00036-23-NW	\$428,764.20
1306 Marquette Drive	CH 14 (Plainfield-Naperville R	load)
Romeoville, IL 60445	County Board District #3	

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Will County confirms the award of the foregoing contract heretofore awarded by the Public Works Committee subject to the confirmation hereby enacted.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL) Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Brian Smith, Resolution #10-44 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-44 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-45, Resolution Confirming Award of Contract to Van Mack Electric (\$165,204.25) – Let January 13, 2010 – for CH 74 (Laraway Road) at 116th Street intersection, Section 09-00138-30-TL, County Board District #1, Using County's allotment of RTA Tax funds (\$165,204.25).



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-45

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AWARD OF CONTRACT

WHEREAS, on January 13, 2010 bids were received and opened for public highway improvements under the jurisdiction of the County of Will; and

WHEREAS, the improvement shall be constructed using County's allotment of RTA Tax funds; and

WHEREAS, on February 2, 2010 the Public Works Committee of the County Board of Will County met to consider the bids; and

WHEREAS, the said committee determined that the following qualified bidder submitted the low bid as listed below for the work herein described and did award the bid subject to the confirmation of this County Board.

BIDDER	JOB	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Van Mack Electric 2433 Reeves Road	Section 09-00138-30-TL CH 74 (Laraway Road)	\$165,204.25
Joliet, IL 60436	County Board District #1	

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Will County confirms the award of the foregoing contract heretofore awarded by the Public Works Committee subject to the confirmation hereby enacted.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Babich, Resolution #10-45 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-45 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-46, Resolution Confirming Award of Contract to Vulcan Materials Company (\$4.81/Ton) – Let on January 13, 2010 – Washington Township Road District, Section 10-19000-00-GM, County Board District #1



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-46

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AWARD OF CONTRACT

WHEREAS, on January 13, 2010, bids were received and opened for public highway improvements now coming under the jurisdiction of the County of Will; and

WHEREAS, the improvement shall be constructed using Road District's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds; and

WHEREAS, on February 2, 2010, the Public Works Committee of the County Board of Will County met to consider the bids; and

WHEREAS, the said committee determined that the following qualified bidder submitted the low bid as listed below for the work herein described and did award the bid subject to the confirmation of this County Board.

WHEREAS, the said committee recommends the confirmation of award of contract as follows:

BIDDER	JOB	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Vulcan Materials Company 595 West Laraway Road Joliet, IL 60436	Section 10-19000-00-GM Washington Road District County Board District #1 Aggregate	\$4.81 Per Ton

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Will County confirms the award of the foregoing contract heretofore awarded by the Public Works Committee subject to the confirmation hereby enacted.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass_____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Singer, Resolution #10-46 be approved..

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-four.

No negative votes.

Abstain: Anderson. Total: One.

RESOLUTION #10-46 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-47, Resolution for Providing Title Commitment Reports for use by County with Wheatland Title Guaranty Company to assist with the right of way acquisition for CH 49 (Exchange Street) between Crete Road and Cottage Grove Avenue, Section 08-00086-14-LA, County Board District #1, Using County's allotment of County Highway Tax funds (\$8,000.00).



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-47

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

STATE OF ILLINOIS

RESOLUTION FOR PROVIDING TITLE COMMITMENT REPORTS FOR USE BY COUNTY

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County of Will, Illinois that the following roadway described as:

Improvement of County Highway 49 (Exchange Street) between Crete Road and Cottage Grove Avenue, County Board District #1.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that to assist with the right of way acquisition related services by providing title commitment reports needed for the acquisition of various parcels for the subject improvement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the compensation for supplying requested title commitment reports be paid to Wheatland Title Guaranty Company, 105 W. Veterans Parkway, Yorkville, Illinois, Section 08-00086-14-LA.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is approved the sum of \$8,000.00 from the County's allotment of County Highway Tax funds for providing title commitment reports.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive
Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Kusta, Resolution #10-47 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-47 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-48, Resolution Providing Title Commitment Reports for use by County with Wheatland Title Guaranty Company to assist with the right of way acquisition for CH 88 (Weber Road) at CH 36 (Renwick Road), Section 01-00170-26-LA, County Board Districts #7 & 9, Using County's allotment of MFT funds (\$2,000.00).



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-48

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

STATE OF ILLINOIS

RESOLUTION FOR PROVIDING TITLE COMMITMENT REPORTS FOR USE BY COUNTY

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County of Will, Illinois that the following roadway described as:

Improvement of County Highway 88 (Weber Road) at County Highway 36 (Renwick Road), County Board Districts #7 & 9.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that to assist with the right of way acquisition related services by providing title commitment reports needed for the acquisition of various parcels for the subject improvement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the compensation for supplying requested title commitment reports be paid to Wheatland Title Guaranty Company, 105 W. Veterans Parkway, Yorkville, Illinois, Section 01-00170-26-LA.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is approved the additional sum of \$2,000.00 increasing the upper limit of compensation from \$11,600.00 to \$13,600.00 from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds for providing title commitment reports.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit five (5) certified copies of this resolution to the regional office of the Illinois Department of Transportation through the office of the County Engineer.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes	No	Pass	(SEAL)	
				Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk
Approved this		of	, 2010.	
				Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member May, Resolution #10-48 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-48 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-49, Resolution Authorizing Approval of an IDOT – County Joint Agreement for the improvements to the intersection of CH 74 (Laraway Road) at U.S. Route 52, Section 09-00138-32-TL, County Board District #8, Using County's allotment of County Highway Tax funds (\$7,187.50).



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-49

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Authorizing Approval of an Illinois Department of Transportation – County Joint Agreement

WHEREAS, the State and the County, in order to facilitate the free flow of traffic and insure safety to the motoring public, are desirous of making improvements to the intersection of County Highway 74 (Laraway Road) at U.S. Route 52 intersection, Section 09-00138-32-TL, County Board District #8; and

WHEREAS, the County is desirous of said improvement in that the same will be of immediate benefit to the county residents and permanent in nature.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board enter into an agreement with the Illinois Department of Transportation for the improvement of County Highway 74 (Laraway Road) at U.S. Route 52 intersection, a copy of which is attached hereto and made part hereof.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County's participation share of the costs of the improvement be according to the schedule of costs as listed in the agreement in the amount of \$7,187.50 to be paid out of the County's allotment of County Highway Tax funds.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive and the County Clerk of Will County are hereby authorized and directed to execute said agreement, subject to the review and approval by the Will County State's Attorney.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the clerk is hereby directed to transmit three (3) certified copies of this resolution and agreement to the regional office of the Illinois Department of Transportation through the office of the County Engineer.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Winfrey, Resolution #10-49 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-49 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-50, Resolution Authorizing Approval of Professional Services Agreement for Design Engineering Services (Phase II) for bridge, roadway and appurtenant work thereto on CH 58 (County Line Road) over Bull Creek with Willett, Hofmann & Associates, Section 10-00093-07-EG, County Board District #1, using County's allotment of RTA Tax funds (\$98,262.15).



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-50

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Authorizing Approval of Professional Services Agreement for Design Engineering Services (Phase II)

WHEREAS, the Public Works and Transportation Committee requested proposals for design engineering services for roadway and appurtenant work thereto on County Highway 58 (County Line Road) over Bull Creek, Section 10-00093-07-EG, County Board District #1; and

WHEREAS, said roadway design services (Phase II) are budgeted for in the current Highway Department budget.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the County of Will enter into an agreement for Phase II design engineering services with Willett, Hofmann & Associates, 1000 Essington Road, Joliet, IL for bridge, roadway and appurtenant work thereto on County Highway 58 (County Line Road) over Bull Creek, Section 10-00093-07-EG.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the compensation for the design engineering services (Phase II) be according to the schedule of costs as listed in the agreement subject to the upper limit of compensation \$98,262.15 paid for out of the County's allotment of the RTA Tax funds.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive and the County Clerk of Will County are hereby authorized to execute the agreement subject to review and approval by the Will County State's Attorney.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Singer, Resolution #10-50 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-50 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-51, Resolution Authorizing Approval of Professional Services Agreement for Structural Engineering Services for repairs to the County Highway Department's Monee Maintenance Garage Building with Bowman, Barrett & Associates, Inc., Section 10-00161-24-MG, County Board District #1.



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-51

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Authorizing Approval of Professional Services Agreement for Structural Engineering Services

WHEREAS, the Public Works and Transportation Committee requested proposals for structural engineering services for repairs to the County Highway Department's Monee Maintenance Garage Building, Section 10-00161-24-MG, County Board District #1; and

WHEREAS, said structural engineering services are budgeted for in the current Highway Department budget.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the County of Will enter into an agreement for structural engineering services with Bowman, Barrett & Associates, Inc., 130 East Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois, for repairs that are needed at the Monee Maintenance Garage Building, Section 10-00161-24-MG.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the compensation for the structural engineering services be according to the schedule as listed in the agreement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive and the County Clerk of Will County are hereby authorized to execute the agreement subject to review and approval by the Will County State's Attorney.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit four (4) copies of this resolution to the regional office of the Illinois Department of Transportation through the office of the County Engineer.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Seiler, Resolution #10-51 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-51 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-52, Resolution for Structural Engineering Services by County under the Illinois Highway Code for repairs of the County Highway Department's Monee Maintenance Garage Building with Bowman, Barrett & Associates, Inc., Section 10-00161-24-MG, County Board District #1, Using County's allotment of MFT funds (\$36,980.00).



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-52

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Resolution for Structural Engineering Services By County under the Illinois Highway Code

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County of Will, Illinois that the following described regional transportation corridor be designed in accordance to the Illinois Highway Code:

County Highway Department's Monee Maintenance Garage Building Section 10-00161-24-MG, County Board District #1; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the type of structural engineering services include investigation of exterior portions of T-beams and document repair areas and assess roofing material and associated work required for completion of the plans and specifications.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the compensation for structural engineering services be according to the schedule of cost as listed in the agreement with Bowman, Barrett & Associates, Inc., 130 East Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois, Section 10-00161-24-EG.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the sum of \$36,980.00 from the County's allotment of Motor Full Tax funds for the design engineering services.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit four (4) copies of this resolution to the regional office of the Illinois Department of Transportation through the office of the County Engineer.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes	NoPas	s(SEAL)		
A manager of the		0010	Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk	
Approved this	day of	, 2010.	Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive	-

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Gould, Resolution #10-52 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-52 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-53, Resolution Authorizing Approval of Professional Services Agreement for Design Engineering Services (Phase II) with Hampton, Lenzini and Renwick, Inc., for roadway and appurtenant work on thereto on CH 62 (Briggs Street) over Spring Creek, Section 10-00150-14-EG, County Board District #8, Using County's allotment of RTA Tax funds (\$157,446.36).



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-53

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Authorizing Approval of Professional Services Agreement for Design Engineering Services (Phase II)

WHEREAS, the Public Works and Transportation Committee requested proposals for design engineering services for roadway and appurtenant work thereto on County Highway 62 (Briggs Street) over Spring Creek, Section 10-00150-14-EG, County Board District #8; and

WHEREAS, said roadway design services (Phase II) are budgeted for in the current Highway Department budget.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the County of Will enter into an agreement for Phase II design engineering services with Hampton, Lenzini and Renwick, Inc., 3085 Stevenson Drive, Springfield, IL for bridge, roadway and appurtenant work thereto on County Highway 62 (Briggs Street) over Spring Creek, Section 10-00150-14-EG.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the compensation for the design engineering services (Phase II) be according to the schedule of costs as listed in the agreement subject to the upper limit of compensation \$157,446.36 paid for out of the County's allotment of the RTA Tax funds.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive and the County Clerk of Will County are hereby authorized to execute the agreement subject to review and approval by the Will County State's Attorney.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass_____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Brooks, Resolution #10-53 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-53 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta stated that the next three Resolutions, everyone needs to be aware that we need to change weight limits on certain bridges. We've had inspections done with them and we had between January and this month, have awarded contracts to start the design work for them. But, we have to lower the weight limit on them. There will be postings throughout the County, so the truckers will know about this. We will get the work done as soon as possible. Right now it's been deemed as unsafe to ride over that with 80,000 pounds. So the next three Resolutions will tell you what the weight limits are, but they will be posted for new business (inaudible).

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-54, Resolution for weight limit on CH 62 (N. Briggs Street) over Spring Creek, a structural analysis performed by Willett, Hofmann & Associates, County Board District #8.

Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-54

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Resolution Designating County Highway Weight Limitations County Highway 62 (N. Briggs Street)

WHEREAS, the County of Will has inspected the bridge (Structure No. 099-3315) over Spring Creek on County Highway 62 (N. Briggs Street), County Board District #8; and

WHEREAS, it was determined that there is existing a structural deficiency on this structure; and

WHEREAS, Willett, Hofmann & Associates, Inc., Consulting Engineers has performed a structural analysis; and

WHEREAS, the structural deficiency requires a maximum weight limit of 19 Tons for single unit vehicles, 23 Tons for combination vehicles with 3 or 4 axles and 26 Tons for combination vehicles with 5 or more axles.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that a maximum weight limit of 38,000 pounds (19 Ton) gross weight limit be imposed on single unit vehicles, 46,000 pounds (23 Ton) gross weight limit be imposed on combination vehicles with 3 or 4 axles and 52,000 pounds (26 Ton) gross weight limit be imposed on combination vehicles with 5 or more axles on any and all vehicles using County Highway 62.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Highway Department is hereby directed to install and maintain proper signing indicating said gross weight restriction.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that no special permits be issued for any gross weights in excess of 38,000 pounds (19 Ton) for single unit vehicles, 46,000 pounds (23 Ton) for combination vehicles with 3 or 4 axles and 52,000 pounds (26 Ton) for combination vehicles with 5 or more axles.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Sheriff is hereby directly to vigorously and continuously enforce this gross weight restriction.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Stewart, Resolution #10-54 be approved.

Member Maher stated quick question. Member Bilotta have they talked about having any kind of fines, or anything that trucks are now going over our bridges with over weight?

Member Bilotta responded once they are legally posted, can we do that? Yes, we could enforce that. I imagine that approval early (inaudible) early so it gets out there. It's a dangerous structural issue that we are trying to prevent any further damage to the bridge itself.

Member Maher stated that you know people are going to try to take advantage.

Member Bilotta stated that's why we post it. Then we'll work with the Sheriff's Department to make sure...it will be especially early on because people will know we are serious about the enforcement issues.

County Executive asked any other questions?

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-54 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-55, Resolution for weight limit on CH 58 (Will/Kankakee County Line Road) over Bull Creek, a structural analysis performed by Willett, Hofmann & Associates, County Board District #1.



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-55

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Resolution Designating County Highway Weight Limitations County Highway 58 (Will/Kankakee County Line Road)

WHEREAS, the County of Will has inspected the bridge (Structure No. 046-3236) over Bull Creek on County Highway 58 (Will/Kankakee County Line Road), County Board District #1; and

WHEREAS, it was determined that there is existing a structural deficiency on this structure; and

WHEREAS, Willett, Hofmann & Associates, Inc., Consulting Engineers has performed a structural analysis; and

WHEREAS, the structural deficiency requires a maximum weight limit of 11 Tons for single unit vehicles, 16 Tons for combination vehicles with 3 or 4 axles and 19 Tons for combination vehicles with 5 or more axles.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that a maximum weight limit of 22,000 pounds (11 Ton) gross weight limit be imposed on single unit vehicles, 32,000 pounds (16 Ton) gross weight limit be imposed on combination vehicles with 3 or 4 axles and 38,000 pounds (19 Ton) gross weight limit be imposed on combination vehicles with 5 or more axles on any and all vehicles using County Highway 58.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Highway Department is hereby directed to install and maintain proper signing indicating said gross weight restriction.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that no special permits be issued for any gross weights in excess of 22,000 pounds (11 Ton) for single unit vehicles, 32,000 pounds (16 Ton) for combination vehicles with 3 or 4 axles and 38,000 pounds (19 Ton) for combination vehicles with 5 or more axles.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Sheriff is hereby directly to vigorously and continuously enforce this gross weight restriction.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Singer, Resolution #10-55 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-55 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-56, Resolution for weight limit on CH 25 (Wilmington-Peotone Road) over Jordan Creek, a structural analysis performed by Willett, Hofmann & Associates, County Board District #6.



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-56

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Resolution Designating County Highway Weight Limitations County Highway 25 (Wilmington-Peotone Road)

WHEREAS, the County of Will has inspected the bridge (Structure No. 099-3040) over Jordan Creek on County Highway 25 (Wilmington-Peotone Road), County Board District #6; and

WHEREAS, it was determined that there is existing a structural deficiency on this structure; and

WHEREAS, Willett, Hofmann & Associates, Inc., Consulting Engineers has performed a structural analysis; and

WHEREAS, the structural deficiency requires a maximum weight limit of 18 Tons for single unit vehicles, 21 Tons for combination vehicles with 3 or 4 axles and 23 Tons for combination vehicles with 5 or more axles.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that a maximum weight limit of 36,000 pounds (18 Ton) gross weight limit be imposed on single unit vehicles, 42,000 pounds (21 Ton) gross weight limit be imposed on combination vehicles with 3 or 4 axles and 46,000 pounds (23 Ton) gross weight limit be imposed on combination vehicles with 5 or more axles on any and all vehicles using County Highway 25.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Highway Department is hereby directed to install and maintain proper signing indicating said gross weight restriction.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that no special permits be issued for any gross weights in excess of 36,000 pounds (18 Ton) for single unit vehicles, 42,000 pounds (21 Ton) for combination vehicles with 3 or 4 axles and 46,000 pounds (23 Ton) for combination vehicles with 5 or more axles.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Sheriff is hereby directly to vigorously and continuously enforce this gross weight restriction.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

 Vote:
 Yes_____ No____ Pass_____ (SEAL)
 _____ No____ No____ No____

 Approved this _____ day of ______, 2010.
 _____ Logo

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Gould to approve Resolution #10-56.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-56 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-57, Resolution Authorizing Approval of Professional Services Agreement for Construction Supervision (Phase III) with Baxter & Woodman Consulting Engineers for CH 74 (Laraway Road) at Wolf Road, Section 07-00138-26-TL, County Board District #1, Using County's allotment of RTA Tax funds (\$21,000.00).



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-57

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Authorizing Approval of Professional Services Agreement for Construction Supervision (Phase III)

WHEREAS, the Public Works and Transportation Committee requested construction supervision services (Phase III) for County Highway 74 (Laraway Road) at Wolf Road, Section 07-00138-26-TL, County Board District #1.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the County of Will enter into an agreement for construction supervision engineering services (Phase III) with Baxter & Woodman Consulting Engineers, 8840 W. 192nd Street, Mokena, IL, Section 07-00138-26-TL.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the compensation for the construction supervision engineering services (Phase III) be according to the costs provided in the agreement, using the sum of \$21,000.00 from the County's allotment of the RTA Tax funds.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive and the County Clerk of Will County are hereby authorized to execute the agreement subject to review and approval by the Will County State's Attorney.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Adamic, Resolution #10-57 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-57 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta Presented Resolution #10-58, Resolution Authorizing Approval of Professional Services Agreement for Construction Engineering with Thomas Engineering Group, LLC, for the improvement of CH 42 (River Road) between Interstate 55 and Illinois Route 53, Section 09-00034-06-RS, County Board District #6, Using County's allotment of MFT funds (\$115,957.75) that has already been approved by IDOT.



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-58

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Authorizing Approval of Professional Services Agreement for Construction Engineering

WHEREAS, the Public Works and Transportation Committee requested proposals for construction engineering services (Phase III) for the improvement of CH 42 (River Road) between Interstate 55 and Illinois Route 53, County Board District # 6, Section 09-00034-06-RS; and

Whereas, said construction engineering services (Phase III) are budgeted for within the current Department of Highways budget.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the County of Will enter into an agreement for construction engineering services (Phase III) with Thomas Engineer Group, LLC, 238 South Kenilworth, Oak Park, Illinois, for County Highway 42 (River Road), Section 09-00034-06-RS.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that compensation for the construction engineering services be according to the schedule as listed in the agreement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive and the County Clerk of Will County are hereby authorized to execute said agreement subject to review and approval by the Will County State's Attorney. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit four (4) certified copies of this resolution to the regional office of the Illinois Department of Transportation through the office of the County Engineer.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass_____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member May, Resolution #10-58 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-58 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta presented Resolution #10-59, Resolution Authorizing the Will County's State's Attorney's Office to Proceed with a Condemnation Case Regarding the County's CH 35 (135th Street) project between New Avenue and IL Route 171, Section 05-00068-06-LA, County Board Districts #3 & #7 (Parcel 0094 & 0094TE).



Public Works & Transportation Committee Resolution #10-59

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Authorizing the Will County's State's Attorney's Office to Proceed with a Condemnation Case Regarding The County's County Highway 35 (135th Street) project

WHEREAS, the Will County Board has adopted a plan for the improvement of certain county highway to wit: Section 05-00068-06-LA (C.H. 35 135th Street) between New Avenue and IL Route 171, County Board Districts # 3 and #7; and

WHEREAS, additional right of way is necessary for the construction of said improvement; and

WHEREAS, the hereinafter legally described property lies within said necessary additional right of way; and

WHEREAS, the taking of said property is for the public purpose of improving certain county highway; and

WHEREAS, the property sought to be acquired is necessary for the improvement of said certain highway; and

WHEREAS, that the County of Will shall acquire by dedication and possession, as the case may be, the following described real property which is necessary, required and needed for the improvement to the highway lying wholly within the limits of the County of Will, to wit:

Homer Township Permanent Index Tax No. (No P.I.N. has been assigned) Dedication: Parcel 0094 and 0094TE see attached legal description

WHEREAS, a title search indicates the present owner as Unknown Owners; and

WHEREAS, Unknown Owners as the present owners, have not been able to be ascertained during the negotiation phase with Ed Santacruz, on behalf of the County, therefore, no Quit Claim Deed or any other agreement has been executed; and

WHEREAS, in order for the County to proceed with a condemnation pursuant to 735 ILCS 30/15-5-10, the Will County State's Attorney's Office requires permission from the Board to go forward with a condemnation suit against the unknown owners.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Will County Board hereby passes and approves for the Will County State's Attorney's Office to commence with any and all required procedures to condemn the real property hereinabove described for the purpose of public use.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010. ____

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Brooks, Resolution #10-59 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-59 IS APPROVED.

Member Bilotta stated that concludes my report.

County Executive Walsh stated thank you very much Member Bilotta.

JUDICIAL COMMITTEE Anne Dralle, Chairman

Member Dralle stated good morning everyone. I have two Resolutions for consideration today.

Member Dralle presented Resolution #10-60, Resolution Appropriating Donated Funds from Joliet Elk's Lodge #296 in Will County Juvenile Drug Court Budget.



Judicial Committee Resolution #10-60

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

APPROPRIATING DONATED FUNDS FROM JOLIET ELK'S LODGE #296 IN WILL COUNTY JUVENILE DRUG COURT BUDGET

WHEREAS, the Will County Drug Court Coordinator is in receipt of generously donated funds from the Joliet Elk's Lodge #296 in the amount of \$1,000.00, and

WHEREAS, in order to expend these funds and operate the departments efficiently, the State's Attorney's Office has requested the grant funds be appropriated into the Will County Juvenile Drug Court Budget, as indicated below, and

WHEREAS, the Finance and Judicial Committees have recommended approval of this request.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby amends its 2010 Budget, by appropriating said grant funds in the total amount of \$1,000.00 into the Will County Drug Court Budget as follows:

<u>Revenue:</u> From:	249-00-000-	39996	Anticipated New Revenue	\$1,000.00
То:	249-00-000-	33180	Drug Court Contributions	\$1,000.00
<u>Expenses:</u> From:	249-44-408-	6999	Anticipated New Expenses	\$1,000.00
To:	249-44-408 -	2020	Office Supplies	\$1,000.00

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Finance Department, Auditor, and Will County Treasurer are directed to make the necessary line item and fund adjustments according to statutory authority.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes	No	Pass	(SEAL)	
			,	Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk
Approved this	da	iy of		2010
				Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Dralle made a motion, seconded by Member Maher, Resolution #10-60 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-60 IS APPROVED.

Member Dralle presented Resolution #10-61, Resolution Renewing Contract for Food Service at River Valley Juvenile Center with Aramark Correctional Services.



Judicial Committee Resolution #10-61

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

RENEWING CONTRACT FOR FOOD SERVICE AT RIVER VALLEY JUVENILE CENTER WITH ARAMARK CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

WHEREAS, the current contract for food service for River Valley Juvenile Center has expired, and

WHEREAS, the current contract allows for the extension of said contract for two (1) one-year renewal options, if the County so chooses, and

WHEREAS, the Director of River Valley Juvenile Center has recommended, and the Judicial Committee has concurred, that the contract for food service for River Valley Juvenile Center be renewed with Aramark Correctional Services pursuant to the terms described in the original bid document awarded on December 18, 2008, and

WHEREAS, sufficient appropriations have been budgeted.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby authorizes the County Executive to renew the contract for food service at River Valley Juvenile Center with Aramark Correctional Services for the period February 2, 2010 through and including February 1, 2011.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive be authorized to execute any and all documents necessary to carry out the intent of this resolution with the final review and approval of all documents by the Will County State's Attorney's Office.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set forth herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law. Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this <u>day of</u>, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Dralle made a motion, seconded by Member Gould, Resolution #10-61 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-61 IS APPROVED.

Member Dralle concluded by saying that I'd like to reaffirm that today at 1:00 p.m. we have the Will County Drug Court Graduation. I encourage all of you to stay for a couple of minutes (inaudible) as long as you can. It's certainly a worthwhile program. That's all I have. Thank you very much.

County Executive Walsh replied thank you, Member Dralle.

PUBLIC HEALTH & SAFETY COMMITTEE Don Gould, Chairman

Member Gould stated good morning County Executive Walsh and fellow Board Members.

Member Gould presented Resolution #10-62, Resolution Authorizing the County Executive to Execute Illinois State Toll Highway Authority Permit Agreement.



Public Health & Safety Committee Resolution #10-62

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE ILLINOIS STATE TOLL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY PERMIT AGREEMENT WHEREAS, the County will be allowed to use space on the Tollway's I-355 Plaza 99 and Plaza 93 communication towers and indoor lease areas located adjacent to the base of the towers, and

WHEREAS, this unique access will allow Will County to install necessary equipment on the towers which will provide better public safety communications coverage, and

WHEREAS, the Radio Systems Manager has, therefore, requested that the County Executive be authorized to execute the attached Illinois State Toll Highway Authority Permit Agreement allowing access to the Tollway's I-355 Plaza 99 and Plaza 93 communication towers and indoor lease areas located adjacent to the base of the towers, and

WHEREAS, the Public Health & Safety Committee concurs with this recommendation and requests that the County Executive be authorized to execute the attached Illinois State Toll Highway Authority Permit Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby authorizes the Will County Executive to execute the attached Illinois State Highway Authority Permit Agreement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set forth herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of ______, 2010. _____

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Gould made a motion, seconded by Member May, Resolution #10-62 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-62 IS APPROVED.

Member Gould presented Resolution #10-63, Resolution Renewing Contract for Pharmaceutical Services at Sunny Hill Nursing Home.



Public Health & Safety Committee Resolution #10-63

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

RENEWING CONTRACT FOR PHARMACEUTICAL SERVICES AT SUNNY HILL NURSING HOME

WHEREAS, the current contract for pharmaceutical and consulting services for Sunny Hill will expire on February 28, 2010, and

WHEREAS, the current contract with United Scripts, Inc., from Villa Park, IL., for pharmaceutical services allowed for two, one year renewal options, if the County desired to do so, and

WHEREAS, the Purchasing Director and Sunny Hill Administration have recommended, and the Public Health & Safety Committee has concurred, that the contract for pharmaceutical services with United Scripts, Inc., be renewed for an additional year, until February 28, 2011. The contract amount shall remain the same as the first year, i.e., based upon maximum 300 bed capacity and maximum annual hours for IV Nurse and RN Staff. Additionally, the per diem amendment was made effective as of February 15, 2007 and will continue through the term of this contract unless facility requests to switch back to a fee for service payment structure.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby renews the contract for pharmaceutical services for Sunny Hill Nursing Home with United Scripts until February 28, 2011, with the contract amount to remain the same as the first year, i.e., based upon maximum 300 bed capacity and maximum annual hours for IV Nurse and RN Staff. Additionally, the per diem amendment was made effective as of February 15, 2007 and will continue through the term of this contract unless facility requests to switch back to a fee for service payment structure.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that such purchases shall be funded through Sunny Hill Nursing Home Budget.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set forth herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

RECESSED SEPTEMBER MEETING

Vote: Yes ___ No ___ Pass ____ (SEAL)

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Gould made a motion, seconded by Member Rozak, Resolution #10-63 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-63 IS APPROVED.

Member Gould presented Resolution #10-64, Resolution Increasing American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 Funds in the Health Department Budget for Immunization Program.



Public Health & Safety Committee Resolution #10-64

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Increasing American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Stimulus Bill) Funds in the Health Department Budget for Immunization Program

WHEREAS, as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Stimulus Bill) the Will County Health Department, Family Health Services Division will be receiving \$46,000.00. The Director of Administrative Services has requested the funds be appropriated into the FY2010 Budget. This funding covers the period from October 1, 2009 through December 31, 2010. In order to carry out these initiatives, an appropriation increase is needed as follows:

<u>Revenue:</u> From:	207-00-000- 39996 Anticipated New Revenue	\$46,000.00
То:	207-00-000- 33142 ARRA Immunization Grant	\$46,000.00

<u>Expenses:</u> From:	207-41-245- 699	Anticipated New Expenses	\$46,000.00
То:		Temporary Contracted ServicesMileage	\$44,850.00 \$ <u>1,150.00</u>
		Total	\$46,000.00

WHEREAS, the Finance and Public Health & Safety Committees have recommended approval of this request.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby amends its 2010 Budget, by increasing appropriations in the Health Department Budget as described fully above.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Finance Department, Auditor and Treasurer are directed to make the necessary line item and fund adjustments, in accordance to statutory authority.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set forth herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____day of _____, 2010.____

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Gould made a motion, seconded by Member Adamic, Resolution #10-64 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-64 IS APPROVED.

Member Gould stated thank you that concludes my Report.

County Executive stated thank you very much Member Gould.

LEGISLATIVE & POLICY COMMITTEE Lee Goodson, Chairman

Member Goodson began good morning everybody. It's early in the Legislative Session, yet already over 10,000 bills have been introduced so far at this time. in the 96th Session of the General Assembly. Approximately 750 of these bills will impact, if of course they pass, impact County and local government. While we're early, there is a lot going on in Springfield. But I just want to touch on a couple of bills that we will be paying close attention to. We spoke about the Probation Funding problem last year. Basically, our Probation Department is well under funded and the burden of this funding is now placed on the back of the County. And, there has been a bill introduced, HB4822, and an identical bill, SB2595, and these bills propose that the Division of Probation Services, which is currently a division of the Supreme Court that they would be established as an independent agency headed by a Director and the Director would be appointed by the Governor. This is actually metro counties initiative, which generally we're on the same page with legislation. But in this particular instance, in Will County we feel that this legislation is not as beneficial and in fact it may end up politicizing this Division. And, the hope by metro counties is that this will provide 100% funding or at least better funding. We don't necessarily agree with that so of course we're going to have to do more research and we're going to have to work with metro counties.

And I also contacted our Illinois Association of County Board Members. They too had some concerns with the language and so they are going to be getting back to me on whether or not they are in neutral, supported, or oppose this bill. So that's something that we'll be keeping an eye on.

Secondly, as expected there have been various attempts to move legislation allowing for the construction debris to be placed in the unlined quarries. This is an effort that we opposed last year and there are some complicated bills, I'll leave it at that, that are in play right now. Just this morning I received some language for a bill that would help to strengthen our position and this is initiated by the EPA. You might remember when we had our public hearing we had an EPA representative here, Mr. Scott Phillips. This information is coming from him, that might help to strengthen our position and it would help to address our concerns about the contaminated soils in the unlined quarries. And then there is another bill that has actually passed already that there has been an Amendment proposed and this Amendment would help to address some of the concerns we have in what they are calling a BUD Bill, a Beneficial Use Determination bill. And that would help to determine what types of soils can go into the unlined quarries. There is a lot going on surrounding this construction debris issue and we hope to have somebody in the meeting; one that will be taking place today in Senator Garrett's office and one tomorrow in Senator Garrett's office to actually make sure that our voice is heard on what we feel and what our concerns are.

In March, as we generally do, contingency with the Will County Board will be going to Washington and that's where we will present our federal agenda to our federal elected

officials and as we've always in the past, I feel that we will have a great deal of success in our federal agenda as well. I will keep everybody apprised, more next month. That's all I have for today.

County Executive Walsh stated thank you Member Goodson.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS COMMITTEE Charles Maher, Chairman

Member Maher stated thank you County Executive, fellow Board Members. No real major activity to report except that we'll be doing a workshop in the near future that we'll invite everybody to get an overview of the research that has been going on over the last several months by (inaudible) So as soon as we get that date solidified we'll get a notice out to everyone.

County Executive Walsh said thank you very much.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE James Moustis, Chairman

Member Moustis stated good morning everyone, County Executive Walsh and County Board Members. I know our first item is Resolution #10-29. But I'm going to see if we can hold that off and we'll go to Public Hearing. Even though we're not required to go to Public Hearing on #10-29; this is gas energy or the waste energy for our landfill. I do think it's probably appropriate to have comments be made in the Public Hearing so I'm going to ask that we take up Resolution #10-29 after the Public Hearing. Having said that, I'm going to make a motion to move to Public Hearing here shortly for Resolution #10-29 and Ordinance #10-30. By the way, Ordinance #10-30 may be originally in your packet as a Resolution. It is an ordinance and it's on your desk as an Ordinance. So if you haven't found it on your desk, please do so. At this time we'll go to Public Hearing for discussing Resolution #10-29, gas energy, very green initiative by Will County and since Ordinance #10-30 would be an amendment to our agreement for the landfill will allow recirculation of leachate. We have publicly done a publication in accordance with County Board Rules and Open Meetings Act. Most of our meetings, such as the Land Use Public Hearings and so forth they usually get done during the day. So it's not uncommon for us to do our Public Hearings during the day. And (inaudible) so having said that I will make a Motion to go into Public Hearing on Resolution #10-29 and Ordinance #10-30.

Member Moustis made a motion, seconded by Member Adamic to go into Public Hearing for Resolution #10-29 and Ordinance #10-30.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Blackburn, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-five.

No negative votes.

PUBLIC HEARING FOR RESOLUTION #10-29 AND ORDINANCE #10-30 IS OPENED AT 10:33 A.M.

County Executive Walsh announced we are in Public Hearing. Public comments will be allowed specifically pertaining to Resolution #10-29, Authorizing the County Executive to Execute the Third Amendment to the Host Agreement for Operation/Development of a landfill gas to energy agreement between Waste Management and the Will County Landfill (a.k.a. Prairie View Landfill), and Attached Landfill Gas Purchase Agreement between the County of Will and Waste Management Renewable Energy, L.L.C. and Waste Management of Illinois, Inc. to the Operation Development Land Use Gas. Absolutely no new evidence or information will be allowed once this Land Use Public Hearing is closed.

Ordinance #10-30 Amending Condition #5 of the Siting Ordinance #99-72 to approve a proposal to allow leachate recirculation at the Prairie View landfill with certain conditions. The purpose of the public hearing is to allow members of the public to comment on this Resolution and Ordinance. County Board Members may ask specific questions of the public but in the interest of time we ask that the County Board Members delay their discussion of the Resolution and Ordinance until after the Public Hearing has closed. With regard to Ordinance #10-30, regarding leachate recirculation, if the Board approves the Resolution, Waste Management must still submit an application and be approved by the IEPA for leachate recirculation. We will limit comments and discussions to the Resolutions before us today. We will not extend discussions on any subject not specifically related to the Gas to Energy Agreement or the leachate recirculation. This discussion will not revisit other issues such as the original siting of the Prairie View landfill that has been approved by the IEPA and Pollution Control Board a number of years ago. Each member of the public can state their name and address, and in an attempt to limit if they can, their comments to three minutes. With that this Public Hearing will get started. And we have one person that has signed up in regards to Ordinance #10-30 and that is Ms. Ginny Lester.

My name is Ms. Ginny Lester. I live at 702 N. Raynor here in Joliet. I'm here because I became aware that this GTE project was underway and I'm very much in favor of it. And, unfortunately I do need to address the siting issue because I believe some findings have come up that would make the siting issue...we would need to reevaluate that. And it would help to make this project a success. I want to see this project be a success and that the citizens of Will County are not negatively affected but in view of the new geology findings I would like to see this considered in your approval.

County Executive Walsh asked if there were any questions to this individual. Member Konicki.

Member Konicki asked Ms. Lester can you be anymore specific about the geology findings?

Ms. Lester responded she believes that there was something called Karst formations that have been discovered since the original siting and analysis and some imagery, light art imagery that is now available that wasn't available then.

County Executive Walsh stated that before we get any farther along, the issue of Karst is not an issue today. The issue here today is the leachate recirculation. All of the geology issues were addressed by professionals; the Illinois EPA had the opportunity to research all of this before the actual siting and the agreeing that this was a location that met all of the requirements back in 1999 or before. And so today's Resolutions are dealing with these two subjects. And anything that has to do with questioning the original siting is not pertinent today. We appreciate your comments and if there is an issue that the EPA finds as something we need to address, I'm sure they will be forwarding that information to us. As today, these are the two issues and the only two issues we'll be addressing today.

Ms. Lester stated thank you.

County Executive Walsh said thank you for your time.

Member Konicki, I just wanted to comment, I think in terms of determining what's relevant, just for the record I realize the sentiment in the room this morning, but I think its discourtesy not to allow public a judgment on what's relevant. I know this and I know we've done more talking than our public speaker. I happen to agree that it's relevant. We know that testimony at the original siting process, expert witnesses disagreed strongly. Back then (inaudible) landfill, it may have been more reasonable to have just believed Waste Management's experts, to the extent that there was an increased risk of an environmental harm, whether it's from the air, the ground water, or (inaudible) into a gas to energy facility. I think it's appropriate to revisit that conflicting testimony and see whether or not the potential benefit to the County is sufficient to outweigh the potential harm to the environment, particularly in view of the conflicting testimony. I realize you can pull that switch and you're apparently not gonna allow that kind of discussion this morning. I will be trying to revisit perhaps during my County Board comments, but for the record and on behalf of the public I object to you and the Board telling the public what is and what is not relevant. She did not come here to waste her time; she wouldn't have raised it if she didn't think it was relevant. (inaudible) this morning to summarily limit this discussion. I think you're avoiding the major issues. That's my comments.

County Executive Walsh responded by saying thank you Member Konicki. I appreciate and respect your opinion but we are following the law according to what our State's Attorney has instructed us and following the procedures set forth by the rules from the Illinois EPA. So if you have a difference of opinion, you're more than welcome, I'm sure, to take those opinions to the respective offices or agencies but that's where we're at today. Member Moustis?

Member Moustis stated if I may. I believe the public is being allowed to make comments even if we do, even if we feel it is out of the issue that we're here for today. I will make a mention about the Karst and that is to make a general statement about Karst. And this wasn't for us, in the original siting. And there was contrary testimony and there has never been any evidence and if you can show me evidence that there is Karst on this 300 acre site. If you want to narrow it down we're a 300 acre landfill. That's a 500 acre site, about 300 of it is landfill. So, I agree with the County Executive. You can make any statements you want. And, you can say there is new evidence of Karst out there. But you're presenting absolutely no evidence that there is Karst on that 300 acres.

County Executive Walsh stated I appreciate that Member Moustis. And, Ms. Ginny Lester you had the opportunity. If there is anything more you would like to say, you're more than welcome to say it. And, I certainly appreciate your comments with regards to being supportive of what we think is a very good project. But today is not the day to discuss the issue of Karst according to what we are instructed by us following the procedure of this hearing today.

Member Konicki stated I respect the State's Attorney certainly, however I think her opinion is bias and correct me if I'm wrong, it's in terms of what we may need. If you want to (inaudible) and stop this discussion immediately. She will support you. You can do it. But, I'm not so sure...I doubt she's told you you have to do that. So I hope you will exercise leeway to the extent you have it in favor of a more broad discussion of issues that perhaps people other than you do feel is relevant here this morning. And though our speaker has stepped from the podium, she's talking about Karst that were discovered just...there up by our Laraway recycling facility. These are sink holes they just recently discovered in the last year or two. And we have gotten an opinion back from the Illinois State Geological Survey. geologist who's studying the Karst, the entire period. And that includes down by the landfill in terms of evidence in the record, the opposing expert witness, the (inaudible) geologist. (inaudible) of testimony documenting the presence of Karst that are currently in the landfill. His opinion and its well supported if you care to read his rationale, and stay out of it (inaudible) graphic levels. That Karst is a high volume conduit which connects immediately and efficiently in Prairie Creek which runs through the Midewin, tall grass prairie and then the creek around Wilmington and into the Kankakee River.

County Executive stated thank you Member Konicki. He then asked if there is anyone else from the general public. Yes sir, please come forward.

Mr. Ben Entwisle came forward and stated good morning, I live at 16340 W. 143rd Street, in Lockport. That's rural Homer Township. I was asked to look at the paperwork which was passed out to the County Board Members on this subject, and asked to give my opinion. After reading it I've come to the conclusion that I can't take a position in opposition or in favor of this because the description of what is proposed is so skimpy and so incomplete that I don't know what Waste Management is really proposing to do. Now why was I asked to give an opinion? Well first, I'm a chemical engineer. And, what we're talking about is a chemical process...a bio chemical process. Also it just so happens that back in the mid 50's I was employed at the Joliet Arsenal by the operating contractor which was the US Rubber Company. I was there as a chemical engineer. I know the products they made. I know how they made them. I'm familiar with the processes they had. But I wouldn't want to be sitting in your chairs and be asked to vote for this thing, up or down, based on such incomplete information. So I think you should go back to Waste Management and ask for a detailed verbal description of the process that they are proposing along with one or more schematics as is necessary to describe it and then there would be some benefit also for you and for the County, perhaps if we had an evening forum where more questions could be asked, because the quality of your decision will be based on the quality of the information on which it's erected. So, one other comment I'd like to make about the leachate. We need to know what's happening to that now. Because even if there's no recirculation there's rainfall and there is maybe 30 inches of rainfall during the course of a year in this

geographical area. Where does it go? The rainfall where ever it lands goes somewhere. A little bit of it evaporates. Also, what is in this leachate? What composes it and if it might be a problem. It could be trucked away and eliminated. If it's trucked away, where does it go? How is it treated? There are answers to all these questions. I'm certain that Waste Management could readily furnish them and thinking of the well being of Will County and not taking any sort of prejudicial position against Waste Management I think is to everyone's advantage that you have that information. Thank you.

County Executive Walsh stated that you Mr. Entwisle. I appreciate your comments in regards to Public Hearing. The public is always capable of requesting a public hearing when Waste Management goes before the IEPA for there approval on this. And that an evening meeting could also be asked for at that time. So there is always still a time that additional informational public hearing can be held. Any questions of this gentleman? Member Konicki?

Member Konicki responded to Mr. Entwisle. She stated that there have been two informational meetings for County Board Members so I would assume, and correct me if I'm wrong, so when you're discussing another informational meeting, public forum, you're anticipating one in which members of the public could interface with Waste Management directly and get their questions answered. People such as yourself for example, am I correct on that?

Mr. Entwisle responded partially. To this extent I think if we had a much more detailed description of the processing that they are proposing, and when I say we I'm talking about all of us, at least the County Board would have it. Then if we had this meeting, this forum meeting. Much more intelligent questioning can be done. Right now we're looking at a black box. We don't know what's in there. I can make certain assumptions on what there doing and how there doing it. But there assumptions.

Member Konicki stated on behalf of Mr. Entwisle's commentary I would request, rather than voting on this today, we do schedule an informational meeting in the evening. Kind of a (inaudible) Forest Preserve just put on. But it's a meeting for the public. They come in, there are exhibits describing the process, showing them what it will look like. And, there are some Waste Management people there available on the floor for people such as Mr. Entwisle to flush out more details that we as a County Board, we as members of the public have a more accurate understanding. It's a complicated process and I think we have, personally, I think we put the cart before the horse, by holding a public hearing which is for comments, prior to holding a public informational meeting where the public can get answers. So that when they show up at a public hearing they know their position and they know what to say. I would ask that of this County that we do before we vote and take this further. I don't think we're in a rush, I don't think the world ends if we don't pass this today. But I believe we do a more public (inaudible) several very active environmental groups. That they be allowed access to Waste Management to get a better understanding of what's being proposed.

County Executive Walsh asked if there were any questions of this gentleman. Thank you very much Mr. Entwisle. Thank you for your comments. He then asked if there were any other questions from the general public who wishes to speak, to please come forward.

Ms. Christine Book came forward saying good morning, my name is Christine Book, and I reside at 713 Bethel Drive in Joliet. And I'm here as a member of the Will Environmental Network, and also as a coordinating member with the Citizens Against Ruining the Environment. Obviously many of those individuals could not make it to a day time hearing and I speak on their behalf by asking that more be able to be said. And I understand through the Illinois EPA will be hopefully coordinated for an evening meeting. I'll try and make it brief but my general consensus is there's been a ton of information that's come to us just in the last seventy-two hours prior to this vote that has been discussed in the last eighteen months about the discrepancies that might exist between Waste Management's findings and those of the State Geological Survey and the State Water Survey. Two of whose members have been in Will County in the last week. I've spoken to Congresswoman Halvorson's office of the concern and wanting to just basically make sure that for those who haven't yet wrapped their brain around the idea of the topography in the region, in the Joliet region, there are extensive documents talking to that and I think it would just behoove this body to spend some additional time instead of taking upon something where more information might be divulged later, to maybe just consider that there is through the geological survey more information coming through this (inaudible) that's recently been released. I'm not necessarily addressing the siting per se from years back. I'm talking about current data that the County has released to the State Geological Survey who is doing their current mapping. So the request at this point would be to do current mapping and modeling and then monitoring them on an ongoing basis. And I applaud any effort that's been made on behalf of this County Board to encourage Waste Management to monitor that. But I will just say that as more information comes through and as what the Hydra Geologists are saying is inescapable or irrefutable evidence about this topography in the region that that be taken into account and measures be made to make sure that we're basically all protected. Because were here to just make sure that everybody's air, land and waters are protected. And without denying the opportunity for business to do what they do, and without denying the opportunity for agreeing to solutions to a problem, to just take in all the evidence and not just a single site. There are two sides to every story and we certainly hope to get more communication out from the geological survey and the water survey on what is there so everyone can work together and proceed in the current knowledge of what exists in that region. So I appreciate the opportunity and I encourage everyone to take on as much information as you can to ingest it to understand it and to ask the experts who have spoken to at least a portion of this Board before understanding exactly what that means. It's a big issue but its well worth looking at. And I think it will behoove everybody in the best interests of the County to do that.

County Executive Walsh thanked Ms. Christine Book.

Member Moustis stated I asked a question, and I asked all the questions of the Executive Office, but I'd like to ask a question (inaudible). Leachate is there. It exists. We currently siphon it off; put it in a truck, take it to a waste treatment plant and then it's treated. County Board Members weren't aware of that. That's how leachate is currently

handled. So I don't quite understand, when (inaudible) how recirculation of leachate changes that. The leachate is there, even (inaudible) knowledge, and I don't think the survey you quoted is an official survey from the Illinois Geological Survey, but not that they haven't done additional work, and I don't know if they stand behind it currently. That's one of the questions I want to ask the Executive's office. So I don't quite understand, even if all those conditions existed, what changes with leachate circulation?

Ms. Christine Book responded as I'm not a scientist and I don't want to quote anyone who might be, I just want to reiterate the idea that...the concept of doing more movement of recirculation of materials at the time and doing that without understanding the premise that Karst topography exists in the region. That makes it a heightened area. It throws up a red flag from my understanding. That's what they're trying to say about the recirculation issue.

Member Moustis states I'm actually acknowledging even if there is Karst, the landfills are there. It has been sited. The point is that the leachate is there. My understanding is that generally we do not let it...the operation does not let it go above eight inches of leachate at the bottom of the liner before it's taken out. Weather permitting that leachate is generally taken out on a regular basis and treated. The leachate is there. I guess what I'm asking you is, even if I acknowledge discussions purposes Karst, how does leachate circulation in your view change anything?

Ms. Christine Book responded saying I wouldn't even say that since there changing, I'm saying it's an existing problem that the people who I work with for two decades that have tried getting some traction with municipal bodies and individuals that say that it exists. It is my understanding that the water is moving faster, than things are being carried through this virtual sieve. And again without trying to be scientific, I'm not qualified to speak in that manner; I can read chapter and verse what I've understood currently in the last week even from Geological Survey experts who have seen the liner and are now doing the current mapping. What I'm trying to say is why not proceed with current knowledge to answer our questions so there is more information on that.

Member Moustis responded that it seems to me that leachate recirculation doesn't change the condition. So I (inaudible) convinced me that even if there's different conditions there, that the situation with recirculation whether current practice that makes a difference.

Ms. Christine Book responded that I think (inaudible) to get the answers as well. Because my understanding is that the identification in the crevices in that region is going to bring out the concern about where that leachate flows, just being in the presence of that region that it flows through.

Member Moustis asked Ms. Book if she doubts if the leachate it there.

Ms. Christine book said it's absolutely been there.

Member Moustis stated that recirculating it doesn't change it. It's still there.

Ms. Christine Book stated that nor has Karst topography has been there for twenty years and needs to be addressed.

Member Moustis said this is more commentary on my part. But what I'm really hearing is shut down the landfill and move it. County Executive, if I may...

Ms. Christine Book asked if I could answer.

Member Moustis stated sure.

Ms. Christine Book continued saying I haven't said it and there is no one on the environmental end who knows that's even a possibility. So we're not here to say it at all. If it's going to proceed, let's be really smart. Let's get as much information from as many sources as we can and make sure we monitor and make sure we met everything that's there from all sources then we can proceed accordingly.

Member Moustis responded that the only comment to that in my view, the only thing this County can do, and this is by the way is a County Landfill, all landfill, it's not a Waste Management landfill that is operated for us. I mean outside of having every ounce of leachate out of there, absence of that what could possibly make a difference. Recirculating it doesn't make a difference. Looking at the leachate, it's still there. And, we still take leachate off is still shipped and treatable. So even if conditions changing if conditions were different the landfill is there, the leachate is there. You haven't said one thing, at least to convince me, that recirculating or not recirculating changes anything.

Ms. Book stated that's why I'm looking forward to an open public forum for the EPA or through the Counties (inaudible) it is and if we find more information from those...

Member Moustis stated the EPA is into that technical stuff. County Executive has your office been in contact with this Illinois Geological Survey folks do you know.

County Executive Walsh responded yes we have contacted State Senator A.J. Wilhelmi who has had conversations with Mr. Steven Wald of the Illinois State Geological Survey and they unequivocally stand by their decisions that were made back in the year it was 1998, on the siting of this location. This as you say, 300 some acres of the County landfill.

Member Moustis stated that I just want to make sure I understand what you're saying. So the Illinois Geological Survey has basically stated that no condition has changed at that landfill.

County Executive Walsh stated that's what I would assume is their response. They are standing by the statements and the testimony and data that they used when they did the survey in 1999, 1998, 1997 and the ongoing monitoring also. So I don't know if we can get any clearer than that.

Member Moustis said that they are not acknowledging any additional information. So their basically, at this point, officially they're not acknowledging any changes at our site.

County Executive Walsh stated no. They are not acknowledging, I believe that as they are as part of their responsibility I'm sure that they are still doing whatever it is, monitoring and I would think that if there was something there, our people, Mr. Dean Olson would be probably notified immediately if anything ran up a red flag would be noticed. We have not received any notifications of any basically geological change.

County Executive Walsh thanked Ms. Christine Book.

Member Konicki stated that I think the situation, which (inaudible) speaker has found herself unable to carry her end of the conversation, because she doesn't have enough information. I certainly, to the extent, when leachate if it became more toxic, recirculation and currently that's a question mark. I did ask Waste Management what the components for a leachate needs are not drawing it off. What are the suspended contaminants? And I ask them how those would change over time...

County Executive Walsh asked Member Konicki why didn't you bring that up in the regular meeting when we had Waste Management here. You're supposed to be questioning the speaker and the speaker made an excellent comment and she doesn't have the knowledge to or she basically stated....

Member Konicki continued that she ran for the hills because she wanted to get out from under the fire. The bottom line is (inaudible) and this is where I think we need informational meeting for the public. They ought to know what's in the leachate. Waste Management did respond yet most of leachate concentration drop over time. But obviously the question is which ones helped or which ones are unique with our landfill that they do not have experience with another landfill so they don't know. But our speaker may say, I think, some fairly (inaudible) questions. It's not that there aren't good answers. It's just the fact that the public doesn't (inaudible) our entire (inaudible) network. They don't have enough information, they would like more. Like an informational meeting not watching us....

County Executive Walsh said Member Konicki point well taken.

County Executive asked Member May if she had a comment?

Member May stated I have a question for Ms. Christine Book. I know Chris. Chris and I are more like moms when it comes to this issue. It's the concern about the water and the river and creeks not being contaminated. So Ms. Christine Book like County Executive Walsh said that our staff, they monitor, (inaudible) that I ask that question, how they monitor the streams and stuff. If you're more comfortable (inaudible) I can email those Reports (inaudible) access to them so you would be more comfortable?

Ms. Christine Book answered definitely.

County Executive Walsh stated that everything is done, you can access any information. Mr. Dean Olson will spend a day with you and fill you in on anything Ms. Book that you want to ask that is public information. All it takes is a request and I'm sure that Mr.

Dean Olson will give you information...give you a lot of information. Okay. Mr. Dean Olson is a professional when it comes to that. There is nothing Member May, if she has any questions at all she wants to see any data, how it's collected, whatever, it's there.

Member May stated that a lot of us don't understand about the leachate, all those kind of things.

County Executive Walsh responded with due respect, that I don't understand all of it either. But we do have a professional in regards to Mr. Dean Olson, who has been in Waste Service for 13 years. And this is what this man does day in and day out on these issues. We have Ms. Renee Cipriano who represents us as a County Board and as a County. That was the former IEPA Director for four or five years. And she represented us. I feel very confident that we have some of the best that has sat here and met with Waste Management hours and hours and hours to make sure that we were being guaranteed as best as science can give us. They've given us the best shot of doing what they're proposing and we are wanting or would be partnering with Waste Management on this issue. That I'm sure that if there was any question, whatsoever of any improper process out there that a red flag would go up immediately.

Member May stated County Executive, I agree with you. But I'm just saying that this needs....

County Executive Walsh responded that we need to assure our general public. You're absolutely right Member May.

Member Moustis stated that along those same lines I would like to comment that this is a Will County landfill. Owned by Will County and owned by the people of Will County to address the needs of solid waste needs of residents of Will County. Having said that I believe that since we, as a County, own the landfill if the IEPA is the Illinois Geological Survey, our solid waste division, bring the case to us, additional monitoring should be put in to protect our water ways, we as a County, I believe will not hesitate to do that. If there is ever a need for additional monitoring...we don't need to get permission from anyone to do that. It's not like we have to go to Waste Management and say will you put them in. We as a County can do that. And I would assure the public; at least while I'm here. And if any of those issues come up, if there is ever a question about our water ways, and they feel additional monitoring is necessary, we as a County will do that.

County Executive Walsh thanked Member Moustis. Member Maher...

Member Maher stated I also want to give a little history lesson. This isn't the first time we've had these discussions. And when you talk about the research that we've done, we've presented to this Board and the Executive Committee and when we had the Ad-hoc Community for the Utility and Energy Resource Committee we had folks come in and do extensive education on leachate recycling and some of the other technologies that are out there utilized by folks around the country to capture the gas and turn it into electricity. So it may seem like we have an abridged version in front of people but that's not the case with the majority of the folks that have been on this Board for years.
Member Blackburn left at 11:00 a.m.

County Executive Walsh thanked Member Maher. He asked if there was anyone else from the public that wishes to speak. He asked three times. He asked if anyone was there from the CARE (Citizens against Ruining the Environment) Organization. They have submitted a letter that I would like to read into the record.

LETTER FROM CITIZENS AGAINST RUINING THE ENVIRONMENT (CARE) DATED 2/17/2010 TO WILL COUNTY REGARDING WASTE MANAGEMENT LANDFILL GAS TO ENERGY WAS READ INTO THE RECORD. THE LETTER IS ON FILE IN THE COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE.

Member Moustis made a motion, seconded by Member Dralle to close Public Hearing.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-four.

No negative votes.

PUBLIC HEARING FOR LAND USE CASES IS CLOSED AT 11:16 A.M.

County Executive asked if Member Moustis wanted to put Resolution #10-29 on the floor, then we'll go from there.

Member Moustis Presented Resolution #10-29, Authorizing the County Executive to Execute the Third Amendment to the Host Agreement and Agreement for Operation/Development of the Will County Landfill (a.k.a. Prairie View Landfill), and Attached Landfill Gas Purchase Agreement between the County of Will and Waste Management Renewable Energy, L.L.C. and Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.



Executive Committee Resolution #10-29

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILLCOUNTY, ILLINOIS

AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE THE THIRD AMENDMENT TO THE HOST AGREEMENT AND AGREEMENT FOR OPERATION/DEVELOPMENT OF THE WILL COUNTY LANDFILL (a.k.a. PRAIRIE VIEW LANDFILL), AND ATTACHED LANDFILL GAS PURCHASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF WILL AND WASTE MANAGEMENT RENEWABLE ENERGY, L.L.C. AND WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC. **WHEREAS,** Will County is the owner of the Will County Landfill, also known as the Prairie View Landfill, located at 29755 S. Prairie View Drive, Wilmington, Illinois; and

WHEREAS, Waste Management of Illinois, Inc., (hereinafter known as Operator) will construct, operate and maintain the Landfill Gas Management System (hereinafter defined) including all costs associated therewith in accordance with the "Host Agreement and Agreement for Operation/Development of the Will County Landfill (a.k.a. Prairie View Landfill)", entered into on the 2nd day of June 1997; and

WHEREAS, an Amendment to the Host Agreement was made and entered into on the 13th day of December, 2001; and

WHEREAS, a Second Amendment to the Host Agreement was made and entered into on December 3, 2003; and

WHEREAS, Resolution #09-299 authorized the County Executive to renegotiate the Will County Host Agreement with Waste Management to Accomplish a Renewable Energy Plant and Leachate Recirculation at Prairie View; and

WHEREAS, the Landfill (hereinafter defined) generates Landfill Gas (hereinafter defined), produced from the decomposition of refuse within the Landfill and consisting primarily of methane and carbon dioxide; and

WHEREAS, Will County owns the Landfill Gas produced from the Landfill; and

WHEREAS, Waste Management Renewable Energy, LLC (Developer hereinafter identified) is an affiliate of Operator that is agreeing to cooperate with Operator in fulfilling its obligations pursuant to the Host Agreement; and

WHEREAS, Section 1.1 of the Host Agreement provides that, if Will County does not desire to develop a methane gas to electricity conversion plant fueled by methane gas extracted from the Landfill ("LFG") and Developer desires to develop said plant, then Will County and Developer shall enter into good faith negotiations with respect to the specifications and a revenue sharing agreement for such plant; and

WHEREAS, Will County does not desire to develop a methane gas to electricity conversion plant fueled by Landfill Gas and further agrees that Operator may act through its affiliated company, Developer, to develop the energy facility; and

WHEREAS, Operator wishes to construct a Renewable Energy Facility in cooperation with its affiliated company, Developer, and to purchase from Will County the Landfill Gas collected from the Landfill by Operator for use as fuel in such facility, and Developer further wishes to occupy and improve the Site as more fully described in accordance with the terms and conditions hereof and of that separate Lease which is attached hereto; and

WHEREAS, the Developer and Operator agree to all of the terms in the attached Landfill Gas Purchase Agreement for Renewable Energy Facility at the Prairie View Landfill; and **WHEREAS**, the attached Landfill Gas Purchase Agreement will be considered part of the Host Agreement and Agreement for Operation/Development of the Will County Landfill (a.k.a. Prairie View Landfill); and

WHEREAS, the parties hereto desire to amend the Agreement per the attached amendment; and

WHEREAS, the Will County Board has reviewed and recommends approval of the "Third Amendment to the Host Agreement and Agreement for Operation/Development of the Will County Landfill (a.k.a. Prairie View Recycling and Disposal Facility)", and a Landfill Gas Purchase Agreement Between the County of Will and Waste Management Renewable Energy, L.L.C. and Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby authorizes the County Executive to execute the attached Third Amendment to the Host Agreement and Agreement for Operation/Development of the Will County Landfill (a.k.a. Prairie View Recycling and Disposal Facility)", and a Landfill Gas Purchase Agreement Between the County of Will and Waste Management Renewable Energy, L.L.C. and Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)	
	Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk
Approved this day of, 20 ²	10.
	Lawrence M. Walsh

Will County Executive

Member Moustis made a motion, seconded by Member Traynere to put Resolution #10-29 on the Floor.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Seiler, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-four.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #10-29 HAS BEEN PLACED ON THE FLOOR.

County Executive Walsh stated that we will proceed. Mr. Matt Ryan will briefly describe the nature of the discussions that we have held with Waste Management and the resulting Agreement. Ms. Renee Cipriano will briefly comment on her expert opinion on

the benefits to the County on the Agreement and other key aspects of the Agreement. Mr. Paul Pabor will briefly address some of the concerns from last week's discussion regarding similar contracts in other jurisdictions where gas is purchased from landfill owners and the anticipated revenues that will accrue to the County through the life of the Agreement and other issues raised by County Board members during last week's discussion. Mr. Matt Ryan...

Member Seiler left at 12:15.

Mr. Matt Ryan came forward saying thank you County Executive and members of the County Board. I just want to briefly touch on an overview of the Counties approach to these negotiations in light of some of the questions that came up last week at the Special County Board Hearing and in subsequent communications leading up to today's vote on the Agreement.

Matt Ryan further stated:

- Discussions regarding a methane gas to energy facility began last summer. As Resolution 10-29 indicates, Section 1.1 of the Original Host agreement for the Prairie View Landfill, a methane gas to energy facility was anticipated when the Host Agreement was approved in 1997.
- Since the landfill began accepting waste in 2004, methane gas, a naturally occurring by-product of waste decomposition, has been generated. There is now sufficient gas available to fuel three engines reliably and consistently to generate electricity.
- In September, Waste Management made a presentation to the Board on this proposed project. Subsequently the Board passed Resolution #09-299 authorizing the County Executive to negotiate an agreement that will be added to the Host Agreement for the construction and operation of a GTE Facility.
- Understanding that these agreements are complex and have both short-term and long term consequences for the County, we asked State's Attorney Glasgow to appoint special counsel with expertise in environmental law to assist with the negotiation of this agreement.
- Ms. Renee Cipriano of Schiff Hardin, a recognized legal expert in environmental law with a long background in Illinois and national legal circles was appointed special counsel. She has been assisted by Ms. Jane Montgomery of the same firm who has extensive experience in environmental and energy policy law. You will hear from them in a few minutes.
- Our negotiating approach with Waste Management on this agreement was straightforward. We sought an agreement that would work over both the short-term and the long-term. We wanted to minimize risk to the County from both capital investments of taxpayer dollars, to establish a reliable and stable revenue stream from the sale of the methane gas, which the County owns, and to share in the revenue from the sale of energy generated by the facility.

- This agreement accomplishes all of these goals. Let me assure you that these negotiations were not simple. Without the expertise of special counsel and the valuation experts they brought to the table, this agreement would not be before you today.
- Before I call on Ms. Cipriano to give her perspective on the agreement, let me close by stating that this agreement involves no investment of taxpayer dollars for the capital cost of the facility; \$1 million will be allocated towards the capital costs from a Dept. of Energy stimulus grant that will enable the County to share revenue sooner from the sale of energy products; it establishes a base price of \$12 per megawatt/hr for the sale of gas to Waste Management, this guarantees a reliable revenue stream for the County; and it enables the County to share in the revenue from the sale of energy products after a certain threshold market price is reached. If additional engines are added after 4 engines are up and running, the revenue terms can be revisited. But clearly engines won't be added unless they are economically justified.
- Overall we believe this agreement is very beneficial to Will County and its residents. It takes a by-product of the landfill, converts it to green energy and provides a stable revenue source to the County with minimal risk.
- Ms. Renee Cipriano and Ms. Jane Montgomery will now provide comments on the agreement. They will be followed by Mr. Paul Pabor; Vice-President of Waste Management Renewable Energy to address some issues that were raised at last week's meeting, then discussion will follow by the Board.

Ms. Renee Cipriano stated good morning everyone. Mr. Matt Ryan, thank you very much. You actually hit on a lot of the points that I thought were important for us to talk about again. You might recall last week we went through a pretty detailed description of the Agreement and we handed a term sheet out for you to review in order to prepare whatever questions you may have for us. I am not going to go back through that page and a half document that was prepared for you. But I do wish to highlight again some of the points that Mr. Matt Ryan made as to why this is a very good Agreement and a very good project for Will County.

First, as Mr. Ryan mentioned, we brought to this negotiation a lot of expertise, and only the expertise of our law firm. We have the premier energy and environmental practice in the Midwest. But we also did not just rely on what Waste Management presented to us in terms of their pricing proposal and the remarket condition descriptions; we brought in Meara which I had mentioned last week, which is a national expert, and an economist. We had them looking at the pricing and considered existing market situations and possible variables, and help us evaluate the price proposal that we had before us.

As Mr. Ryan also mentioned, we intentionally structured this Agreement so that we can get the most out of the Agreement now. We wanted to have a certain payment structure for the County. So I'm gonna say that one more time because it's really important. We wanted to do what we could with this Agreement and make sure that we were getting the best deal we could get. And also, to set a certain payment that the County could rely on. I think it's important though, to also stress that this is a twenty year agreement, but when new

engines are added, we have the ability to drag Waste Management back to the negotiation table, sit back down, analyze where we have been, where we would like to go and consider any developments that we had in the market, in the energy market area.

We can also consider things that happened on Carbon Legislation, which could possibly have an impact on our Agreement. So again, although we had a long term contract here and we have a certain pricing structure today, we made sure to have the ability to sit back down with Waste Management and look at what we have when new engines are being added so we can again ensure that we have the best deal possible for the County.

I can't stress enough how good this project is for the environment. There were some very thoughtful comments provided by CARE through their letter to the County and I just want to comment that this gas is being produced now. And it's being flared now. What we're able to do with this project and through this facility is to take this very intense greenhouse gas emission and turn it into green usable energy. And that's a very good thing.

We are not at all suggesting nor would I think, County Executive, that the County would suggest that there isn't a place for wind energy and there isn't a place for solar. We should be encouraging the full portfolio of renewable energy. But this certainly is a renewable energy and it's a very good project. It is one that I am confident that we can tout very proudly, can use as an educational tool for our school children and for the community and I hope the County takes advantage of that. Because I think you all should be very proud of this project and certainly will be happy to answer any questions you may have. This is a very good project. And I'm 100% behind it and I'm proud of what we were able to negotiate. I did want to change (inaudible) very quickly and then certainly I think we'll be available for questions at the conclusion. To talk just about Meara because I think there were some questions last time when we were before you about that particular consultant we worked with. So Ms. Jane Montgomery very quickly.

Ms. Jane Montgomery came forward and states Meara is a national consulting firm that is primarily composed of economists and the folks that did this work are regularly hired by both utilities, independent power generators and by companies that actually purchased electricity to help them evaluate the pricing of energy both now and in the future. So they have a whole system of economic models and power models to try and figure out where power is coming from and prices in the different areas of the country. So they're very experienced in looking at power pricing and seeing where it is likely that power prices will go in the future. And as I mentioned in this project we have two very different price curves that make it a challenge both for Waste Management and for us to really try to figure out what the best revenue (inaudible) and the most likely revenue from this source will be over a twenty year time frame.

County Executive Walsh stated thank you. We'll let Mr. Paul Pabor go first then when we're all done with the presentation then we'll open when whoever moves at our County Board hearing.

Mr. Paul Pabor came forward and stated good morning it's good to see everybody this week. I have a three or four slide presentation in response to some of the questions that were raised last week. To reiterate what I said last week, Waste Management serves as

a general contractor to these projects. We directly procure the major equipment and we directly retain the various contractors that are used on these projects. For about the last twenty years...more than twenty years, since 1987 we have these Caterpillar engines that we get from Patton Power Systems located in Chicago. And, whether we build a plant in Oregon, Georgia or Maine, or Texas, we get our engines from Patton. So regardless of where we build a plant there are Illinois people that are being put to work to provide those engines. The same with Intercon, our switchgear provider is out of Illinois. And they provide switchgear for all of our plants and both of these have Caterpillar and Intercom switchgear will be provided at Prairie View. And fuel skids come out of a compressor package company that's located in Houston. Questions were also asked, how does this contract compare with some other contracts we've done. We haven't done a whole lot of these. Like I said last week most of our plants are built on our landfills that we own or have the gas rights to. So there is no gas payment. Waste Management owns the gas. This one, I believe I also said last week, you're gonna see that what we have with Prairie View with Will County is in a very narrow range of prices that we've offered to others. You can see the base price, the Will County base price is \$12.00 per megawatt hour. The base prices range from less than \$10 to less than \$15 dollars so you're right in the middle of that range. The RST is the revenue sharing threshold. That is the price of energy above which we share 50% with the County. The City of Denver there is no revenue sharing threshold. And then with the others, with Will County we have a \$53 dollar threshold and you can see this is actually lower than the other three I have up there. Also point out that on the top three we were the winning bid. So that was the best offer that these Counties and Cities received out of a number of other bids. We compete against probably eight or ten primary other companies that are in the landfill, gas to energy business and they go out and bid on these city and county projects and so in those cases we had the best offer. In Collier County I believe I mentioned two that are very similar to the case we have at Prairie View where Waste Management operates that landfill and through the Host Community Agreement we are building the power plant and you can see that their base price is a little higher but their revenue sharing threshold is guite a bit higher. At a very over arching look at this through the 20 year term of this project, if we get a starting at \$53.00 per megawatt hour and we're fairly confident given today's energy crisis and renewable energy credits that we're going to be in that vicinity and if we have a 2% escalator each year, the gross revenue to the plant will be about \$60 million dollars. Base payment to the County will be about \$60 million dollars. Base payment to the County will be about \$11 million. That's 18% that's over 1/6th of the gross off the top gross revenue that will be a guarantee for the County regardless of what the energy price is that's the payment that's gonna be made to the County. If the assumption here on energy pricing is correct than the County revenue sharing will be another \$5.5 million or almost another 10% of the gross revenue. You can see, again, if the energy price is correct on the prediction here, the County would receive over 1/4th of the gross revenue from this project. Again to point out, I think the points been made before, that's no capital investment by the County. No risk taking on operating expense on scheduled repairs, gas quality, emissions limits. And again over 1/6th regardless what the energy price is and with what we expect to be the energy price to be about 1/4th of the gross revenue. Thanks again for your time and we'll be answering questions.

County Executive Walsh thanked Mr. Paul Pabor. He stated what we're gonna do is we're going to allow everybody...everybody has the right to ask questions. We're going to try and give everybody an opportunity to ask questions so to get your opportunity, get

your questions asked because time limitations we don't want to be going back around the second time. Member Konicki is first.

Member Konicki stated thank you. What's difficult here is because three Waste Management people, well their not always the three people...three experts at the podium. Actually I have questions for all three.

County Executive stated go ahead and ask Member Konicki you have the floor right now.

Member Konicki continued saying can you put the chart back up for the revenue sharing threshold. Right now our revenue sharing threshold is \$53.00, and for example the City of Denver doesn't even have special thresholds. The City of Farmers Branch is \$55.00 which is similar to ours, that's 2009 Agreement. But to get our threshold at \$53.00 we have to put in \$1 million dollars. And under the Agreement if we were to not put in anything, our threshold is actually \$60. That Agreement up there \$55.00...do that have to put in any money to get that \$55.00, I'm talking City of Farmers Branch, Texas.

Mr. Paul Tabor responded saying no they did not. Madison County did. Madison County contributed to less than \$1 million dollars, \$800 thousand I believe for a much smaller plant. We have a one engine plant out there.

Member Konicki stated I don't know if they cut a very good deal, with all due respect to them. But...

Mr. Paul Tabor stated, not as good as this one.

Member Konicki stated I don't know if we're cutting as good of a one as City of Farmers Branch so I'm assuming that if we are listed out there we'd be \$60.00. I want to get that clear. Before (inaudible) locations, are those the only four of which you're operating at GTE in partnership with the whole unit of government?

Mr. Paul Tabor answered these are the only four at this time.

Member Konicki stated okay. I'll just go on to Ms. Cipriano. I find it very (inaudible) kind of like a sales pitch...well I think there's a lot of, you know is this the best possible deal and a certain payment. I guess in front of me in writing the document...I guess she didn't want to revisit the basic terms of landfill gases Agreement. And your pretty (inaudible) hear this but we're clearly blind in that Agreement. I'm looking at page two under Fairness of Pricing and it says that we've negotiated a rate that will almost certainly have the County receiving some revenue by 2015. So I mean it's a 20 year agreement, and we're at least four years into it. And, I feel a whole lot of uncertainty whether we're going to get anything, at least for a number of years. And the second sentence says as if you haven't already qualified (inaudible) enough at least come out and say there is no certainty in any aspect of this agreement. Here's how it reads; therefore we negotiated a rate that will almost certainty in any aspect of this agreement. Here's the county receiving some revenue...maybe not much and maybe none by the year 2015 there is no certainty in this aspect. So, I know you've hired this fancy firm and all this stuff but that's sounding...I'm sure we'll get something

out of this agreement. It doesn't sound like we're too sure when, how many years we're gonna run with nothing and there is absolutely no certainty. You couldn't have warned us more than what you have with that phrase. Could you comment on that?

Ms. Renee Cipriano responded that I just want to explain why. Ms. Jane Montgomery actually prepared this document so I'm gonna give her the benefit of explaining her own language. But, let me just comment, just as a threshold matter. I have nothing to sell to you. There is no benefit that I get out of you voting in support of this agreement. My job is to do the best I can to represent you, which is what I do with all my clients. In particular Will County. So, certainly it was not a sales job, it was a confidence in what we negotiated and how we approached this agreement based on what we have available to us. With that introduction, threshold comment. I'll go ahead and have Ms. Jane Montgomery explain that particular provision that's in that document she shared.

Ms. Jane Montgomery stated that there are two payments that you get. The first payment is the base gas payment that is a guaranteed payment. It doesn't matter what the revenue from the facility is. The minute that Waste Management Renewables starts generating electricity you will receive for every megawatt hour \$12.00 for every single megawatt hour that comes out of that facility. Regardless of how much revenue Waste Management gets. That's essentially an operating cost of the facility, it's not a profit. Then the revenue sharing threshold is taking an interest in the profit of the facility. The revenue sharing threshold is based on the price of electricity which is something that none of us can actually tell you today. So the price of electricity currently is around \$30.00, more or less in this area. And of course every area of the country has a different price for electricity. So in this area the price is around \$30.00 a megawatt hour. So we are banking on a future of more extensive electricity prices. So it's really something we can't predict. It's based on models that are done with all of the knowledge and like I said there is a price with carbon and a price without carbon. They're very different forward price (inaudible). So that's the question therein. We don't know when the \$53.00 number will be achieved by anyone in the electricity markets.

Member Konicki stated it seems like we're being prudent and we should not necessarily count on that ever being reached. It's the base gas price on which we should evaluate the economic settlement of this contract to our citizens.

Ms. Jane Montgomery responded saying it's the most significantly certain aspect of this agreement.

Member Konicki continued saying it can maybe be the only certain aspect of this agreement. I think that's where I was heading. I don't mean to be argumentative with you. If you could direct your attention to page 14 of the proposed contract; I would like to better understand that section, sales to operator. And I may be reading it wrong when I read it, it sounds like the developer which is the subsidiary, Waste Management, may but has no obligation to sell electric energy to the operating being Waste Management at market rates. Is that true?

Mr. Paul Pabor stated yes, if I could jump in. At many of these projects we provide electricity to the landfill. The landfill has certain electrical demands and it makes

sense for us on our own landfills to sell electricity to our own landfill because we can sell it, because the price we get paid for electricity is less than the price they pay for their retail electricity. And even on our own landfills we sell that electricity to the landfill at whatever price we're getting for the electricity.

Member Konicki stated that to me it sounds to me like this gas that we own, that in this partnership that is being proposed our partner, who is this sub-corporation, Waste Management Recycling, it's not Waste Management itself. Waste Management operates the landfill. The sub-corporation of (inaudible) to take that gas and sell it to you, they can give it to you frankly if they want to. There is no responsibility of them to sell this product to you at market rate. I feel like I'm not understanding...

Ms. Jane Montgomery stated no you do not understand...

Member Konicki further stated that it sounds like we're being cheated, like we're not getting....the partner here so please explain.

Ms. Jane Montgomery stated no. The operator must sell to the Renewable's Company because we own the gas. The County owns the gas; the operator simply provides the gas through the piping system to the Renewable's Company. Renewable's generates electricity. That electricity must go out on the grid through the normal power but Waste Management of Illinois could purchase it just at essentially at a wholesale rate instead of a retail rate. That's really the difference.

Member Konicki stated I more or less understand it. I believe they should pay the retail because that helps us get some income for our residents.

Ms. Jane Montgomery responded it's the same number. We're being paid essentially a wholesale rate. So it's the same rate...it's the exact same dollar figure. It's the exact same dollar figure...it's just there's no profit....

Member Konicki stated that I understand what you're saying...I

Ms. Jane Montgomery stated there's no profit in it.

Member Konicki stated I'm more comfortable with it. The contract mentions that...I can just read the sentence, it's on the same page it's the next paragraph, Electric Metering. It says the electric energy generated by the Facility and sold by Developer shall be measured by meters. And then the next sentence; The Parties acknowledge that amounts payable to Will County under this Agreement will be calculated based on billing statements... What is the reason that the amount paid to us can't be calculated on the meters. The meters are pretty objective. That's just a very solid measure of what we're...

Mr. Paul Tabor stated the statements based on the meter reading will sell to ComEd when we put in the inner connect. They'll have requirements for metering. They own the meter and so that's the best point of delivery for measurement of what we delivered to

ComEd. Then we get a statement from ComEd and that's based on their meter reading. The statement reflects the meter reading and the statement is what we based the revenue sharing and the base payment on.

Member Konicki stated I'm looking at the Patrick Engineering Report. It's attached I think its Resolution #10-30. Is that up now?

County Executive Walsh responded that we are on Resolution #10-29 right now. So when we get to leachate, you'll have another opportunity to ask your question on leachate.

Member Konicki stated okay. I was given a contract; it's a long document in typed print and it's like thirty pages long, last week. I'm kind of running behind the curb, trying to really understand. The contract mentioned...I don't know maybe eight exhibits...attachments. And my version didn't have those attachments. I'm not necessarily interested so much in all of them but I was disappointed that I didn't have Attachment A & B in particular. A is the conceptual schematic of the landfill gas management system and electric generation system. And, Attachment B is the site plan. What I feel is...actually I don't picture it. When I read the contract well they'll be concrete block building out there...and there's gonna be skids something or other. There is just a lot of stuff and I don't know what it is gonna look like. Now it could not have a problem but I would like to have seen it. I would like to see the site plan. I think it is extremely important in given the proximity of this facility to be Abraham Lincoln National Cemetery, and to Midewin that we can be very, very, very careful on the ascetics. If I may, there is another issue. It's even something I may think looks fine. My residents may not. That's why I feel like something like this should have been offered in an informational meeting for the public for them to comment on. Even seeing what it looks like. And I remember the comment was made, well we always anticipated that there would be a recirculation. I was here when we sited the landfill and ascetic was a huge issue. Even the thought of a nice grassy knoll was controversial because it would interfere with the line of sight. Now to that grassy knoll we're adding all these other things and I haven't even been shown them. My residents haven't been shown schematics, sight plans, none of it. I mean a concrete block building. You know if I saw what that looked like, I might be able to talk you into something that looks just a little nicer than this. And maybe you have some of your equipment inside. And I think the engines are gonna be in that. Who can make me be able to talk into putting some other things in there too? And some landscaping for it. I mean I don't have any information on that. And that's uncomfortable for me. If you want to make a comment, please.

Mr. Paul Pabor responding saying I think probably pictures of this would be more beneficial than a schematic line drawing or a plan drawing. Those were presented last September. I can repeat those just for the interest of expediency last week. We'll get pictures of engine room, the switch gears. A panorama of one of the typical buildings that we will be building. I don't know if those are still on this computer or not.

Member Konicki stated weren't they more on line with what they'll look like inside the building, whereas I'm more focused on what they'll look like when you're using the Midewin. What they look like when you're a visitor to the Abraham Lincoln National Cemetery. I don't recall that one back in September.

Mr. Paul Pabor responded no, I didn't present those in September. We didn't do line of sight viewings last September.

Member Konicki stated I feel it's something we should be addressing before we sign a contract.

Mr. Paul Pabor stated we'll be located in the vicinity of the existing flare and lower station.

Member Konicki stated I missed it. Well didn't exactly...the leachate issue. I do think it's relevant to the contract issue...whether we want to bind ourselves into this at all. I mean some issues with Patrick Engineering maybe will go to leachate, but I'd like to address a few. I don't think they go to leachate. They'd go to whether we want to sign the contract committing to it. The Patrick Engineering Report says that they...they don't expect the leachate recirculation should not cause significant operational problems or environmental difficulties. If Waste Management practices...

County Executive Walsh interrupted Member Konicki saying that when Mr. Chris Berger gets up here to speak on that issue, then that's the time for issues that you are addressing in regards to Patrick Engineering.

Member Konicki stated no sir that I disagree. These are issues as to whether we want to lock ourselves into doing this project. And that's...contract...resolution we're on now. We sign that and we're locked into that.

County Executive Walsh stated that those are two separate ordinances.

Member Konicki responded that's correct, but the one on the floor now is the one to sign for the contract, isn't it?

County Executive Walsh responded, for the gas.

Member Konicki stated okay.

(inaudible....)

County Executive Walsh stated go ahead Member Konicki but when it comes to Patrick Engineering you know...

County Executive Walsh responded to Member Konicki that there are others that would like to make comments.

Member Konicki responded that I will be more than happy to listen to their comments. And, I'll be more than happy to terminate mine to allow others to jump in if I can be recognized later.

County Executive Walsh stated, now Member Konicki...

Member Konicki continued; saying now it says they should not cause significant operational problems or environmental difficulties if Waste Management practices are adhered to and with the following caveat. Now, clearly he's (inaudible) number one. What is or is not a significant operational problem is a matter of opinion. What I'm representing, what my residents want from information I want, is what operational problems could there be and to what degree. I'd like to be able to make my own opinion as to whether or not those are significant. I would like that information given to my residents and in a public informational forum so they can give their feedback to me as their elected representative as to which one of those they considered significant operational problems or environmental difficulties. Now I want to look at if best management practices are adhered to and with the following caveat. So clearly we are being told that there (inaudible) addition to be listed caveat we should also be looking at best management practices. Now there is a clause in this contract, the resolution we're considering today, which specifically absolves the recycling facility from using optimum practices. Thereof these practices which are considered prudent and contracts of those practices shall be considered prudent (inaudible) contract specifically says there not be required to use optimum, just prudent. And, that's (inaudible) they will be considered prudent and significant portion of the industry uses them, well again what you consider significant and what I might consider significant or residents, that's different. I would be...I think on this contract with as sensitive an area as this is that we ought to be requiring optimum not just prudent. Look at how prudent is defined and there is a lot of business (inaudible) economical. Those are all business terms. But, I think in view of Midewin and Abraham Lincoln, we deserve optimum out there and not just for (inaudible) I sense the warning in the Engineering Report that we're gonna need (inaudible) out there to help this thing work. If you want to comment on that clause in the contract which specified prudent, and specifically excludes a responsibility to use optimum. Do you know where it is at in the contract?

Ms. Jane Montgomery responded saying I think you are confusing two different issues. The prudent utility practice, the prudent practices, or for operating the engine generators, not for operating; anything having to do with the leachate recirculation or the gap collection system.

Member Konicki asked if there was anything in the contract which does require them to use BMPs?

Ms. Jane Montgomery replied that is a different entity, so no, there is nothing in the...

Member Konicki stated it's a different entity name.

Ms. Jane Montgomery stated this contract is with the renewables company for operation of the generators not for the recirculation of the leachate.

Member Konicki then said so that the recirculation is Waste Management doing that as opposed to the...

Ms. Jane Montgomery stated it's the operation of the landfill, Waste Management of Illinois.

Member Konicki asked if there is anything in here that requires the operator?

Ms. Jane Montgomery replied that it's already required in the contract that Waste Management operates under.

Member Konicki then said BMPs?

Ms. Renee Cipriano said I think here....

Member Konicki said that someone has to...tell me who should...who are they counting on to give you the BMPs, and where is that requirement in our contract?

Ms. Renee Cipriano replied that Waste Management is required to operate their landfill in compliance with permits issued by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. Within that permit our operational restrictions and requirements and the conditions that require that all regulations and laws relative to environmental protection are met. As County Executive Walsh mentioned as well as Mr. Matt Ryan, once you make your decision here, they need...Waste Management needs to go back and get a modification to its permit. At that time Illinois EPA will be inserting within that permit decision all operating requirements that are necessary to address the appropriate operation of leachate recirculation. And so they will need to comply with that permit. If they do not comply with that permit then they face enforcement action by both the Illinois EPA and ultimately the Illinois Attorney General's office.

Member Konicki stated I respect that, but I think we are in a position here to impose BMPs should we choose to do so and these contracts which we haven't done. The law, in my mind, sets the minimum standard and we have the power to contract to raise the bar higher in order to protect ourselves. And I don't see that. They (inaudible) and we can say, oh well I'm sure the state....I think we should do our due diligence and I think it should be in our contract (inaudible) to put it in or something. It's not in there and I don't think it's a good thing for us that it's not in there.

County Executive Walsh stated but Member Konicki if you...Ms. Cipriano just said that it will be put in.

Member Konicki stated that I disagree. I respectively disagree.

County Executive Walsh stated that if you're disagreeing with our experts then that's fine. You have the right to do that.

Member Konicki responded that's not...I disagree with what she said. I...

County Executive Walsh stated Member Konicki we have other people. This is not just your County Board day here today. We have other people.

Member Moustis stated take as long as you want.

Member Konicki stated thank you, because it will go smoother that way. Otherwise you spend more time arguing then trying to stop.

County Executive Walsh stated I was not arguing.

Member Konicki continued; saying there is a clause in the contract which specifically states if there is a conflict between the operation of the site of the landfill and operation of the site for generating (inaudible) that the generation of the gas will top and the landfill will have to take a backseat. I feel that that's a betrayal of our original intent. In siting the landfill which was to give our...would you comment on that because I would like that clause to be just a reverse. Because the landfill operation is the predominant operation and whenever there becomes a conflict between the two the landfill takes precedence.

Ms. Renee Cipriano asked can you actually indicate what provision...

Member Konicki states it's on page 25. It would be under...almost just above the middle of the page. It's under Paragraph C. It says Conflict. This is how it reads: *To the extent of any conflict or inconsistency, Operator acknowledges and agrees that Developer's right to use the Site as contemplated herein,* which would be for the generation of gas, *and the lease is superior to Operator's right to use that same land pursuant to the terms of the Host Agreement,* which is landfill. Tell me shouldn't that just be the reverse?

Ms. Jane Montgomery replied that I think you're really just talking about the 2 acres where the...that's what the site is. The site is defined as the 2 acres where this facility will be built. And it's not...there is no landfill operating on that portion of the facility.

Member Konicki stated that the way it's worded means it doesn't stand a chance. The operator which should be our landfill guy shall not unreasonably interfere with the activities permitted under the terms of the basic lease. Which is basically the Gas to Energy. But if there is any conflict or inconsistencies, I would like the Gas to Energy Facility to give ground to the operation of the landfill out there.

Ms. Jane Montgomery responded that there's really nothing I haven't covered...

Mr. Paul Pabor stated that the Developer, which is WM Renewable Energy will be operating under a lease agreement, power plant on those two acres and that takes precedence to the operator which is Waste Management of Illinois doing anything on the two acres. One of the points I have consistently made in all my presentations is that compliance and landfill operations take precedence over anything the power plant does. So, if we have to put more vacuum on the (inaudible) field to control the landfill gas and that has...causes us to reduce or deraid our engine operations, then we will do that. The first priority in all cases, in all decisions, is compliance at the landfill. And I'm sure this relates to those two acres.

Ms. Renee Cipriano stated that I think the important word to focus on here is how the word site is defined and that helps, sort of puts the box around that provision. It is the two acres and that's defined in the beginning of the Agreement where all the definitions are set forth. I think it's just the word site is sometimes used generically to mean more than

just the landfill. In this case, under this agreement, and it's defined within the four corners of the document, the term site is defined as the two acres which is the facility.

Member Konicki stated on the Contract on page 23, there is another provision which (inaudible) paragraph 8 talking about limitation on damages. It says the damages available to either parties hereunder shall be limited to actual direct damages and neither party shall be liable to the other party for indirect incidental, consequential or special damages. So basically you're identifying five categories of damages and eliminating four of them from our (inaudible) but my concern is this. If there is going to be...it isn't should be environmental contamination. It can get very very very expensive. I know that there is guaranty clauses in here and there is insurance clauses in here and Will County has been named, you know, in the Contract to be insured but I just...you never know...I mean it can be very expensive. And I see a possibility of it exceeding the insurance and our not being able to recover every type of damage that a court might award or that our citizens might suffer. I would prefer there wasn't a limitation like that in here. We'd be able to recover any damages recoverable under law, either on our own behalf or on our citizens' behalf. I mean (inaudible) policy question...and here's why I'm raising it. The signature...our partner in this contract is not Waste Management with nice deep pockets. I wouldn't be worried if I had Waste Management on the other hook...on the other side of this Contract...I'm sorry. It's not Waste Management, its Waste Management is substituted in a Company called Waste Management Renewable Energy, and it's a limited liability company. And I don't know what its future is, how deep its pocket are. Now or are they gonna be in the future. I would like to see something in here that tells us as a County representative of our citizens that if all else fails all these guarantees and insurance policies...if all else fails we still have someone with the financial resources to make us whole. And I don't think that's well supported which is having Waste Management Renewable Energy on the end. I think Waste Management should step forward in this Contract through a clause and say that if Waste Management Renewable Energy doesn't have the resources to make the County and its residents whole that Waste Management will do so.

Mr. Paul Pabor stated he would have to review all the insurance arrangements or requirements and this to the insurance of course goes through Waste Management, the parent company WM Renewable Energy, LLC is a subsidiary of Waste Management Inc. and is a sister company of WM of Illinois as the contract with the landfill and I believe when we looked at this contract through the various bonds and letters of credit and insurance that all go through the parent company you got assurance that anything that comes up is covered. I'd also say you talk about environmental events and the power plant, the only environmental exposures is through the air permit. And we're meeting the air permit and we've done periodically testing on the air permit and...

Member Konicki interrupted saying my concern before us (inaudible) would be. If it was ground water based, because clean up of that can be very expensive. Like I say when you have your own corporate structure and you have (inaudible) it's gonna be handed off to this smaller company. In identifying it as an issue for me and I think you've given it the best answer you can. You obviously can sense, may not be an answer I'm comfortable with, and I don't want to beat a dead horse on it. There is another issue in terms of the financial, economic benefit to the County. It's really important that what we give this partnership we get credit for. Because as we give and as we get credit our, you know this version

up there, you call it the RST, as it gets lower we makes more money. Right now the Contract only gives us credit for grant funds. Unless I'm wrong, and again (inaudible) I'm tendering this to you (inaudible). We're giving in clauses in this Contract if you go to page 6 of the Contract...

County Executive Walsh stated Member Konicki I'm gonna ask you, because there is a Move on to call a question which means that if that's approved then all discussion is going to be stopped and we'll vote on this issue and that unfortunately no one else on this County Board will have the opportunity...

Member Konicki interrupted saying I don't want to cause that I won't be making....

County Executive Walsh stated I know you won't be making the Motion...

Member Konicki stated and I wouldn't support it but I would like to direct your attention to page 6. We are giving easements for utility construction, pipe (inaudible), pipe (inaudible) and for utility construction we're giving access across the land of Will County but we're not getting any payment for it and we're not getting any credit for it and I think we should be. The Forest Preserve when we give an easement to any of them, ComEd, anybody, they pay for it. We're giving your subsidiary corporation Waste Management Renewal Energy giving them easements that aren't even (inaudible) I'd like to see a map, first of all, what easement you're intending. I can tell right away we're not getting any credit or money from those easements in this contract and I don't think that's fair. I mean am I wrong?

Mr. Paul Pabor responded that there is infrastructure that has to be built in order to support the plan. So in addition to the lease we'd access, since we're not WM of Illinois which has access to the landfill property WM Renewable Energy to cover its access needs easements for short distances to build the interconnect line and to build the connection of the power plant to the landfill gas collection system. That's just part of the infrastructure of the plant and all of that is included in the gas payment and the revenue sharing thing.

Member Moustis stated gas is not a result of the plant. (inaudible)

Member Konicki stated I understand your need for it, I was just making a point that we're not getting paid for it and I think we should be. I would like to make two other comments and then we'll tender the floor so the Board Members could bind their...

County Executive Walsh stated that's very gracious.

Member Konicki stated I do want to just hit quickly on two environmental issues not directly on the Karst but it's not quite the same environmental risk with the recycling. I'll point out two of the arguments. Again under the...we're told...right now leachate, you grab it and you get it off site. But when you recycle you grab it, you take it to a holding tank, and then you load it back on your truck and take it back to the landfill and put it on the landfill. And all of those are opportunities for spills or leakages. All of those transfer points. All of those transfer points. The other thing and this does concern me, saturated (inaudible). That saturated condition makes any gas collection less effective. This landfill is going to produce a whole bunch more of methane then it would if we didn't mess with it under this proposal. And the argument is well that's good because we're gonna make money on it and sell it. But the saturated condition with leachate recirculation which is being proposed. Apparently they make that gas collection system less effective (inaudible) but it's just more pollution going into the air. It says that the gas I guess is mostly I guess methane, CO², and trace amounts of other toxic compounds. And (inaudible) trace and I assume (inaudible) that condition a trace I know means there is significant, and I had to become aware of this it's not something that's in your presentation...

County Executive Walsh asked Member Konicki to ask her question.

Member Konicki continued well (inaudible) landfill which is leachate recirculation results in a lower collection efficiency generally.

County Executive Walsh stated that well that's really a question for the engineers, that's why I said if you would have waited until we got to the leachate...

Member Konicki stated that one we'll wait on then County Executive Walsh. Would you agree that that's better brought up under the...you see my problem is I hate to commit to this Contract without knowing that information. If it's the wrong people, the wrong expertise...

County Executive Walsh stated thank you for your time Member Konicki. Thank you. Is there anyone else that would like to ask any questions?

County Executive Walsh announced ladies and gentlemen we are scheduled...that there is a drug court program in here at 1:00 this afternoon. Now, I do know this is a County Board meeting and if we're going to try and accommodate others that need to use this room. Member May please continue.

Member May stated I brought this up at the last meeting to recap now. Because the chart that follows that, the County we will receive about 26%. Is that what the chart shows? Remember I asked what we were getting because I wanted to know if it was fair. What our residents receive. So basically after 20 years we will probably receive about 26% of the profit. Right?

Ms. Jane Montgomery stated of the revenue.

Mr. Paul Pabor answered given that pricing assumption that I had on that slide, that's right.

Member May asked if you're paying for everything up front and we get 26% and all we have to do is give you the garbage, right?

Mr. Paul Pabor said that's right.

Member May stated thank you. That's all I wanted to know.

County Executive Walsh stated thank you Member May. Member Anderson...

Member Anderson stated I just have a quick question/comment. I'm looking at Article D in the Agreement, Page 13, Article 8 E3 talks about the reference that Ms. Renee Cipriano made to me back at the negotiation table. And particularly the last sentence; *If the Facility expanded beyond four engine generator sets, the amount payable to Will County as consideration for LFG consumed by any additional engine generator sets shall be negotiated by the Parties pursuant to Article VII.* I don't see anything in Article VII relating to that, so perhaps....

Ms. Renee Cipriano responded that I think it's actually a typo and it's caught in my draft.

Member Anderson stated that I'm guessing your referring to Article VI, which also has...so that was one comment that has to be fixed. The other part of my comment is a little more general and it relates to this notion of an agreement to negotiate which is remarkably vague and open ended to me. I once had to litigate an Agreement to Negotiate and I was successful, but I can tell you I was exceptionally displeased with the person that drafted it. And I'm not saying that I'm displeased with you, that was a different situation. But, my point is that on an Agreement to Negotiate is very vague, as I'm sure you know, and very difficult to enforce. As I recall the only thing you really need is to (inaudible) vague and that's pretty much it. So the parties could negotiate and be miles apart and walk away and it creates problems. So my suggestion, or even my question is whether you thought of sort of a backup device in the event that the parties aren't able to negotiate a reasonable resolution.

Ms. Jane Montgomery stated the backup is just that you refuse to allow them to add more engines so you both lose out on the revenue.

Member Anderson stated that's not an acceptable solution either.

Member Anderson said I mean that's it?

Member Moustis said who has mediation or something Member Anderson.

Member Anderson stated it's an idea. Whether it be mediation...obviously the idea of adding more engines would only be pursued if both parties see value in it. But if we can't agree on a price or some sort of...you know...if we can't actually identify that value and what it's worth to each party I think it would be worthwhile to have a third party or maybe even install right now some sort of floor to ceiling. I mean, this Board has a history, and a record of managing risk when it comes to energy. We thought we did it with gasoline not too long ago, I remember we had a big debate about it. As I sit here I'm not sure whether are intention is a good one or a bad one compared to what the market actually turned out to be. But the point is that we have to exclude going in exactly what our exposure was. And what our risk and benefit would be. I think that it might be useful in this situation to have a similar certain structure in place.

Ms. Jane Montgomery stated that I certainly am willing to talk to Waste if we could try to come up with something. But the point is really that the revenue, we don't want to give Waste Management all the benefit of this Agreement and if you negotiate it today you're likely giving them a lot more than you would want to.

Member Anderson further commented that I agree with that and that's why I suggested maybe a floor to ceiling, but the reality is that an Agreement to Negotiate practically speaking is no agreement at all.

Mr. Paul Pabor stated the first four engine plant here we starting at three engines and going to four, what we expect pretty quickly. The 5 through 8 engine plant we don't know when that will start yet because we have a prediction of the gas model. We have a prediction of that. But it maybe phased in one engine at a time. We would expect some economies of scale when we put Engines 5 through 8 in because we've already got the infrastructure there. We don't know what the inner connect will be for adding another 6 megawatts there. So it's hard to predict in the next eight years or so exactly what those financial parameters are going to be. And I believe in our Host Agreement now. There are not specific languages for floor and ceiling gas prices to our partnership. We successfully negotiated an agreement here and I think the renegotiation when we add five through eight would be taking the same venue.

Member Anderson asked what about the idea of having the price set by a third party, if the parties can't reach an Agreement?

Mr. Paul Pabor responded I have to discuss that with the County here and with the rest of Waste Management.

Member Anderson stated that the County is here.

Mr. Paul Pabor responded saying true. I think that we would approach it in the same vein and in the same spirit as we've taken successfully on this negotiation. There are too many unknowns on gas flow and financial parameters and energy pricing for us to agree to that right now.

Member Anderson asked too many unknowns to agree to a third party could resolve if necessary. It's only if we can't come to an agreement down the road and I can't see how that would hurt with something like that. (inaudible)....together for the last twelve years and we won't have that relationship....

Mr. Paul Pabor stated I would say that would not be unreasonable.

Member Anderson stated that I believe in third party administrators.

Ms. Jane Montgomery stated we could add that.

Member Moustis stated maybe Member Anderson stated he could put that in Motion form so we have an understanding and I would assume you're talking Member Anderson if both parties would agree to a third party.

County Executive Walsh said at this time can we amend this resolution?

Member Anderson stated an Amendment is to come.

Ms. Mary Tatroe of the States Attorney's Office stated that one of the practical issues you're going to have to deal with, which I don't think can be dealt with at this meeting, is that you will have to choose that third party. And, whether there is even a third party that would be appropriate to do that. So in making this motion you'll have to have some qualifiers which still leaves you kind of hanging out there. (inaudible) whether or not will be able to enter into an Agreement.

Member Anderson stated I can do that. We can just make the parties can't agree on a third party then we'll just agree right now that it would be the American Arbitration Association. Easy enough.

County Executive Walsh asked if they have the expertise to deal with something like this?

Member Anderson stated sure.

Ms. Jane Montgomery stated we can draft a clause so that it can ensure that the person has electric pricing expertise, chosen by AAA.

Member Anderson made a Motion that we Amend the Contract to Require that if the parties are unable to reach an Agreement pursuant to the negotiations referenced in Article 8, Paragraph E3, Article VI, Paragraph A, that the determination as to pricing be made by a third party, identification of a third party will be chosen by the parties to this agreement and if they are unable to mutually identify someone then the determination would be made by AAA. Is this acceptable Ms. Tatroe?

Ms. Mary Tatroe responded yes. You'd also need a Cost Sharing for that. For the fees of that third party to be included in that Paragraph, so you're proposing to share the cost of that 50/50?

Member Anderson responded yes, equal.

County Executive Walsh stated that there is a motion on the floor, seconded by Member Moustis. Any questions? Everybody understand it?

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-one.

Negative votes: Laurie Smith. Total: One

THE AMENDMENT TO THE CONTRACT HAS BEEN APPROVED.

County Executive Walsh asked if there were any other discussions on #10-29. Any other Board Member that would like to speak on #10-29.

Member Singer made a motion, seconded by Member Kusta to Approve Resolution #10-29 as amended.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Brooks, Stewart, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-two.

Negative votes: None.

RESOLUTION #10-29 HAS BEEN APPROVED AS AMENDED.

Member Stewart left at 12:45 p.m.

Member Moustis made a motion seconded by Member Bilotta to approve Ordinance #10-30 Amending Condition #15 of Siting Ordinance #99-72 for the Prairie View Recycling and Disposal Facility to Approve a Proposal Submitted by Waste Management of Illinois, Inc. with Certain Conditions pertaining to Condition #15 of Siting Ordinance #99-72.



Executive Committee Resolution #10-30

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

AMENDING CONDITION #15 OF SITING ORDINANCE #99-72 FOR THE PRAIRIE VIEW RECYCLING AND DISPOSAL FACILITY TO APPROVE A PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC. WITH CERTAIN CONDITIONS PERTAINING TO CONDITION #15 OF SITING ORDINANCE #99-72

WHEREAS, on August 14, 1998, Waste Management of Illinois, Inc. filed with the Will County Board a request for site location approval for the Prairie View Recycling and Disposal Facility in unincorporated Will County; and

WHEREAS, on March 4, 1999, the Will County Board approved Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.'s request for site location approval for the Prairie View Recycling and Disposal Facility, which included fifty-seven special conditions; and

WHEREAS, on September 24, 2003, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) approved the development application submitted by Waste Management of Illinois, Inc. for the Prairie View Recycling and Disposal Facility, and began accepting waste on January 19, 2004 after receiving an IEPA operating permit; and

WHEREAS, siting condition number fifteen prohibits Waste Management of Illinois, Inc. from being able to practice leachate recirculation at the Prairie View Recycling and Disposal Facility; and

WHEREAS, Waste Management of Illinois, Inc. has provided a proposal to practice leachate recirculation at the Prairie View Recycling and Disposal Facility; and

WHEREAS, Will County retained Patrick Engineering, Inc. to perform a technical review of the leachate recirculation proposal from Waste Management and has indicated the proposal is acceptable with the attached conditions; and

WHEREAS; Waste Management of Illinois, Inc. is required to receive authorization from the IEPA and any other applicable government agency to practice leachate recirculation at the Prairie View Recycling and Disposal Facility; and

WHEREAS, the Will County Board has reviewed and recommends approval of Waste Management's proposal to practice leachate recirculation with the attached conditions.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby amends Condition #15 of Siting Ordinance #99-72 to approve Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.'s proposal to practice leachate recirculation with conditions at the Prairie View Recycling and Disposal Facility.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February 2010.

Vote: Yes	No	Pass	(SEAL)	
			(Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk
Approved this	day of		, 2010.	
				Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Mr. Chris Berger, P.E. from Patrick Engineering came forward to address the Board. He stated Mr. Dean Olson asked me to briefly summarize our work in the past and essentially the last couple of weeks we took a look at the Waste Management Leachate Recirculation Proposal. We reviewed their proposal and the methodology, volumes

estimated, and their computer model. Their proposal is essentially to take leachate from the bottom of the landfill or from the storage tank and move it up to the top and essentially drain it back into the landfill. Essentially recirculating it. Our role was to take a look at their model. We did. In addition to that we ran independent models of our own to determine if there was anything that we didn't agree with. And our model actually had the same type of output. And we agreed, and then we ran additional models with what we considered our actual construction materials as we went over last week. We ran with and without recirculation. The model was run with a number of different scenarios. One was no recirculation and then with recirculation at 100% as well as limited recirculation. Number three that's somewhere between, right around 70%. The number three, 18,200 gallons per day ("gpd"), if you look at that over an estimated 3 acre area, possible recirculation that amounts to about a quarter inch rainfall. So take that number into relationship, it's about a guarter inch rain. The results that we had, bottom line was that the model indicated that recirculation will meet EPA requirements at this site; given the situation with the liner and the leachate collection system. So our recommendation was and is to allow recirculation as long as the design in our operation criteria are met and under the special conditions that we list in the next line. So essentially the design criteria, it's got to meet the IEPA Standards on the liner and leachate collection systems as well as the operational criteria. So here are the recommended conditions, and we went over this last week, but just to quickly summarize it; Will County will be involved with approving whatever method of recirculation is employed at this site. Especially with worker safety as well as the prevention of any kind of aerosol escape or mist from the operation. There should be a condition to keep it away from the side slopes of certain number of feet such as 40-50 feet. Remove the cover in the area of recirculation, this allows the material for the leachate to seep into the refuse and not sit on top of the daily cover. In spraying it's allowed to, prohibited on windy days. Limit the area of recirculation from probably less than 4 acres per day, between 2 and 4 acres. Always comply with an IEPA Permit. Especially the sump level maintained at or below the threshold value. And this is the leachate sump levels that are in the permitted cell. This is where the leachate drains to. So we should keep that level to a minimum, to have them liners is reduced. Monitor the handling of leachate at transfer points such as the tank to the truck. And use high conductivity drainage material to current specification is currently exceeded and we would recommend it to be exceeded and line nine; limit the application to areas that are able to handle the waste. Such as, it has to be over twenty feet deep. And finally, stressing the approval of the recirculation at this point will not release the landfill from compliance requirements from the EPA. These are related to leachate heads in the landfill. Waste Management must comply with all leachate handling regulations primarily the operational aspect and the design aspect to obtain permit modifications. So essentially, that's it in a nutshell.

POWERPOINT PRESENTATION TO WILL COUNTY BOARD RE: LEACHATE RECIRCULATION AT PRAIRIE VIEW LANDFILL IS ON FILE WITH THE WILL COUNTY CLERK.

Member Moustis stated I just want to understand this correctly. These are all points that Waste Management will have to meet. These criteria that were putting forward before recirculation can take place. And Dean (Mr. Dean Olson), I'm assuming that goes through

the Solid Waste Division of Will County. That you make sure you review this and make sure all these conditions are in place prior to any recirculation of the leachate taking place. Am I right in that assumption?

Mr. Dean Olson responded that is correct.

Member Moustis stated so all the recommendations will be part of the leachate recirculation. Thank you.

Member Rozak stated I have just a quick question. Under your recommended conditions, it said if spraying, it's recommended not spraying on a windy day. First of all do we know is there gonna be spraying first of all?

Mr. Chris Berger responded that it's not currently in their operation proposal.

Member Rozak stated if it ever did, what is a windy day. Is there a standard that says x amount of miles per hour? Your windy day might be different from my windy day.

Mr. Chris Berger stated that's a good point. Over five miles an hour can be considered windy. In some areas I've seen that perhaps in the past. So obviously anything over ten would be considered windy in my mind. But that could be something you write to the conditions themselves.

County Executive Walsh stated that could be written into the conditions that we create.

Mr. Chris Berger stated okay.

Member Rozak stated that you just count on the weather that day. They'll say wind is at such and such miles per hour.

Mr. Chris Berger responded currently it's similar to existing operations that we recirculate now. They don't recirculate during precipitation events. If it's raining they don't do it, if it's windy they don't spray. But again that's not part of their proposal. And, if it ever is part of your proposal to want to spray for some reason and we would suggest keeping it away from windy days.

Member Weigel asks a question of Mr. Dean Olson. Do we have existing monitoring levels around the landfill to monitor any groundwater contamination that should go offsite?

Mr. Dean Olson responded we have 19 down gradient it and 2 up gradients.

Member Weigel stated so we are monitoring our (inaudible) for anything that could go offsite? And you'll continue to do that?

Mr. Dean Olson said that is correct.

Member Kusta stated currently we are trucking away the leachate excess right now?

Mr. Dean Olson responded yes. It goes I believe to Kankakee to the treatment plant there.

Member Kusta asked if there is obviously a cost associated with that. Is that assigning per truckload? If it is who's paying us.

Mr. Dean Olson responded it's per gallon and paid by Waste Management.

Member Kusta repeated, paid by Waste Management.

County Executive Walsh asked if there were any questions. Member Adamic...

Member Adamic stated this is more of a comment County Executive. I just would like to thank your staff for all the hard work and efforts that they've done. I know there were several comments earlier today by some of the environmental network type of people and those I appreciate their comments. I share some of your concerns. But I did have a chance to talk with staff and I do feel comfortable with this at this point knowing what we know. So Mr. Dean Olson, thank you for your help too. So I just wanted to thank everyone for a lot of hard work that went into this to this point and thank you so much.

County Executive stated his thanks to Member Adamic. Any other comments or questions in regard to this? Member Konicki I'm going to give you a couple of minutes.

Member Konicki stated (inaudible) a lot of time to accomplish in a couple of minutes.

County Executive responded to Member Konicki to have less commentation and let's ask the questions.

Member Konicki stated Mr. Chris Berger you mention in your Report that the leachate recirculation should not cause significant operational problems or environmental difficulties if Best Management practices are adhered to. Could you tell us what possible operational problems or environmental difficulties? What are they?

Mr. Chris Berger responded stating well some facilities pump the leachate directly from the tanks or pits up to the top of the landfill. Some pump into various piping systems within the landfill. This proposal is not to do any of that. Those are operational potential problems that are eliminated by simply doing what I call a low-tech method. Which is you take leachate out of the leachate holding tank and put it into a tanker truck and you move it up to the top of the landfill and you basically open the hose and open the valve and let it seep into the landfill through a hose.

Member Konicki stated and that's what's being proposed here?

Mr. Chris Berger said that's correct.

Member Konicki continued; and doing it that way you don't see any significant operational or environmental difficulties then?

Mr. Chris Berger responded that you have the transfer points, you have unloading the tank into the truck. But they do that on a daily basis when they haul leachate off to the waste treatment plant and they leave the site so the additional operation which we have here would probably be heading it up and taking it out to the top of the landfill and whatever method that is used to put it back into the landfill, whether it be seeping or spraying or whatever.

Member Konicki stated you said best management practices are adhered to and what would you itemize those best management practices. Are there any that you have not listed under the nine in your report?

Mr. Chris Berger responded there are standard methods and spill plans, spill plans that are standard for landfills when you open and close valves. You're not allowing people to smoke near these areas. I don't have a list in front of me. I can develop one and send it to you.

Member Konicki stated it would be helpful. But my concern (inaudible) right now and that would be something I would definitely want to do. Under number two, your condition number two, you did say that you wanted us to keep forty or close to fifty feet away from the landfill. (Inaudible) I noticed that modification is not in there. And then Member Rozak directed us to your number four and you just say not to spray during windy days (inaudible) to identify. I think you'd like to limit it to five miles and hour but it's not in there and I think we need to put that in there. I think we need to put the miles per hour, winds over that, there's no spraying, we need to put in the forty or fifty feet away. I'd like to make a motion but I think there's...no sense in my making it if there not enough support for someone else to make it. But that should be in there. It's not in there. I made a comment. For my information is that under wet landfills the gas collection is less efficient, or its just part of the game. Is that your experience?

Mr. Chris Berger said I'm not sure what you're asking. Can you ask one more time?

Member Konicki repeated where we had saturated conditions was in the landfill. With leachate recirculation that it resulted in lower collection efficiency which results in gas release to the atmosphere. That's more of a problem when you have a wet landfill, which is what's being proposed here as opposed to a natural one.

Mr. Chris Berger said so what you're referring to is collection efficiency of the gas system not of the leachate.

Member Konicki responded correct. (Inaudible) now I would switch to gas back then.

Mr. Chris Berger stated well the facility issues with landfill gas collection. The only issue I would know of are gas wells themselves. Flooding if you have a leachate elevation high enough within the landfill where it's saturated twenty-thirty feet above the line. So that's happened in places that don't have leachate collection systems. So you have that high head on top of the landfill liner and people have put in landfill gas wells before and now

they're flooded because leachate has built up within the landfill. So, I believe any areas where I see where they say less landfill gas collection efficiency. It's either they are flooded or the waste is too wet. But simply by recirculating you're not going to get to the point of, I think it was brought up the first time, the bio-reactors. Recirculation doesn't constitute a bio-reactor, bringing in a lot more water.

Member Konicki asked when we had mention of the IEPA watch out for us...I believe, and you can correct me if I'm wrong, that is this process from the (inaudible) and state level IEPA in particular. They're gonna be looking at air quality issues not ground water issues. Am I correct on that?

Mr. Dean Olson responded they will look at all issues. As this particular leachate recirculation, if they submit that also to the IEPA would be a land pollution control. And they have a ground water assistance unit within that; within the land pollution control, that particular division of IEPA.

Member Kusta stated now I would like to make a motion to bring the question to the floor, seconded by Member Singer.

County Executive Walsh asked if there are any objections to the Motion.

Member Konicki stated I have an objection to the Motion.

County Executive Walsh asked County Clerk Voots to call the roll.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Brooks, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-one.

Negative votes: Konicki. Total: One

MOTION TO BRING THE QUESTION TO THE FLOOR HAS BEEN APPROVED.

County Executive Walsh stated Member Moustis please continue.

Member Moustis stated we have Motion and a second on Ordinance #10-30 to be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Brooks, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-one.

Negative votes: Konicki. Total: One.

ORDINANCE #10-30 IS APPROVED.

Member Moustis presented Resolution #10-65, Resolution Setting Forth Procedures for Special Prosecution Fund Transfers.

Executive Committee Resolution #10-65



RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Resolution Setting Forth Procedures for Special Prosecution Fund Transfers

WHEREAS, based upon the direction of County Board Leadership, special prosecution funds shall be allocated and transferred out of the County Board Contingency Line Item in a total amount not to exceed \$400,000.00 for fiscal year 2010, as follows:

WHEREAS, the procedure begins with a verbal report provided by the State's Attorney's Office as to the status of pending special prosecution cases and the need for additional appropriations to all of these individuals: County Executive and County Board Chiefs of Staff; Finance Committee Chairman; and Judicial Committee Chairman, and

WHEREAS, once verbal reports are provided to the aforementioned individuals, County Board Staff will be directed by the County Board Chief of Staff to provide the Will County Finance Department with a written request to transfer \$100,000.00 in budget appropriation authority from the County Board Contingency Line Item into the State's Attorney's special prosecution fund, and

WHEREAS, at no such time shall transfers exceed a total of \$400,000.00 without further direction from Leadership and proper County Board direction and resolution authority, and

WHEREAS, the Executive Committee concurs with these proposed procedures and recommends to the full County Board for its consideration.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby establishes these procedures, as described above, for special prosecution fund transfers.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set forth herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Approved this _____day of _____, 2010.

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Moustis made a motion seconded by Member Adamic, Resolution #10-65 be approved. Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Brooks, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-two.

Negative votes: None.

RESOLUTION #10-65 IS APPROVED.

Member Moustis presented Resolution #10-66, Replacement Hires for Sunny Hill Nursing Home.



Executive Committee Resolution #10-66

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

REPLACEMENT HIRES FOR SUNNY HILL NURSING HOME

WHEREAS, in accordance with 55 ILCS 5/2-5009, the County Executive shall "appoint, with the advice and consent of the Board, such subordinate deputies, employees, and appointees for the general administration of county affairs as considered necessary, except those deputies, employees and appointees in the office of an elected county officer", and

WHEREAS, the Will County Executive has presented the attached personnel list for the Sunny Hill replacement hires to the Executive Committee of the County Board, and

WHEREAS, that list has been approved by the Executive Committee in the appropriate manner and now the committee recommends the list to the full Will County Board for approval.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board, in accordance with 55 ILCS 5/2-5009, does hereby concur with the action of its Executive Committee and the County Executive and gives its consent to the list of names attached to this resolution for the Sunny Hill Nursing Home.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set forth herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____day of _____, 2010._____

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Moustis made a motion seconded by Member Kusta, Resolution #10-66 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Brooks, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-two.

Negative votes: None.

RESOLUTION #10-66 IS APPROVED.

Member Moustis presented Resolution #10-67, Resolution Authorizing the County Executive to Execute an Agreement between Will County and Wyn A. Hyzer to Provide Environmental Educator Services to the Will County Land Use Department, Waste Services Division.



Executive Committee Resolution #10-67

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN WILL COUNTY AND WYN A. HYZER TO PROVIDE ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATOR SERVICES TO THE WILL COUNTY LAND USE DEPARTMENT, WASTE SERVICES DIVISION

WHEREAS, the United States Department of Energy (USDOE) has awarded an Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant (EECBG) to the County of Will; and

WHEREAS, an Energy Efficiency and Conservation Strategy (EECS) to implement the EECBG has been approved by the Will County Board. The Will County Land Use Department is the agency assigned to administer the EECBG; and WHEREAS, one of the components of the EECS and the EECBG is to continue Will County's environmental education efforts while adding energy efficiency concepts to the program; and

WHEREAS, Will County has determined that this goal is best accomplished through executing an agreement with a qualified environmental educator; and

WHEREAS; the Will County Land Use Department, Waste Services Division recommends Will County retain an independent contractor to provide environmental educator services related to energy efficiency and recycling; and

WHEREAS, Wyn A. Hyzer was identified as an available and experienced independent contractor with local familiarity as a former City of Joliet Environmental Education Coordinator, and is willing to accept the terms of the attached agreement; and

WHEREAS, the environmental educator services provided in the agreement will be paid almost entirely through EECBG funds, however a limited amount of Solid Waste Funds which are in the 2010 Budget may be used until the EECBG funds have been sent to Will County. The agreement will terminate once the EECBG period has ended (July 24, 2012); and

WHEREAS, the Will County Executive Committee has reviewed and recommends approval of the attached Agreement between Will County and Wyn A. Hyzer to provide Environmental Educator Services.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby authorizes the Will County Executive to execute the attached Agreement between Will County and Wyn A. Hyzer to provide Environmental Educator Services to the Will County Land Use Department, Waste Services Division, subject to the review and approval of the Will County State's Attorney.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Moustis made a motion seconded by Member Gould, Resolution #10-67 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Brooks, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-two.

Negative votes: None.

RESOLUTION #10-67 IS APPROVED.

Member Moustis presented Resolution #10-68, Resolution Adopting the Programmatic Agreement Between the County of Will, and the Illinois State Historic Preservation Officer for the Community Development Block Grant, HOME Investment Partnership, and Neighborhood Stabilization Programs of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and Lead Hazard Control Grant, Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant, and Health Homes Grant Programs of the Office of Healthy Homes & Lead Hazard Control Administered by the County of Will.



Executive Committee Resolution #10-68

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

ADOPTING THE PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF WILL, AND THE ILLINOIS STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER FOR THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP, AND NEIGHBORHOOD STABILIZATION PROGRAMS OF THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND LEAD HAZARD CONTROL GRANT, LEAD HAZARD REDUCTION DEMONSTRATION GRANT, AND HEALTHY HOMES GRANT PROGRAMS OF THE OFFICE OF HEALTHY HOMES & LEAD HAZARD CONTROL ADMINISTERED BY THE COUNTY OF WILL

For the 2009 Program Year through 2014 Program Year March 1, 2010 through September 30, 2015

WHEREAS, the County of Will, Illinois (County) has determined that the implementation of its Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Healthy Homes Grant (HH), Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME), Lead Hazard Control Grant (LHCG), Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant (LHRDG), and Neighborhood Stabilization (NSP) PROGRAMS for Federal Fiscal Years 2009-2014 may have an effect on properties included or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places; and

WHEREAS, these programs are administered by the County's Land Use Department, Community Development Division and encompass a variety of activities including: rehabilitation, new construction, demolition, and infrastructure improvements and other eligible activities; and

WHEREAS, the County has consulted with the Illinois State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. 470f) and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic and Cultural Properties" (36 CFR Part 800); and

WHEREAS, the County and the SHPO have determined that the County can more effectively fulfill its Section 106 review responsibilities for CDBG, HH, HOME, LHCG, LHRDG, and NSP program activities if a programmatic approach is used to delegate Section 106 compliance responsibilities to the County; and

WHEREAS, the County is a Certified Local Government with a historic preservation commission and qualified professional staff who will carry out duties enumerated below.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNTY BOARD OF WILL COUNTY ILLINOIS, THAT:

SECTION 1: The Will County Executive is authorized and directed to execute the attached Programmatic Agreement Between the County of Will and the Illinois State Historic Preservation Officer pending approval of the Illinois State Historic Preservation Officer and upon the review and approval of the Will County State's Attorney.

SECTION 2: This Resolution and every provision thereof shall be separable and the invalidity of any portion shall not affect the validity of the remainder.

SECTION 3: All Resolutions or parts thereof, in conflict herewith, are hereby repealed.

SECTION 4: This Resolution shall take effect following its passage, approval, adoption, recording, inspection and publication, as may be required by law.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Approved this ____day of _____, 2010.

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive Member Moustis made a motion seconded by Member Rozak, Resolution #10-68 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, Kusta, Maher, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Brooks, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-one.

Negative votes: None.

Voting Abstain: McPhillips. Total: One

RESOLUTION #10-68 IS APPROVED.

Member Moustis presented Resolution #10-69, Resolution Authorizing Renewal of Wrap Around Professional Liability Insurance for the Will County Community Health Center.



Executive Committee Resolution #10-69

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

RE: Authorizing Renewal of "Wrap Around" Professional Liability Insurance for the Will County Community Health Center

WHEREAS, it is the desire of the Will County Board to retain the services of insurance providers for various property and casualty and liability insurance coverage within the County; and

WHEREAS, the Will County Board wishes to obtain liability insurance for all premises of the County, all roads maintained by the County, and those employees and departments operating under the jurisdiction of Will County; and

WHEREAS, the Will County Board authorized the County Executive to take action to put in place a comprehensive insurance program of property, casualty and liability coverage; and

WHEREAS, the Will County Community Health Center has been named a "deemed facility" under the Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA) granting them certain levels of tort immunity; and

WHEREAS, as a part of that program the County purchased "wrap around" professional liability coverage to provide gap protection, which expires on March 1, 2010; and

WHEREAS, a flat renewal was received with a premium in the amount of \$60,000.00; and

WHEREAS, after reviewing this renewal, the Executive Committee has recommended that the County accept this proposal for "wrap around" professional liability insurance for the Will County Community Health Center.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby authorizes the County Executive to execute a contract for the renewal of this coverage for the period of March 1, 2010 through March 1, 2011.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Executive, is hereby authorized and directed to take such other and further action as necessary, to effectuate the intent of the foregoing resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein, and this Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes No Pass (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____,2010. _____

Lawrence M. Walsh Will County Executive

Member Moustis made a motion seconded by Member May, Resolution #10-69 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Brooks, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-two.

Negative votes: None.

RESOLUTION #10-69 IS APPROVED.

Member Moustis presented Resolution #10-70, Resolution Re: City-County Advisory Committee.



Executive Committee Resolution #10-70

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

RE: City-County Advisory Committee

WHEREAS, Section 10 of Article VII of the Constitution of the State of Illinois and the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act of 1973 (5 ILCS 220/1 et seq.) authorize units of local government to enter into intergovernmental cooperation agreements concerning matters of mutual concern; and

WHEREAS, the City and the County share an overlapping constituency with several common interests including, but not limited to, public service, economic development and public safety; and

WHEREAS, the corporate authorities of the City and the County having established an intergovernmental committee, known as the *City-County Advisory Committee*, comprised of representatives from each entity so as to provide a forum and mechanism for communicating and addressing issues affecting the City and the County; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary for the County to designate new representatives to said committee as two of the three County Board representatives are no longer members of the Will County Board.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Will County Board hereby appoints the following Will County Board members as its representatives to the "City-County Advisory Committee" established by said intergovernmental cooperation agreement;

- Majority Leader Member
- Minority Leader Member
- Capital Improvements Committee Chairperson Member
- County Board Member from District #8 Alternate
- County Board Chairperson Alternate

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution shall supersede the provisions of Resolution

#07-473 to the extent that they are in conflict herewith.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Preambles of this Resolution are incorporated herein as if fully set forth. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of February, 2010.

Vote: Yes <u>No</u> No Pass (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2010.____ Lawrence M. Walsh

Will County Executive

Member Moustis made a motion seconded by Member Singer, Resolution #10-70 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: Bilotta, Adamic, Anderson, Deutsche, Singer, Laurie Smith, Weigel, Dralle, McPhillips, Kusta, Maher, Goodson, Brian Smith, Gould, May, Rozak, Konicki, Brooks, Winfrey, Babich, Wilhelmi and Moustis. Total: Twenty-two.

Negative votes: None.

RESOLUTION #10-70 IS APPROVED.

Member Moustis stated that it doesn't appear the County Executive has any appointments this month.

County Executive stated that is correct.

Member Moustis stated that concludes my report.

ANNOUNCEMENTS BY COUNTY BOARD CHAIRMAN James Moustis

Member Moustis began, I'm going to make this real quick because I know Drug Court comes in in five minutes. Everyone have a great day. Spring training is starting for the Cubs. The Sox are right down the road so think warm.

ANNOUNCEMENTS BY LEGISLATIVE MAJORITY LEADER Jim Bilotta

Member Bilotta said have a nice day.

ANNOUNCEMENTS BY LEGISLATIVE MINORITY LEADER Walter Adamic

Member Adamic stated thank you County Executive, I know we have some other event coming in, Drug Court, I don't have any comments but you'll look forward to Member Winfrey who had some comments in regard to Black History Month. Member Winfrey began saying this is February, the month in which Carter G. Woodson decided the contributions of Blacks should be recognized. Recognized not as a way to keep them separate, but recognized so that they would not be lost, so they would be included as part of the record of how this country came to be what it is today.

When I reflect on that history, I am reminded of the countless numbers of people who went before, keeping their shoulders to the wheel, forging forward, in spite of pain and torment, bending their worn, torn, broken, backs bloody from the lash, as a bridge so that others could cross over. Because of them, I am here. Because of them, others will be here.

Because of those who had the strength to sit-in at lunch counters in North Carolina, Alabama, all over the South and here in Joliet, I now have the ability to eat where I choose.

Because of those who rallied for voter registration and demonstrated to remove Jim Crow laws, I now am a part of this body.

Because of those who knew the value of education and taught others to read and write, even when it was illegal, I and the generations that follow can attend school and get an education without fear of lynching.

Because of those who marched for housing reform, equal employment, and fair military practices, new opportunities have been opened.

Because of the many people of African descent, throughout the Diaspora who invented, developed and modified all manner of things to improve their working conditions and to make use of their God-given talents not only for the betterment of their lives, but for the lives of others, the world is forever changed.

The fabric that is America is woven from the threads of their varied lives. Yet they have not received the recognition they so richly deserve. Their contributions have not been widely chronicled in textbooks. Without the rich oral history which is traditional among people of African descent, many of the sacrifices they gave would go unnoticed.

February is Black History month, a time to learn about and give thanks for the contributions and accomplishments of African Americans not only in this country, but in this state and here in this city. Contributions have been made in biology, chemistry, medicine, education, forensics, music, construction, engineering, literature, technology, cosmetology, aeronautics, agriculture and sports. Little that is important in our daily lives is untouched by the genius of Blacks.

Because of them, we are here.

County Executive Walsh stated thank you very much. Nothing more, we'll stand adjourned to March 18, 2010 at 10:30 a.m. Thanks everybody.