

THURSDAY, JANUARY 18, 2007
NINE THIRTY A.M.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
STATE OF ILLINOIS
COUNTY OF WILL

Executive Walsh called the meeting to order.

Member Kusta led in the Pledge of Allegiance to our Flag.

Member Kusta introduced Father Chris Groh from St. Mary Nativity, who delivered the invocation.

Roll call showed the following Board members present: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi, Moustis. Total: twenty-four.

Absent: Woods, Maher, Goodson. Total: three

THE EXECUTIVE DECLARED A QUORUM PRESENT.

Member Wisniewski made a motion, seconded by Member Adamic, the Certificate of Publication be placed on file.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi, Moustis. Total: twenty-four.

No negative votes.

THE CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION IS PLACED ON FILE.

Member Babich made a motion, seconded by Member Wilhelmi, to approve the December 4, 2006 and December 21, 2006 County Board Minutes.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi, Moustis. Total: twenty-four.

No negative votes.

THE MINUTES FOR THE DECEMBER 4, 2006 AND DECEMBER 21, 2006
COUNTY BOARD MEETINGS ARE APPROVED.

Elected officials present were: Auditor, Steve Weber; Circuit Clerk, Pam McGuire; County Clerk, Nancy Schultz Voots; Recorder of Deeds, Laurie McPhillips; Sheriff, Paul Kaupas, State's Attorney, James Glasgow; Treasurer, Pat McGuire, Chief Judge, Steven White and Senator AJ Wilhelmi.

News media present were: Comcast; Tiffany Copeland, WJOL; Lee Provost, Daily Journal-Kankakee and Cindy Cain, Herald News.

CITIZENS TO BE HEARD

Executive Walsh announced there were citizens to be heard on zoning matters and they will be called at the appropriate time.

Member Adamic presented a Proclamation Recognizing JCA's 3rd Place Class AA Volleyball Championship.

PROCLAMATION

**RE: HONORING JOLIET CATHOLIC ACADEMY
CLASS AA 3RD PLACE STATE VOLLEYBALL FINISH**

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the Will County Board and Will County Executive to recognize outstanding achievements of individuals and organizations in Will County, and

WHEREAS, the Joliet Catholic Academy Angels brought home the third-place trophy after their performance in December at the Redbird Arena on the Illinois State University Campus, and

WHEREAS, with the 2005 team finishing fourth, that marked the first time in school history the volleyball team earned state trophies in back-to-back seasons, and

WHEREAS, the Angels also won the state championship in 2003, winning trophies in three of the last four seasons, and

WHEREAS, while the Angels worked very hard to achieve their state finish, they were always a class act, on and off the court. Their lineup is loaded with high-ranking, high-character students well-liked in school who project a positive image for JCA whenever and wherever they take the court, and

WHEREAS, this winning season can be attributed to the determination and commitment of Coach Christine Scheibe and all the members of the volleyball team.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board and Will County Executive hereby honor the Joliet Catholic Academy Angels' Volleyball team for their 3rd place State Volleyball finish.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Coach Scheibe and the members of the volleyball team be commended for this outstanding accomplishment.

DATED THIS 18TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2007.

LAWRENCE M. WALSH
WILL COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST:

NANCY SCHULTZ VOOTS
WILL COUNTY CLERK

Member Adamic made a motion, seconded by Member Wisniewski, Proclamation Honoring the Joliet Catholic Academy Class AA 3rd Place State Volleyball Finish be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi, Moustis. Total: twenty-four.

No negative votes.

PROCLAMATION IS APPROVED.

Coach Scheibe and the team were present to accept the proclamation.

Executive Walsh introduced Senator A.J. Wilhelmi.

Senator A.J. Wilhelmi stated it was great to be here this morning and wished everyone a Happy New Year. He is charged with, as a State Senator of the 43rd District, to make sure that he finds opportunities to be able to take care of good organizations that are working hard for people back home. He cannot think of a better organization and a better cause than the Will County Children's Advocacy Center, who take care of our children and serves as a voice and as an advocate for some of our neediest citizens. Our kids deserve to have a voice and our kids deserve to have an advocate and that is exactly what this office does. He thanked State's Attorney Glasgow, Ms. Sue Bloch, and thanked all of the people who worked very hard on behalf of the needy children. It is with great pleasure and enjoyment that he brings a check today in the amount of \$20,000 from the State of Illinois to help refurbish this office and make sure the kids feel welcome and very comfortable as they come and get very important and needed services. It is with honor he presented the check to Executive Walsh, State's Attorney Glasgow, and Ms. Sue Bloch and he knows it will be used well.

State's Attorney Glasgow announced the \$20,000 is a good chunk of money for a 501C3 Organization of the Child Advocacy Center. Ms. Bloch called him to tell him about the money from Senator A.J. Wilhelmi but it had to be spent for specific things. State's Attorney Glasgow replied they would find specific things to spend it on and Ms. Bloch did a good job doing that.

This year, they are going to be embarking on trying to raise additional funds for the Child Advocacy Center locally through the various governmental entities that are served. He will be sending out a letter to all of the townships and villages with some statistics on the cases handled from their areas. Many of the other counties throughout the state are doing this; it is just basically giving back for efforts that are being done to protect the children from sexual abuse throughout the county. They do not ask the taxpayers for any money, they did not go to referendum on this Child Advocacy Center; it is all supported by both public and private grants. This one is significant but he will be hitting the trail in the spring trying to raise some more money. He thanked Senator Wilhelmi and in the future he knows there will be more money from him.

Ms. Sue Bloch thanked Senator Wilhelmi for his continuous support of the center as well as the entire community, on behalf of the board, the staff, and especially on behalf of the children that are served.

Executive Walsh announced there was another gift to the Child Advocacy Center from the Will County Deputy's Union.

Mr. Steve Hunter announced on behalf of the Will County Sheriff's Deputy Union FOP, they are proud to make a partnership long term with the Child Advocacy Center. Annually they are going to donate a check for \$1,000 and are also going to host the Annual Golf Outing this year at the Joliet County Club. The date hasn't been set yet but it will probably be in late May or early June.

State's Attorney Glasgow stated this is phenomenal, it is an evergreen contribution, but the deputies see first hand what the center does. He remembers John Moss from the Sheriff's Department, a year after the center was open, made a comment this was a God's sent because he was a veteran detective that had been stuck while trying to interview a child about sexual abuse. They are not trained to that, State's Attorney Glasgow is not trained to do this nor are the police trained and it only led to cases that could not be proved because the children would make numerous statements to various professionals and they would be impeached at trial. Now that the interview is managed, in a neutral non-suggestive environment, Ms. Mary Jane Kluth does a phenomenal job doing that....we see these kidnappings from Missouri, he was told the individual had child porn on his computer so when that unravels we are going to see why the kid was 15 now did not tell anybody because he was probably ashamed and has probably been abused for the last few years. This happens, we always want to say it is not going to happen to us but it does. He started as the State's Attorney back in 90's and it was a different world then, the depravity he sees now scares him. This is a center that is more essential now than it ever was. The Will County Deputies always step up whenever there is a good cause and he thanked them.

OLD BUSINESS

STATUS OF UNSIGNED OR RETURNED RESOLUTIONS/ORDINANCES BY THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

Executive Walsh stated that all resolutions from the December 21, 2006 County Board Meeting have been signed.

NEW BUSINESS

LAND USE PLANNING, ZONING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE **Jim Bilotta, Chairman**

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Piccolin, Open Public Hearing for all Land Use Cases.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi, Moustis. Total: twenty-three.

No negative votes.

PUBLIC HEARING FOR LAND USE CASES IS OPENED AT 9:54 A.M.

Please be advised there will be absolutely no new evidence or information will be allowed once this Land Use Public Hearing is closed. Executive Walsh asked if there was anyone in public who wanted to speak on zoning cases #5574-S, #5583-M, #5586-S, and #5594-S.

Speaking on Case #5594-S: Speaker Mr. Ronald Olszewski residing at 1712 Oakwood Lane, in Joliet, regarding an issue of noise. Mr. Hickey wants to run his chain saw six hours a day and occasionally on Sunday because he has a sideline business, a carving chain saw for trees and stuff like that. At the first meeting in December, the issue was noise. He lives down wind from Mr. Hickey and they hear noise all day long. Mr. Hickey shuts down about 3:00 p.m.; the people who come home from work don't hear it. He is home all day because he is retired. He has been complaining for about three years, but Mr. Hickey has been doing this for about 15 years as it started out as a hobby. His wife & him have been complaining and when they call, they get stonewalled. This guy has a hobby and stuff like that. Finally, last year they did something about it and they told him to start keeping records in July of last year. He has one neighbor to the north, last year he talked to her and her husband, they were not happy about the noise and they talked about moving. In December, they wrote a letter of support for Mr. Hickey. That is all he has to say, it is just a noise issue for him.

Executive Walsh asked for questions.

Speaking on Case #5594-S: Speakers Elmer and Janice Hickey residing at 2121 Elmwood Lane, in Joliet, in response to the statements previously made, the carving has never

gone six hours in the day. She agrees it is a hobby. They have letters of support from ten neighbors with just the one household with the problem. She does not know what to say in defense other than there is a history of this household making complaints. They did not handle this situation as a neighbor. They were under the understanding that if the Special Use Permit is approved that the hours will be within 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. weekdays. Mr. Hickey added a window of opportunity to carve from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. Ms. Hickey stated in keeping record, most of the carving was done in the morning. Often Mr. Hickey would come in whenever he finished the face that he was working on and come in for lunch sometimes, sit a while, sometimes take a nap, whatever, and then go out and finish his day. They also understand that the Special Use Permit dies with the deed, they are in total agreement and there is no problem with this. On the matter of the noise, they had two gentlemen come out and listen from in front of the complainant's property and had responses of minimal noise and significant noise. One morning, the gentleman stated he heard a wind chime and birds chirping; if the noise were excessive, he would not hear either. She has nothing else to say but this has been blown up.

Member Gerl stated Ms. Hickey was doing a great job, as she seemed a little nervous. He asked what type of woodworking was being done, were they building cabinets or building mantels?

Mr. Hickey explained that he works with chainsaw sculpture, artwork.

Member Gerl commented that the paperwork stated woodworking in the shop and he didn't think you would make cabinets with chainsaws. He clarified that Mr. Hickey made sculptures using a chainsaw.

Mr. Hickey clarified with logs and he normally goes to a landfill or something becoming mulch, this way he makes a beautiful piece of artwork.

Member Gerl asked if there was a shop on his property.

Mr. Hickey responded he has a shop in the back of his lot, next to the forest preserve. The Hickeys have the support of the neighbors all around them. This is something that has come up and he found out how his neighbors feel about him and is a very good feeling. They are all for the Hickeys.

Member Gerl asked if there was a special condition on this application to where you are only able to woodwork from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

Mr. Hickey replied this was his suggestion and what he has been doing the last couple of years is trying to put it in this time frame from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. because most of his neighbors are gone at work and the ones that are home state they do not mind. It is not a steady time any of the days.

Member Gerl commented pursuant to the application and the conditions placed on the application, you have agreed to stop woodworking at 3:00 in the afternoon. You are not going to crank it up at 10:00 at night.

Member Piccolin asked Mr. Hickey how long has he been doing this?

Mr. Hickey responded 18 years.

Member Piccolin replied she has a piece of his art and it is beautiful. She thanked him for making America a little bit more beautiful.

Member Anderson stated there was talk of a window of opportunity between 9:00 and 3:00 p.m. He asked Mr. Hickey if he does do this work the entire time from 9:00 and 3:00 p.m. or could he give him idea. Do you stop for lunch or stop to take a nap? Is it for two or three hours?

Member Gerl commented that Member Anderson asks these questions because he is an attorney.

Mr. Hickey responded that what he is looking for is just the window of opportunity because artwork doesn't just come to you. You have to be thinking about what you are going to do.

Ms. Hickey replied in response to that in July they received a letter from the zoning department. Mr. Budzinski suggested that Mr. Hickey keep track of when the saw was in action. If you can imagine having to go in and look at your watch, write down the time, go to the saw, start the saw, stop it, put it down, go back, and look at your watch and time to figure out how long you used it.

Member Anderson stated as Member Gerl pointed out Member Anderson is an attorney and he has to do that basically all day and he agrees it is a "drag". This is the worst part of his job. He asked for a rough idea of how long the chainsaw is running.

Ms. Hickey responded since July 31st when this request was made, in keeping track the total was 17 hours and 41 minutes in 16 weeks.

Member Anderson confirmed 17 hours over 16 weeks.

Mr. Hickey added this is an hour a week, that is what he has done since July.

Ms. Hickey stated it is not 6 hours a day straight.

Mr. Hickey replied this is the time he had actually ran his chainsaw. He is not going to go in the house when he sets his chainsaw down because there is other finish work to be done.

Member Anderson stated he wanted to get an idea of actually how much of the day is spent with the chainsaw running.

Member Bilotta commented to Member Anderson that there is a record of the days and the minutes in the packet provided. For example, in August this is the applicants word that he fired up five days in the whole month of August and five days in the whole month of September.

Member Dralle asked if there was a baffle for the chainsaw?

Ms. Hickey responded they are built with mufflers; they are not redone after they get them to make more or less noise.

Member Weigel stated he visited the site to take an observation and it is no worse than a lawnmower or a snow blower. He is that the race track which is about a mile away from there is much louder and the drag strip. It runs for many more hours than what the chainsaw does. He does not have a problem with this. It is not a great big chainsaw; it is a little tiny chainsaw so you can do intricate work. It is not loud at all.

Speaking on Case #5594-S: Speaker Mr. Carl Wagner residing at 2116 Elmwood Lane, in Joliet, to the east, so the wind blows from west to the east usually. He speaks in favor of Mr. Hickey's position. He is home all day as he works out of his house. The annoyance of Mr. Hickey's chainsaw isn't even as much as the neighbor who cuts the grass behind and next to his house. He realizes there is no progress in this world without inconvenience, he can't think of one. When the racetrack came to Joliet, he knows some people protested this, but if there is to be progress, then you just have these types of things. You weight the benefits and the disadvantages. When he thinks about the benefits and disadvantages for allowing Mr. Hickey to practice his hobby, the advantages outweigh the disadvantages. For one thing, Mr. Hickey is continuing to be a productive member of society. Secondly, he takes waste wood and turns it into something that is crafty and brings pleasure to people that buy these things. Just as the racer does the same thing to the society who sits and watches them drive around in circles. In addition, he feels Mr. Hickey's hobby is therapeutic for himself as a retired man. You know what happens to a lot people when they retire, if they just sit down, they check out. He is glad to see such a positive man in their neighborhood and continuing to do something that brings pleasure to him. The other neighbor referred to peace of mind because of the noise, but he would also mention Mr. Hickey's spirit of attitude of life, if he were deprived of doing this? Mr. Hickey had told him that if he was not able to do this woodworking, he doesn't know what he would do. A spirit of depression would creep on him because Mr. Hickey finds great pleasure in doing this. Somebody could say, it is a business in a residential area and do we want a business in a residential area? This is far from being a business for Mr. Hickey's because he could never live on what he does. It is a hobby, and a hobby that brings him a little money back in. Most of us, the hobbies take most of our money away. It is a great thing when someone can find a hobby that helps pay for itself. On that same line, there are many people in this city and community that do hobbies at home, different ones are with crafts where they take them out and sell them. There was an article in the Herald News with a picture in front of a man doing these little canes and he was selling them out front. He thinks it is a wonderful thing what Mr. Hickey is doing. He stated a famous quote from a famous psychologist William James, "The art of being wise is the art of knowing what to overlook."

Member Bilotta asked State's Attorney Glasgow if this would be considered new evidence, as he does not believe the speaker testified at the Planning and Zoning Commission?

Executive Walsh responded there is no more new evidence after the public hearing is closed. We can allow anyone who would like to speak can speak here, that is why we have the meeting because of this issue. There will be no new evidence after the public hearing is closed.

Speaking on Case #5594-S: Speaker Mr. Richard Birkett residing at 2123 Elmwood Lane, two door over from Mr. Hickey. He does not have a problem at all with the noise. He is home all day since he is retired. He hears more noise from the racetrack than he does from Mr. Hickey. The lawnmowers in the neighborhood make more noise, the chainsaw is not really that loud.

Mrs. Kreiser requested to speak regarding the Waste Management Resolution #07-31.

Executive Walsh explained to Mrs. Kreiser that they are only discussing the Land Use Cases at this time, later on in the agenda is when they will be discussing the Waste Management issue.

Executive Walsh asked for any other questions or speakers?

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Kusta, to Close Public Hearing for all Land Use Cases.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

PUBLIC HEARING FOR ALL LAND USE CASES IS CLOSED AT 10:15.M.

Member Bilotta presented Case #5574-S, Special Use Permit for Home Day Care in New Lenox Township. He stated he wanted to amend one of the conditions. The two conditions are regarding the amount of children, which are eight per DCFS regulations. The second condition is the issue with their septic that the Health Department is working on. In the first condition it states 45 days, per the Health Department, 90 days would be more sufficient to get an accurate result because of the type of system they have.

ORDINANCE AMENDING THE "WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS ZONING ORDINANCE"
Adopted and Approved September 9, 1947 as amended

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of Will County, Illinois has recommended the reclassification of a certain area within the County as hereinafter described; and

WHEREAS, it appears that such recommendation was based upon a duly advertised hearing by said Planning and Zoning Commission of Will County affecting property located in New Lenox Township where such area is situated; and

WHEREAS, it appears that due notice of the time and place of such hearing was published in a paper of general circulation in Will County, Illinois; that such hearing was duly and properly held, and that report thereof has been made to this Board within thirty days after such hearing;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the County Board of Will County, Illinois that:

Section 1. That the “Will County Zoning Ordinance”, approved September 9, 1947, as amended, be and the same is hereby amended by reclassifying the area described as follows:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT FOR A HOME DAY CARE
WITH TWO (2) CONDITIONS

1. If the applicant does not meet Health Department requirements within 90 days of County Board approval, the special use permit shall be revoked.
2. The amount of children cared for on the site is limited to eight.

LOT 30 IN BLOCK 6 IN ARTHUR T. MCINTOSH AND COMPANY’S LINCOLNWOOD SUBDIVISION, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF THAT PART OF THE SOUTHEAST ¼ OF SECTION 13 WHICH LIES SOUTH OF THE CENTER LINE OF HICKORY CREEK AND OF THAT PART OF THE NORTHEAST ¼ OF SECTION 24 WHICH LIES NORTH OF THE NORTHERLY LINE OF LINCOLN HIGHWAY AS WIDENED, ALL IN TOWNSHIP 35 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, IN WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Section 2. That the County Clerk shall alter the map of said territory described in Subsection 3.1, Section 3 of said Will County Zoning Ordinance to indicate such revised classification and shall certify such alteration by her signature and the date thereof, file the same and make available for public reference.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law (or passage, approval and publication as provided bylaw).

CASE NO: 5574-S

APPELLANT: Susan Adamson, Owner
Jennifer Arthur, Agent

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007

Vote: Yes _____ No _____ Pass _____

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007 _____
Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Babich, to amend Case #5574-S.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

CASE #5574-S IS AMENDED.

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Kusta, to approve Case #5574-S as amended.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

CASE #5574-S IS APPROVED AS AMENDED.

Member Bilotta presented Case #5583-M, Zoning Map Amendment from A-1 to R-2A in New Lenox Township.

ORDINANCE AMENDING THE “WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS ZONING ORDINANCE”
Adopted and Approved September 9, 1947 as amended

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of Will County, Illinois has recommended the reclassification of a certain area within the County as hereinafter described; and

WHEREAS, it appears that such recommendation was based upon a duly advertised hearing by said Planning and Zoning Commission of Will County affecting property located in New Lenox Township where such area is situated; and

WHEREAS, it appears that due notice of the time and place of such hearing was published in a paper of general circulation in Will County, Illinois; that such hearing was duly and properly held, and that report thereof has been made to this Board within thirty days after such hearing;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the County Board of Will County, Illinois that:

Section 1. That the “Will County Zoning Ordinance”, approved September 9, 1947, as amended, be and the same is hereby amended by reclassifying the area described as follows:

MAP AMENDMENT FROM A-1TO R-2A

THE NORTH 150 FEET OF THE SOUTH 343 FEET OF THE WEST 290.40 FEET OF THAT PART OF THE WEST HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 14, IN TOWNSHIP 35 NORTH, AND IN RANGE 11, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, THAT LIES SOUTH OF THE SOUTH LINE OF THE RIGHT OF WAY OF THE CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND AND PACIFIC RAILROAD AND NORTH OF A LINE 845 FEET NORTH OF AND PARALLEL WITH THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SECTION 14, EXCEPTING THEREFROM THE WEST 33 FEET, IN WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

Section 2. That the County Clerk shall alter the map of said territory described in Subsection 3.1, Section 3 of said Will County Zoning Ordinance to indicate such revised classification and shall certify such alteration by her signature and the date thereof, file the same and make available for public reference.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law (or passage, approval and publication as provided bylaw).

CASE NO: 5583-M **APPELLANT:** Robert Spiotto, Owner

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007

Vote: Yes _____ No _____ Pass _____

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007 _____

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Singer, Case #5583-M be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

CASE #5583-M IS GRANTED.

Member Bilotta presented Case #5586-S, Special Use Permit for Landscaping Nursery Business in Green Garden Township.

ORDINANCE AMENDING THE “WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS ZONING ORDINANCE”
Adopted and Approved September 9, 1947 as amended

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of Will County, Illinois has recommended the reclassification of a certain area within the County as hereinafter described; and

WHEREAS, it appears that such recommendation was based upon a duly advertised hearing by said Planning and Zoning Commission of Will County affecting property located in Green Garden Township where such area is situated; and

WHEREAS, it appears that due notice of the time and place of such hearing was published in a paper of general circulation in Will County, Illinois; that such hearing was duly and properly held, and that report thereof has been made to this Board within thirty days after such hearing;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the County Board of Will County, Illinois that:

Section 1. That the “Will County Zoning Ordinance”, approved September 9, 1947, as amended, be and the same is hereby amended by reclassifying the area described as follows:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT FOR A LANDSCAPING NURSERY BUSINESS
WITH NINE (9) CONDITIONS

1. A maximum of five (5) employee vehicles may be parked on the subject parcel at any one time.
2. All employee vehicles must be parked behind (west side) of a barn structure so that they are not visible from the access road.
3. All landscaping equipment must be stored inside.
4. All landscaping waste such as mulch, grass clippings and tree branches must not be stored on the subject property.
5. All landscaping waste currently located on the subject parcel must be removed within 30 days of board approval.
6. Retail sales shall not be permitted on the subject parcel.
7. All portions of existing septic field should remain on the subject property and not be landscaped.
8. There shall be no farming within seventy-five (75) feet of the creek located on the west side of the subject parcel.
9. At no time may off-site generated landscape waste be brought back to the facility and/or burned on the property.

THE NORTH 349.08 FEET OF THAT PART OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 18, LYING WEST OF THE WEST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF U. S. ROUTE 45. AS DEDICATED BY DOCUMENT NO. 410578, ALL IN TOWNSHIP 34 NORTH, RANGE 12 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, IN WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS, AND CONTAINING 10.301 ACRES MORE OR LESS.

Section 2. That the County Clerk shall alter the map of said territory described in Subsection 3.1, Section 3 of said Will County Zoning Ordinance to indicate such revised classification and shall certify such alteration by her signature and the date thereof, file the same and make available for public reference.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law (or passage, approval and publication as provided bylaw).

CASE NO: 5586-S

APPELLANT: First Midwest Bank, Trust # 7272
Jeffrey D. Pickens 50% and John D. Collins
50% Beneficiaries

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007

Vote: Yes _____ No _____ Pass _____

Nancy Schultzs Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Singer, Case #5586-S be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

CASE #5586-S IS GRANTED.

Member Bilotta presented Case #5594-S, Special Use Permit for a Woodworking Shop in a Residential District in Joliet Township.

ORDINANCE AMENDING THE "WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS ZONING ORDINANCE"
Adopted and Approved September 9, 1947 as amended

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of Will County, Illinois has recommended the reclassification of a certain area within the County as hereinafter described; and

WHEREAS, it appears that such recommendation was based upon a duly advertised hearing by said Planning and Zoning Commission of Will County affecting property located in Joliet Township where such area is situated; and

WHEREAS, it appears that due notice of the time and place of such hearing was published in a paper of general circulation in Will County, Illinois; that such hearing was duly and properly held, and that report thereof has been made to this Board within thirty days after such hearing;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the County Board of Will County, Illinois that:

Section 1. That the "Will County Zoning Ordinance", approved September 9, 1947, as amended, be and the same is hereby amended by reclassifying the area described as follows:

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT FOR A WOODWORKING SHOP IN A
RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT WITH TWO CONDITION**

1. Hours of operation shall be weekdays between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m.
2. The Special Use Permit will go with the land; not transferable if property changes owners.

Lot 42 in Greentree Subdivision Unit No. 3, a Subdivision of part of the Southwest ¼ of Section 25, Township 35 North, Range 10 East of the Third Principal Meridian, according to the Plat thereof recorded November 22, 1961, as Document No. 944170, in Will County, Illinois
Commonly known as 2121 Elmwood Lane.

Section 2. That the County Clerk shall alter the map of said territory described in Subsection 3.1, Section 3 of said Will County Zoning Ordinance to indicate such revised classification and shall certify such alteration by her signature and the date thereof, file the same and make available for public reference.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law (or passage, approval and publication as provided bylaw).

CASE NO: 5594-S

APPELLANT: Elmer and Janice Hickey

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th **day of** January, **2007**

Vote: Yes _____ No _____ Pass _____

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member Bilotta made a motion, seconded by Member Babich, Case #5594-S be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

CASE #5594-S IS GRANTED.

FINANCE COMMITTEE
John Gerl, Chairman

Member Gerl presented the Recognition of the Auditor’s Receipt of Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting.

Auditor Weber thanked all of the departments and also his staff, his Chief Deputy Auditors are Bob Mondrella and Cathy Pleasant; and his other staff are Emily, Melita and Marlo.

Member Gerl presented the following correspondence to be placed on file:

1. A report from the Illinois Department of Revenue showing the sales taxes remitted to Will County for the month of November, 2006 in the amount of one million, seven hundred thirty thousand, one hundred ninety nine dollars and two cents (1,730,199.02).
2. The Will County Monthly Treasurer’s Report from Will County Treasurer Pat McGuire dated December 31, 2006.

Member Gerl made a motion, seconded by Member Gould, the foregoing items be placed on file.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

CORRESPONDENCE IS PLACED ON FILE.

Member Gerl presented Resolution #07-01, Transferring & Increasing Appropriations from the Capital Improvement Fund/Repair Fund 304 to County Executive Budget to fund Renovations for the Superintendent of School's Office.

**Finance Committee
Resolution #07-01**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

**TRANSFERRING & INCREASING APPROPRIATIONS FROM
THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/REPAIR FUND 304
TO COUNTY EXECUTIVE BUDGET TO FUND RENOVATIONS FOR
SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOL'S OFFICE**

WHEREAS, office space has now been identified for the Superintendent of School's Office, and it is necessary to fund the renovations, and

WHEREAS, appropriations have been identified in the Capital Improvement Repair Fund 304 to fund said costs, and

WHEREAS, the Finance Committee concurs with this request, and

WHEREAS, pursuant to 55 ILCS 5/6-1003, "transfers from one appropriation of any one fund to another of the same fund, not affecting the total amount appropriated, may be made at any meeting of the board by a two-thirds vote".

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Will County Board hereby amends its 2007 Budget, by transferring and appropriating \$135,000.00 from the Capital Improvement Repair Fund 304 into the County Executive Budget line item 101-41-122-6051.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Auditor is directed to make the necessary line item and fund adjustments, in accordance with the above-referenced statutory authority.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes ___ No ___ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member Gerl made a motion, seconded by Member Brandolino, Resolution #07-01 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-01 IS ADOPTED.

Member Gerl presented Resolution #07-02, Increasing Appropriations for Revenue and Expenses for Medicaid Reimbursements and Disbursements

**Finance Committee
Resolution #07-02**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

**INCREASING APPROPRIATIONS FOR REVENUE AND EXPENSES FOR MEDICAID
REIMBURSEMENTS AND DISBURSEMENTS**

WHEREAS, there was a recent change in the way the intergovernmental transfers for Medicaid reimbursements for county nursing homes are to be handled, and

WHEREAS, previously the revenue was received by the State, their portion was subtracted, and the remainder was paid to the County. Now, electronic monthly transfers to the Illinois Department of Health and Family Services will be made based upon a calculated 40% monthly share, and

WHEREAS, in order to accomplish these timely electronic payments, the Finance Director has requested to amend the 2007 Budget to increase both the revenue and expense appropriations in the amount of \$4,740,364.00 as follows:

101-00-000-33710	4,740,364.00
101-41-278-3823	4,740,364.00

WHEREAS, based upon the representations made at committee, the Finance Committee agrees with the requests and recommends approval, and

WHEREAS, pursuant to 55 ILCS 5/6-1003, budget amendments may be made at any meeting of the board by a two-thirds vote.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby amends its 2007 Budget by transferring and increasing appropriations as detailed above to accommodate the necessary electronic monthly payments.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Auditor is directed to make the necessary line item and fund adjustments, in accordance to statutory authority.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass_____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member Gerl made a motion, seconded by Member Gould, Resolution #07-02 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-02 IS ADOPTED.

Member Gerl presented Resolution #07-03, Ordinance Abating the Taxes heretofore Levied for the year 2006 to Pay Debt Service on \$35 Million General Obligation Bonds (Alternate Revenue Source), Series 2005, of the County of Will Illinois.

**Finance Committee
Ordinance #07-03**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

ORDINANCE No. 07-03

AN ORDINANCE abating the taxes heretofore levied for the year 2006 to pay debt service on \$35,000,000 General Obligation Bonds (Alternate Revenue Source), Series 2005, of The County of Will, Illinois.

* * *

WHEREAS, the County Board (the "Board") of The County of Will, Illinois (the "County"), by ordinance adopted on the 18th day of January, 2007 (the "Bond Ordinance"), did provide for the issue of \$35,000,000 General Obligation Bonds (Alternate Revenue Source), Series 2005 (the "Bonds"), and the levy of a direct annual tax sufficient to pay debt service on the Bonds; and

WHEREAS, on the 28th day of June, 2005, a duly certified copy of the Bond Ordinance was filed in the office of the County Clerk of the County (the "County Clerk"); and

WHEREAS, the County has Pledged Revenues (as defined in the Bond Ordinance) available for the purpose of paying debt service on the Bonds heretofore imposed by the 2006 levy; and

WHEREAS, the Pledged Revenues are hereby directed to be deposited into the "Alternate Bond Fund" established pursuant to the Bond Ordinance for the purpose of paying the debt service on the Bonds; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary and in the best interests of the County that the taxes heretofore levied for the year 2006 to pay the debt service on the Bonds be abated.

NOW, THEREFORE, Be It Ordained by the County Board of The County of Will, Illinois, as follows:

Section 1. Abatement of Tax for the Bonds. The tax heretofore levied for the year 2005 in the Bond Ordinance shall be abated in its entirety.

Section 2. Filing of Ordinance. Forthwith upon the adoption of this ordinance, the Clerk of the Board shall file a certified copy hereof with the County Clerk and it shall be the duty of the County Clerk to abate said taxes levied for the year 2006 in accordance with the provisions hereof.

Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect forthwith upon its adoption.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes ____ No ____ Pass ____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this ____ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member Gerl made a motion, seconded by Member McMillan, Resolution #07-03 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-03 IS ADOPTED.

Member Gerl presented Resolution #07-04, Ordinance Abating the Taxes heretofore Levied for the year 2006 to Pay Debt Service on \$10 Million General Obligation Bonds (Alternate Revenue Source), Series 2006, of the County of Will Illinois.

**Finance Committee
Ordinance #07-04**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

ORDINANCE NO. 07-04

AN ORDINANCE abating the taxes heretofore levied for the year 2006 to pay debt service on \$10,000,000 General Obligation Bonds (Alternate Revenue Source), Series 2006, of The County of Will, Illinois.

* * *

WHEREAS, the County Board (the “*Board*”) of The County of Will, Illinois (the “*County*”), by ordinance adopted on the 18th day of January, 2007 (the “*Bond Ordinance*”), did provide for the issue of \$10,000,000 General Obligation Bonds (Alternate Revenue Source), Series 2006 (the “*Bonds*”), and the levy of a direct annual tax sufficient to pay debt service on the Bonds; and

WHEREAS, on the 16th day of November, 2006, a duly certified copy of the Bond Ordinance was filed in the office of the County Clerk of the County (the “*County Clerk*”); and

WHEREAS, the County has Pledged Revenues (as defined in the Bond Ordinance) available for the purpose of paying debt service on the Bonds heretofore imposed by the 2006 levy; and

WHEREAS, the Pledged Revenues are hereby directed to be deposited into the “*Alternate Bond Fund*” established pursuant to the Bond Ordinance for the purpose of paying the debt service on the Bonds; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary and in the best interests of the County that the taxes heretofore levied for the year 2006 to pay the debt service on the Bonds be abated:

NOW, THEREFORE, Be It Ordained by the County Board of The County of Will, Illinois, as follows:

Section 1. Abatement of Tax for the Bonds. The tax heretofore levied for the year 2006 in the Bond Ordinance shall be abated in its entirety.

Section 2. Filing of Ordinance. Forthwith upon the adoption of this ordinance, the Clerk of the Board shall file a certified copy hereof with the County Clerk and it shall be the duty of the County Clerk to abate said taxes levied for the year 2006 in accordance with the provisions hereof.

Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect forthwith upon its adoption.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass_____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member Gerl made a motion, seconded by Member Brandolino, Resolution #07-04 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-04 IS ADOPTED.

Member Gerl presented Resolution #07-05, Increasing Appropriations for \$10 Million ADF Bond Second Issuance.

**Finance Committee
Resolution #07-05**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

**INCREASING APPROPRIATIONS FOR \$10 MILLION ADF BOND SECOND
ISSUANCE**

WHEREAS, the Will County Board authorized the second issuance of \$10 Million Dollars in general obligation bonds alternative revenue sources for the expansion of the ADF, and is in receipt of those funds, and

WHEREAS, to date the \$10 Million dollars has not been appropriated, and the Finance Director has requested that necessary funds be created and appropriated, and

WHEREAS, recommendation from the Finance Director, Bond Counsel, and Underwriter is to spend down this \$10 Million Dollar second issuance prior to the Series 2005 \$35 Million first issuance. Based on those recommendations, the Finance Director has requested the following appropriations:

307-00-000-39310	General Obligation Bond Proceeds	10,000,000.00
307-00-000-36175	Interest (estimated)	500,000.00
307-41-110-3980	Cost of Bond Issuance	223,192.19
307-41-110-4100	Buildings & Structures	10,276,807.81

WHEREAS, debt service for the ADF \$10 Million Dollar second issuance also needs to be created and appropriated, and the Finance Director has recommended the following appropriations:

407-00-000-36174	Interest	7,500.00
407-00-000-39101	Transfer in Corporate	370,000.00
407-41-110-5010	Principle Payments Bonded Debt 2 nd	00.00
407-41-110-5020	Interest Payments Bonded Debt 2 nd	370,000.00
407-41-110-6016	Other Costs	7,500.00
Corporate Dollars		
101-40-100-4801	Office Capital Outlay	370,000.00

WHEREAS, the Finance Committee concurs with these recommendations, and

WHEREAS, pursuant to 55 ILCS 5/6-1003, ...budget amendments may be made at any meeting of the Board by a two-thirds vote.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby creates the necessary funds, transfers funds accordingly, and appropriates as follows:

307-00-000-39310	General Obligation Bond Proceeds	10,000,000.00
307-00-000-36175	Interest (estimated)	500,000.00
307-41-110-3980	Cost of Bond Issuance	223,192.19
307-41-110-4100	Buildings & Structures	10,276,807.81

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED, the Will County Board hereby authorizes and creates debt service for the ADF \$10 Million Dollar second issuance as follows:

407-00-000-36174	Interest	7,500.00
407-00-000-39101	Transfer in Corporate	370,000.00
407-41-110-5010	Principle Payments Bonded Debt 2 nd	00.00
407-41-110-5020	Interest Payments Bonded Debt 2 nd	370,000.00
407-41-110-6016	Other Costs	7,500.00
Corporate Dollars		
101-40-100-4801	Office Capital Outlay	370,000.00

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Auditor is directed to make the necessary line item and fund adjustments, in accordance with the above-referenced statutory authority.

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass_____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member Gerl made a motion, seconded by Member McMillan, Resolution #07-05 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-05 IS ADOPTED.

Member Gerl presented Resolution #07-06, Transferring & Increasing Appropriations in Various County Budgets to Fund Year End Shortfalls.

**Finance Committee
Resolution #07-06**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

RE: Transferring and Increasing Appropriations in Various County Budgets to Fund Year End Shortfalls

WHEREAS, as preparation begins to close the fourth quarter books of Fiscal Year 2006, various departmental budgets are experiencing line item shortfalls, and

WHEREAS, in an effort to fund these shortfalls, the Executive's Office has requested to fund said shortfalls with transfers from other departmental budgets that are experiencing surpluses at this time, and

WHEREAS, the Finance Committee has recommended approval of this request, and

WHEREAS, pursuant to 55 ILCS 5/6-1003,... budget amendments may be made at any meeting of the board by a two-thirds vote.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby amends its 2006 Budget, by transferring and increasing appropriations in the various departmental budgets as described fully in the attached Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Auditor and Treasurer are directed to make the necessary line item and fund adjustments, in accordance with the above-referenced statutory authority.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes _____ No _____ Pass _____ (SEAL) _____

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member Gerl made a motion, seconded by Member Weigel, Resolution #07-06 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-06 IS ADOPTED

Member Gerl presented Resolution #07-07, Increasing Appropriations in Sheriff's Restricted Budget.

**Finance Committee
Resolution #07-07**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

Increasing Appropriations in Sheriff's Restricted Budget

WHEREAS, the Sheriff's Department has requested an increase of appropriations in its Restricted Budget, as follows:

252-50-640-4400 \$43,533.44

WHEREAS, based on presentations made at Committee the Finance Committee concurs with this request, and

WHEREAS, pursuant to 55 ILCS 5/6-1003, ... budget amendments may be made at any meeting of the board by a two-thirds vote.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby amends its 2007 Budget by increasing appropriations in the Sheriff's Restricted Budget as described above.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Auditor is hereby directed to make the necessary line item and fund adjustments, in accordance with the above-referenced statutory authority.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes ___ No ___ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member Gerl made a motion, seconded by Member Riley, Resolution #07-07 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-07 IS ADOPTED.

Member Gerl presented Resolution #07-08, Increasing Will County Court Fees.

**Finance Committee
Resolution #07-08**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

INCREASE OF WILL COUNTY COURT FEES

WHEREAS, 55 ILCS 5/5-1101(f) authorizes the County Board to adopt the imposition of a mandatory \$5.00 fee to certain dispositions of certain cases to support a drug court, and

WHEREAS, 55 ILCS 5/5-1101(d-5) authorizes the County Board to adopt the imposition of a mandatory \$10.00 fee to certain dispositions of certain cases to support a drug court, and

WHEREAS, Will County has a drug court program and the County Board finds it in the interests of its citizens to place the burden of financing the drug court program on persons convicted or placed on court supervision for the offenses enumerated within the referenced statute; and

WHEREAS, 705 ILCS 107/27.2 authorizes the County Board to set various court fees between certain minimum and maximum amounts; and

WHEREAS, due to the growth of cases handled by the court system the County Board finds it necessary and prudent to increase each court fee listed in 705 ILCS 107/27.2 to its maximum amount, as provided on the attached exhibit; and

WHEREAS, 705 ILCS 105/27.3a authorizes the County Board to set an automation fee between \$1.00 and \$15.00 per case filing, and

WHEREAS, due to the growth of cases handled by the court system the County Board finds it necessary and prudent to increase the automation fee listed in 705 ILCS 107/27.3a to \$15.00; and

WHEREAS, 705 ILCS 105/27.3c authorizes the County Board to set a court document fee between \$1.00 and \$15.00 per case filing, and

WHEREAS, due to the growth of cases handled by the court system the County Board finds it necessary and prudent to increase the court document fee listed in 705 ILCS 107/27.3c to \$15.00.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby authorizes the Will County Circuit Court Clerk to collect a mandatory fee of \$5.00 pursuant to 55 ILCS 5/5-1101(f), effective March 1, 2007.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby authorizes the Will County Circuit Court Clerk to collect a mandatory fee of \$10.00 pursuant to 55 ILCS 5/5-1101(d-5), effective March 1, 2007.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Will County Board hereby authorizes the Will County Circuit Court Clerk to collect the maximum fees pursuant to 705 ILCS 107/27.2 as provided on the attached exhibit, effective March 1, 2007.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby authorizes the Will County Circuit Court Clerk to collect a fee of \$15.00 pursuant to 705 ILCS 105/27.3a, effective March 1, 2007.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby authorizes the Will County Circuit Court Clerk to collect a fee of \$15.00 pursuant to 705 ILCS 105/27.3c, effective March 1, 2007.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein.

Adopted by the County Board of the County of Will this 18th day of January 2007.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass_____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this ___ day of _____, 2007. (SEAL)

Lawrence Walsh
Will County Executive

Member Gerl made a motion, seconded by Member Brandolino, to table Resolution #07-08.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-08 IS TABLED.

PUBLIC WORKS & TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE
Cory Singer, Chairman

Member Singer pointed out a few items in the consent agenda, because it is a new year a lot of these items are more of the fundamental business that we have to do at the beginning of the year. Things like materials and black top that will be needed throughout the year. A few that he wanted to point out would be significant improvements to our county. There is a complete reconstruction of the bridge over Manhattan/Arsenal Road on Manhattan/Arsenal Road over Jackson Creek. It is two lanes now, which will be completely redesigned to a three-lane bridge; something that will add a lot to those who are traveling over this route. Secondly, they are finally

paying off all of the improvements that were done to 191st Street, west of Route 45 to Wolf Road. This was a two lane road that was expanded to four lanes, it was certainly a big improvement to the Village of Mokena and their ability to grow as tax base and industrial base.

Executive Walsh asked if there were any questions.

Member Singer asked if any members objected to the consent agenda. There were no objections. Member Singer presented the following consent agenda: (07-09 07-10, 07-11, 07-12, 07-13, 07-14, 07-15, 07-16, 07-17, 07-18, 07-19, 07-20, 07-21, 07-22, 07-23, 07-24, and 07-25).

**Public Works & Transportation Committee
Resolution 07-09**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

**RESOLUTION GRANTING A VARIANCE FOR A DEVELOPMENT ON
MILLS RD. – C.H. 51**

WHEREAS, the County of Will is in receipt of a request for a variance to section 6.7.6-3 of the Will County Freeway and Highway Access Regulation Ordinance from a development (Lemanger Property) situated on the southeast corner of Mills Road (County Highway 51) and Route 53 – County Board District #8; and

WHEREAS, the request to waive the left turn lane requirement of section 6.7.6-3 of the Ordinance was presented, reviewed and considered by the Public Works and Transportation Committee on January 9, 2007; and

WHEREAS, the said Committee finds conditions appropriate and necessary for granting the requested variance; and

WHEREAS, the said Committee recommends the granting of the requested variance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County Board of Will County approves the requested variance described above and heretofore recommended by the Public Works and Transportation Committee and hereby directs the Will County Engineer to execute an access permit once all other requirements of the Will County Freeway and Highway Access Regulation Ordinance are met.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes ___ No ___ Pass ___ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this ___ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

**Public Works & Transportation Committee
Resolution 07-10**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AWARD OF CONTRACT

WHEREAS, on December 6, 2006 bids were received and opened for public highway improvements now coming under the jurisdiction of the County of Will, all County Board Districts; and

WHEREAS, the improvement shall be constructed using County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds; and

WHEREAS, on January 9, 2007, the Public Works Committee of the County Board of Will County met to consider the bids; and

WHEREAS, the said committee determined that the following qualified bidder submitted the low bid as listed below for the work herein described and did award the bid subject to the confirmation of this County Board; and

WHEREAS, the said committee recommends the confirmation of award of contract as follows:

<u>BIDDER</u>	<u>JOB</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Gallagher Materials Corporation 18100 S. Indiana Avenue Thornton, IL 60476	Section 07-00000-00-GM 2007 MFT Maintenance Bituminous Patching Mixture	

Specification (M48-79)	\$68.00 / Ton
Bit. Concrete Surface Course	\$45.00 / Ton
Bit. Concrete Binder Course	\$37.00 / Ton
Specification (M120-91)	\$90.00 / Ton

P. T. Ferro Construction Co.
P. O. Box 156
Joliet, IL 60436-0156

Section 07-00000-00-GM	
2007 MFT Maintenance	
Bituminous Patching Mixture	
Bit. Concrete Surface Course	\$42.00 / Ton
Bit. Concrete Binder Course	\$38.00 / Ton

BIDDER

JOB

AMOUNT

"D" Construction, Inc.
1488 S. Broadway
Coal City, IL 60416

Section 07-00000-00-GM	
2007 MFT Maintenance	
Bituminous Patching Mixture	
Bit. Concrete Surface Course	\$42.00 / Ton
Bit. Concrete Binder Course	\$36.00 / Ton

Iroquois Paving Corp.
P.O. Box 466
Watseka, Illinois 60970

Section 07-00000-00-GM	
2007 MFT Maintenance	
Bituminous Patching Mixture	
Specf. (M19-81)	\$67.00 / Ton
Bit. Conc. Surface Course	\$42.00 / Ton
Bit Conc. Binder Course	\$40.00 / Ton

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Will County confirm the award of the foregoing contract heretofore awarded by the Public Works Committee subject to the confirmation hereby enacted.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes ___ No ___ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive



**Public Works & Transportation Committee
Resolution 07-11**

**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AWARD OF CONTRACT

WHEREAS, on December 6, 2006 bids were received and opened for public highway improvements now coming under the jurisdiction of the County of Will, all County Board Districts; and

WHEREAS, the improvement shall be constructed using County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds; and

WHEREAS, on January 9, 2007, the Public Works Committee of the County Board of Will County met to consider the bids; and

WHEREAS, the said committee determined that the following qualified bidder submitted the low bid as listed below for the work herein described and did award the bid subject to the confirmation of this County Board; and

WHEREAS, the said committee recommends the confirmation of award of contract as follows:

<u>BIDDER</u>	<u>JOB</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
P.T. Ferro Construction Co. P. O. Box 156 Joliet, IL 60434-0156	Section 07-00000-00-GM 2007 MFT Maintenance Bituminous Material (Prime Coat) MC 30	\$ 5.00 Per Gallon
H.P. Graham Construction Co., Inc. 1001 Buell Avenue Joliet, IL 60435	Section 07-00000-00-GM 2007 MFT Maintenance Bituminous Material (Prime Coat) MC 30	\$ 4.26 Per Gallon

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Will County confirm the award of the foregoing contract heretofore awarded by the Public Works Committee subject to the confirmation hereby enacted.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes ___ No ___ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

**Public Works & Transportation Committee
Resolution 07-12**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AWARD OF CONTRACT

WHEREAS, on December 6, 2006 bids were received and opened for public highway improvements now coming under the jurisdiction of the County of Will, all County Board Districts; and

WHEREAS, the improvement shall be constructed using County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds; and

WHEREAS, on January 9, 2007, the Public Works Committee of the County Board of Will County met to consider the bids; and

WHEREAS, the said committee determined that the following qualified bidder submitted the low bid as listed below for the work herein described and did award the bid subject to the confirmation of this County Board; and

WHEREAS, the said committee recommends the confirmation of award of contract as follows:

<u>BIDDER</u>	<u>JOB</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Vulcan Construction Materias L.P. 595 W. Laraway Road Joliet, IL 604368	Section 07-00000-00-GM 2007 MFT Maintenance Various Aggregate Gravel or Crushed Stone CA6	\$ 7.00 / Ton

Course Agg. Grade CA3	\$ 8.00 / Ton
Course Agg. Grade CA7	\$10.00 / Ton
Course Agg. Grade CA16	\$10.00 / Ton
Stone Riprap, Grade RR1	\$17.00 / Ton
Stone Riprap, Grade RR3	\$17.00 / Ton
Stone Riprap, Grade RR5	\$19.00 / Ton

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Will County confirm the award of the foregoing contract heretofore awarded by the Public Works Committee subject to the confirmation hereby enacted.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes ___ No ___ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this ___ day of _____, 2007

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

**Public Works & Transportation Committee
Resolution 07-13**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AWARD OF CONTRACT

WHEREAS, on December 6, 2006 bids were received and opened for public highway improvement now coming under the jurisdiction of the County of Will, all County Board Districts; and

WHEREAS, the improvement shall be constructed using County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds; and

WHEREAS, on January 9, 2007, the Public Works Committee of the County Board of Will County met to consider the bid; and

WHEREAS, the said committee determined that the following qualified bidder submitted the low bid as listed below for the work herein described and did award the bid subject to the confirmation of this County Board; and

WHEREAS, the said committee recommends the confirmation of award of contract as follows:

<u>BIDDER</u>	<u>JOB</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Hall Signs, Inc. P.O. Box 515 Bloomington, Indiana 47402	Section 07-00000-00-GM 2007 MFT Maintenance Sign Material	\$ 2,640.29

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Will County confirm the award of the foregoing contract heretofore awarded by the Public Works Committee subject to the confirmation hereby enacted.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes ___ No ___ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

**Public Works & Transportation Committee
Resolution 07-14**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AWARD OF CONTRACT

WHEREAS, on December 6, 2006 bids were received and opened for public highway improvement now coming under the jurisdiction of the County of Will, all County Board Districts; and

WHEREAS, the improvement shall be constructed using County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds; and

WHEREAS, on January 9, 2007, the Public Works Committee of the County Board of Will County met to consider the bid; and

WHEREAS, the said committee determined that the following qualified bidder submitted the low bid as listed below for the work herein described and did award the bid subject to the confirmation of this County Board; and

WHEREAS, the said committee recommends the confirmation of award of contract as follows:

<u>BIDDER</u>	<u>JOB</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Hall Signs, Inc. P.O. Box 515 Bloomington, Indiana 47402	Section 07-00000-00-GM 2007 MFT Maintenance Sign Material	\$ 2,640.29

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Will County confirm the award of the foregoing contract heretofore awarded by the Public Works Committee subject to the confirmation hereby enacted.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes ___ No ___ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive



**Public Works & Transportation Committee
Resolution 07-15**

**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AWARD OF CONTRACT

WHEREAS, on December 6, 2006 bids were received and opened for public highway improvements now coming under the jurisdiction of the County of Will, all County Board Districts; and

WHEREAS, the improvement shall be constructed using County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds; and

WHEREAS, on January 9, 2007, the Public Works Committee of the County Board of Will County met to consider the bids; and

WHEREAS, the said committee determined that the following qualified bidder submitted the low bid as listed below for the work herein described and did award the bid subject to the confirmation of this County Board; and

WHEREAS, the said committee recommends the confirmation of award of contract as follows:

<u>BIDDER</u>	<u>JOB</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Hall Signs, Inc. P.O. Box 515 Bloomington, Indiana 47402	Section 07-00000-00-GM 2007 MFT Maintenance Telescopic Metal Posts	\$ 19,622.00

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Will County confirm the award of the foregoing contract heretofore awarded by the Public Works Committee subject to the confirmation hereby enacted.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass_____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this ___ day of _____, 2007

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

**Public Works & Transportation Committee
Resolution 07-16**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AWARD OF CONTRACT

WHEREAS, on December 6, 2006 bids were received and opened for public highway improvements now coming under the jurisdiction of the County of Will, all County Board Districts; and

WHEREAS, the improvement shall be constructed using County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds; and

WHEREAS, on January 9, 2007, the Public Works Committee of the County Board of Will County met to consider the bids; and

WHEREAS, the said committee determined that the following qualified bidder submitted the low bid as listed below for the work herein described and did award the bid subject to the confirmation of this County Board; and

WHEREAS, the said committee recommends the confirmation of award of contract as follows:

<u>BIDDER</u>	<u>JOB</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Hall Signs, Inc. P.O. Box 515 Bloomington, Indiana 47402	Section 07-00000-00-GM 2007 MFT Maintenance Preformed Retroreflective Pavement Markings – Words / Symbols	\$ 6,399.46

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Will County confirm the award of the foregoing contract heretofore awarded by the Public Works Committee subject to the confirmation hereby enacted.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes ___ No ___ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this ___ day of _____, 2007

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

**Public Works & Transportation Committee
Resolution 07-17**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING AWARD OF CONTRACT

WHEREAS, on December 6, 2006 bids were received and opened for public highway improvements now coming under the jurisdiction of the County of Will, all County Board Districts; and

WHEREAS, the improvement shall be constructed using County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds; and

WHEREAS, on January 9, 2007, the Public Works Committee of the County Board of Will County met to consider the bids; and

WHEREAS, the said committee determined that the following qualified bidder submitted the low bid as listed below for the work herein described and did award the bid subject to the confirmation of this County Board; and

WHEREAS, the said committee recommends the confirmation of award of contract as follows:

<u>BIDDER</u>	<u>JOB</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Contech Construction Products, Inc. 1200 Harger Road, Suite 707 Oak Brook, IL 60523-9001	Section 07-00000-00-GM 2007 MFT Maintenance Pipe Culverts, CSCP	\$22,407.00

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Will County confirm the award of the foregoing contract heretofore awarded by the Public Works Committee subject to the confirmation hereby enacted.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes ___ No ___ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this ___ day of _____, 2007

Lawrence M. Walsh

Will County Executive

**Public Works & Transportation Committee
Resolution 07-18**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

**AUTHORIZING THE ADOPTION OF ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
COUNTY MAINTENANCE RESOLUTION**

WHEREAS, pursuant to 55 ILCS 5/5-1005(3), the County of Will has the power to make all contracts and do all other acts in relation to property and concerns of the County necessary to the exercise of its corporate powers; and

WHEREAS, the County of Will is required to adopt the attached Illinois Department of Transportation Resolution to effect the appropriation of \$870,000.00 from the Motor Fuel Tax allotment for the maintenance on county or State highways and meeting the requirements of the Illinois Highway Code.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby adopts the Illinois Department of Transportation Resolution attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby authorizes the Will County Executive and the Will County Clerk to execute and further comply with the Illinois Department of Transportation Resolution attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit A.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes ___ No ___ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

**Public Works & Transportation Committee
Resolution 07-19**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

**Authorizing Approval of an Illinois Department
Of Transportation - County Joint Agreement
Phase III Engineering and Construction Participation**

WHEREAS, the State and the County, in order to facilitate the free flow of traffic and insure safety to the motoring public, are desirous of making improvements for the reconstruction of County Highway 17 (Manhattan-Arsenal Road) Bridge over Jackson Creek, Section 02-00117-21-BR, County Board District #6; and

WHEREAS, the County is desirous of said improvement in that same will be of immediate benefit to the county residents and permanent in nature.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board enter into an agreement with the Illinois Department of Transportation for Phase III Engineering and Construction Participation for the improvement County Highway 17 (Manhattan-Arsenal Road) Bridge over Jackson Creek.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive and the County Clerk of Will County are hereby authorized and directed to execute said agreement, subject to the review and approval by the Will County State's Attorney.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the clerk is hereby directed to transmit five (5) certified copies of this resolution to the regional office of the Illinois Department of Transportation through the office of the County Engineer.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass___ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this ___ day of _____, 2007

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

**Public Works & Transportation Committee
Resolution 07-20**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

**Authorizing Approval of the Expenditure of
County Bridge Tax Funds**

WHEREAS, the State and the County, in order to facilitate the free flow of traffic and insure safety to the motoring public, are desirous of making improvements for the reconstruction of County Highway 17 (Manhattan-Arsenal Road) Bridge over Jackson Creek, Section 02-00117-21-BR, County Board District #6; and

WHEREAS, the County is desirous of said improvement in that same will be of immediate benefit to the county residents and permanent in nature.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board enter into an agreement for Construction and Construction Engineering (Phase III) with the Illinois Department of Transportation for the reconstruction of County Highway 70 (Manhattan-Arsenal Road) Bridge over Jackson Creek.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is hereby approved from the County Bridge Tax funds the sum of \$402,500.00 as the County's share of the project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit four (4) certified copies of this resolution to the regional office of the Illinois Department of Transportation through the office of the County Engineer.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass___ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this ___ day of _____, 2007

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

**Public Works & Transportation Committee
Resolution 07-21**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

Re: Resolution Authorizing Approval of Professional Services Agreement For Construction Engineering (Phase III)

WHEREAS, the Public Works Committee requested Construction Engineering services (Phase III) for construction supervision for County Highway 17 (Manhattan-Monee Road) Bridge over Jackson Creek, Section 02-00117-21-BR, County Board District #6.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the County of Will enter into an agreement for Construction Engineering services (Phase III) with Smith Engineering Consultants, Inc. 4500 Prime Parkway, Suite 201, McHenry, Illinois for County Highway 17 (Manhattan-Arsenal Road) Bridge over Jackson Creek.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the compensation for the Construction Engineering services be according to the costs as noted in the agreement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive and the County Clerk of Will County are hereby authorized to execute the agreement subject to review and approval by the Will County State's Attorney.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit four (4) certified copies of this resolution to the district office of the Illinois Department of Transportation through the office of the County Engineer.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass_____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this ___ day of _____, 2007

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

**Public Works & Transportation Committee
Resolution 07-22**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESOLUTION FOR IMPROVEMENT
BY COUNTY UNDER THE ILLINOIS HIGHWAY CODE**

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County of Will, Illinois that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

County Highway 20 (North Peotone Road) the West line of the Northwest Quarter in Section 13, Township 33 N, Range 12 East of the 3rd P.M., Length – 1045 Feet (0.20 miles), County Board District #6..

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the type of improvement includes removal of a two span reinforced concrete slab bridge and replace with a single span (1 @ 73'-0") steel girder structure on spill-thru pile bent abutments and all necessary items to complete the project and shall be designated as Section 99-00111-07-BR.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed using the additional sum of \$20,529.53 from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds for a total of \$152,529.53.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed using the additional sum of \$20,529.52 from the County's allotment of County Matching Tax funds for a total of \$152,529.52.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit four (4) certified copies of this resolution to the regional office of the Illinois Department of Transportation through the office of the County Engineer.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes ___ No ___ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

**Public Works & Transportation Committee
Resolution 07-23**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESOLUTION FOR CONSTRUCTION
ENGINEERING SERVICES BY COUNTY
UNDER THE ILLINOIS HIGHWAY CODE**

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County of Will, Illinois that the following described County Highway be designed under the Illinois Highway Code:

County Highway 4 Elevator Road over Tributary to Forked Creek, Structure 099-3070, County Board District #6.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the construction engineering services shall consist of the construction engineering supervision and inspection of the associated work for the

replacement of the Elevator Road structure over Tributary to Forked Creek and other related work.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the compensation for the construction engineering services be according to the schedule of cost as listed in the agreement with Willett Hofmann & Associates, Inc., Lincolnshire Business Center, 701 Essington Road, Joliet, Illinois, Section 99-00056-14-EG.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the additional sum of \$5,864.41 for a total of \$20,864.41 from the County's Motor Fuel Tax funds and an additional \$5,864.41 for a total of \$20,864.41 from the County's Matching Tax funds be used for the construction engineering services.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit four (4) certified copies of this resolution to the regional office of the Illinois Department of Transportation through the office of the County Engineer.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes ___ No ___ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

**Public Works & Transportation Committee
Resolution 07-24**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

**Authorizing Approval of State of Illinois
Illinois Commerce Commission Stipulated Agreement**

WHEREAS, the State and the County, in order to facilitate the free flow of traffic and insure safety to the motoring public, are desirous of making improvements to the Elgin, Joliet

And Eastern Railway Crossing on Renwick Road (C.H. 36), County Section 06-00160-12-SP, County Board District #5; and

WHEREAS, the County is desirous of said improvement in that same will be of immediate benefit to the county residents and permanent in nature.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board enter into a Stipulated Agreement (#1249) with the Illinois Commerce Commission, Illinois Department of Transportation and Elgin, Joliet and Eastern Railway Company for Renwick Road (C.H. 36), Section 06-00160-12-SP, (AAR/DOT 260 585T, Milepost 66.3) at the railway grade crossing for the installation of new gate mechanisms, a copy of which is attached hereto and made part hereof.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive and the County Clerk of Will County are hereby authorized and directed to execute said agreement, subject to the review and approval by the Will County State's Attorney.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass___ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this ___ day of _____, 2007

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

**Public Works & Transportation Committee
Resolution 07-25**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

BY COUNTY UNDER THE ILLINOIS HIGHWAY CODE

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County of Will, Illinois that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

County Highway 84 (191st Street) at U.S. Route 45.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the State and the County, in order to facilitate the free flow of traffic and insure safety to the motoring public, is desirous of making improvements to the section of U.S. Route 45 from 179th Street to 191st Street which includes improvements to C.H. 84 (191st Street), known as State Section 99-5-1(HB;K)(HB-BR), County Section 00-00122-14-TL, County Board District #2.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the additional sum of \$121,049.24 is approved from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax funds thereby increasing the upper limit of compensation of these funds from \$235,000.00 to \$356,049.24.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the additional sum of \$121,049.24 is approved from the County's allotment of County Matching Tax funds thereby increasing the upper limit of compensation of these funds from \$235,000.00 to \$356,049.24.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit four (4) certified copies of this resolution to the regional office of the Illinois Department of Transportation through the office of the County Engineer.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes ___ No ___ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member Singer made a motion, seconded by Member Gould, consent agenda (07-09 07-10, 07-11, 07-12, 07-13, 07-14, 07-15, 07-16, 07-17, 07-18, 07-19, 07-20, 07-21, 07-22, 07-23, 07-24, and 07-25) be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

CONSENT AGENDA IS APPROVED.

Member Singer commented regarding a measure that will be entertained a bit later in the morning that will address the federal appropriation request. In these requests are two very important projects that are a great interest to our residents in the county. One is a request for \$615,000 to improve Laraway Road at Center Road and Cedar Road to improve those intersections. This is a very highly traveled corridor and traffic is growing every day on this road and is something that needs to be mitigated. Secondly, even a more congested area or will be when I-355 is open is 143rd Street. They are requesting a series of appropriations to design and improve 143rd Street so when once I-355 is extended to I-80, there is an intersection at 143rd that won't be a bottleneck of traffic. These are things the committee is doing to keep on top of the congestion.

Member Konicki thanked Member Singer for amending the federal request for funds to include all of 143rd from Bell Road west to Archer. Initially as proposed, we were going to be excluding the segment between Lemont Road or State Street and Bell Road, but the material was amended and now requesting the entire money. She again thanked him for the correction.

Member Singer responded it only made sense to do it that way.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE, LAW AND JUDICIAL COMMITTEE
Ann Dralle, Chairman

No Report.

HEALTH, AGING & EDUCATION COMMITTEE
Don Gould, Chairman

Member Gould presented Resolution #07-26, Resolution Appropriating Grant Funds in Health Department Budget for Tobacco Prevention and Control.

**Health, Aging & Education Committee
Resolution #07-26**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

**APPROPRIATING GRANT FUNDS IN THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT BUDGET
FOR TOBACCO PREVENTION AND CONTROL PROGRAM**

WHEREAS, the Will County Health Department has received a notice of a grant award from the Illinois Department of Public Health in the amount of \$55,000.00 for the period of November 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007, and

WHEREAS, in order to expend these grant funds the Executive Director has requested an increase of appropriations in the amount of \$55,000.00 in the Health Department Budget, and

WHEREAS, the Health, Aging & Education and Finance Committees have recommended approval of this request, and

WHEREAS, pursuant to 55 ILCS 5/6-1003, budget amendments may be made at any meeting of the board by a two-thirds vote.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby amends its 2007 Budget, by increasing appropriations in the Health Department Budget as follows:

<u>Revenue:</u>			
207-00-000-	33337	Illinois Tobacco Funding	\$ 55,000.00
 <u>Expenses:</u>			
207-41-252-	2020	Supplies	\$ 7,150.00
	2101	Ed Materials	10,000.00
	2220	Food/Beverages	2,750.00
	3710	Advertising/Marketing	19,600.00
	3720	Printing	11,000.00
	3260	Contract Services	<u>\$ 4,500.00</u>
		TOTAL	\$ 55,000.00

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Auditor and Treasurer are directed to make the necessary line item and fund adjustments, in accordance with the above-referenced statutory authority.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes _____ No _____ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member Gould made a motion, seconded by Member Sheridan, Resolution #07-26 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-26 IS ADOPTED.

Member Gould presented Resolution #07-27, Resolution Appropriating Grant Funds from IL Dept. of Human Services in Health Department Budget (Division of Mental Health-Jail Data Link Phase II Project).

**Health, Aging & Education Committee
Resolution #07-27**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

**RE: APPROPRIATING GRANT FUNDS FROM IL DEPT. OF HUMAN SERVICES
IN THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT BUDGET
(Division of Mental Health – Jail Data Link Phase II Project)**

WHEREAS, the Will County Health Department is in receipt of grant funds from the Illinois Department of Human Services, Division of Mental Health to provide technological support and consultation on the Jail Data Link Phase II Project in the amount of \$60,000.00, and

WHEREAS, in order to expend the grant funds, the Executive Director of the Will County Health Department has requested an increase of appropriations in the amount of \$55,000.00 in the following line items, for County Fiscal Year 2007:

Revenue:		
207-00-000-33311	Mental Health Grant	\$ 60,000.00
Expenses:		
207-41-249- 3130	Consulting Services	50,400.00
3752	Mileage & Travel	2,000.00
3753	Meals & Lodging	2,600.00

WHEREAS, the Health, Aging & Education Committee, as well as the Finance Committee have recommended approval of this request, and

WHEREAS, pursuant to 55 ILCS 5/6-1003, ... budget amendments may be made at any meeting of the board by a two-thirds vote.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby amends its 2007 Budget, by increasing appropriations in the Health Department Budget as described above.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Auditor and Treasurer are directed to make the necessary line item and fund adjustments, in accordance with the above-referenced statutory authority.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass_____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member Gould made a motion, seconded by Member Rozak, Resolution #07-27 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svava, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-27 IS ADOPTED.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE
Lee Ann Goodson, Chairman

Member Wilhelmi gave the report in Member Goodson's absence.

Member Wilhelmi presented Resolution #07-28, Resolution Establishing Will County's 2007 Federal Agenda & Priorities.



**Legislative Committee
Resolution #07-28**

**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

**RE: RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING WILL COUNTY'S 2007
FEDERAL AGENDA & PRIORITIES**

WHEREAS, Will County, Illinois is the second (2nd) fastest growing county, out of 102 counties, in the State of Illinois for the period from 2000 to 2005; and

WHEREAS, the County has experienced an increase in population of 16.8% from the census in 2000 to 2004 as well as an additional 4.1% from 2004 to 2005; and

WHEREAS, Will County, Illinois is ranked 14 out of the 100 United States counties with the largest numerical population increase from July 2004 to July 2005; and

WHEREAS, Will County's growth has placed tremendous stress on our local infrastructure, both human and physical, and has created a back log of critical needs; and

WHEREAS, the Will County Board Legislative Committee has worked diligently to identify those priorities to be considered for inclusion in the 2007 Federal Agenda of Will County, Illinois; and

WHEREAS, the Will County Board Legislative Committee and the Will County Executive recommend the list of priorities set forth on attachment "A" for inclusion in Will County's Federal agenda for 2007.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby establishes the list of priorities set forth on attachment "A" as the 2007 Federal Agenda for Will County, Illinois.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby incorporated herein as if fully set forth.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes ___ No ___ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh

Will County Executive

Member Wilhelmi made a motion, seconded by Adamic, to place Resolution 07-28 on the floor.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-28 IS ON THE FLOOR.

Member Wilhelmi explained that what this is a wish list to be sent out to Washington to hopefully receive money for special projects. There were originally ten items on this list and consultant Jim Smith suggested that it was shrunk a little bit to make it less taxing and maybe the priority ones would get a little bit more priority.

Member Wilhelmi made a motion, seconded by Babich, to amend Resolution #07-28 by removing item #8 from the priority list.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-28 IS AMENDED

Member Wilhelmi made a motion, seconded by Wisniewski, to approve Resolution #07-28 as amended.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-28 IS APPROVED AS AMENDED.

INSURANCE AND PERSONNEL COMMITTEE
Susan Riley, Chairman

Member Riley presented Resolution #07-29, County of Will Opting Out of Certain Provisions of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act.

**Insurance & Personnel Committee
Resolution #07-29**



**R E S O L U T I O N O F T H E C O U N T Y B O A R D
W I L L C O U N T Y , I L L I N O I S**

***Re: County of Will opting out of Certain Provisions
of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act***

WHEREAS, the County of Will provides a self-insured health benefits program to its employees and elected officials; and

WHEREAS, that Section 146, 180 of the Public Health Service Act, requires that the County of Will declare whether or not they will elect to opt out of providing certain provisions in their PPO/Indemnity health benefits program; and

WHEREAS, the County of Will must notify the Health Care Financing Administration as well as the employees of the County of Will of their election.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Will County Board that the County of Will PPO/Indemnity Plan hereby elects to opt out of the following provisions for the plan year beginning January 1, 2007:

1. The limitations on pre-existing condition exclusion periods (Section 146.111 of the PHS Act)
2. Parity in the application of certain limits to mental health benefits (Section 2705 of the PHS Act)

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Executive is hereby authorized and directed to take such other and further action as necessary to effectuate the intent of the foregoing resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

VOTE: YES: NO: PASS: (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member Riley made a motion, seconded by Member Blackburn, Resolution #07-29 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-29 IS ADOPTED.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS COMMITTEE
Charles Maher, Chairman

Member Wisniewski gave report in Member Maher’s absence.

Member Wisniewski presented Resolution #07-30, Authorizing County Executive to Execute Lease for Will County Regional Office of Education. The new space is in New Lenox around Route 30, just east of Interstate 80. He thanked Mr. Palmer and the County Board staff for putting this all together. This new space will allow the Regional Office of Education to move out and then allow the County Clerk to have expansion happen, so there won’t be voting machines in the hallways. The new space is approximately 5,400 sq. ft. at \$15.00 a square foot, it is within the County’s 2007 Budget, and has been worked on by the current Superintendent Duran and incoming Superintendent Bertino-Tarrant. The expected occupancy is in to mid to late April.



**Capital Improvements Committee
Resolution #07-30**

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD

WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE LEASE FOR WILL COUNTY REGIONAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION

WHEREAS, there is not sufficient space in the buildings owned by the County to house the Regional Office of Education, and

WHEREAS, the Will County Executive's Office has requested that the attached lease be executed for Regional Office of Education located at 700 W. Lincoln Highway, New Lenox, IL, at a rate of \$15.00 per square feet, up to a maximum of 5,400 square feet, as approved by the Capital Improvements Committee, representing a maximum annual lease rate of \$81,000.00, through and including December 31, 2011, with a pro-rated amount to be calculated for the period of April 15,2007 through and including December 31, 2007, and

WHEREAS, the necessary funding is available in the 2007 Budget, and

WHEREAS, the Capital Improvements Committee concurs with this request and recommends that the County Executive be authorized to execute the attached lease.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby authorizes the Will County Executive to execute the lease, in substantially the form attached hereto, for the Regional Office of Education located at 700 W. Lincoln Highway, New Lenox, IL, at a maximum annual lease rate of \$81,000.00, through and including December 31, 2011, with a pro-rated amount to be calculated for the period of April 15,2007 through and including December 31, 2007. Final lease subject to final review and approval by the Will County State's Attorney's Office.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set forth herein.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass_____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member Wisniewski made a motion, seconded by Member Gould, Resolution #07-30 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-30 IS ADOPTED.

POLICY AND RULES COMMITTEE
Richard Brandolino, Chairman

No Report.

BUDGET AND REVIEW COMMITTEE
Ronald Svava, Chairman

Member Svava stated there was to be a meeting today but because of a time crunch, the meeting has been postponed. He will announce the time of when it is rescheduled for. The committee members have a homework assignment under new business that there are some items to be thought about and will be discussed in detail at their next meeting.

Executive Walsh asked if there would be a test given too?

Member Svava responded that these items would be on the test.

POLLUTION CONTROL FACILITY SITING COMMITTEE
Richard Brandolino, Chairman

Member Brandolino stated as a refresher the Pollution Control Facility Committee Members' Brandolino, Weigel, and Stewart, met on November 9th and November 13th to go over the application from Waste Management. During those hearings, Waste Management presented their responses to nine required criterion. There were questions from the Will County Staff and its counsel, the County Board Representative and its counsel, and the Chicago Legal Clinic Incorporated representing CARE, which are citizens against ruining the environment as well as several residents of the county. They raised questions and had the opportunity to challenge the comments that were provided by the experts. He asked for Mr. Helston to come forward and review each of the responses from the county staff and consultant on each of the nine criterion and the response will then be taken on those criterion.

Executive Walsh asked Member Brandolino if he'd agree to suspend the rules and let Ms. Judy Keiser to speak for two minutes on this matter.

Member Brandolino asked Mr. Helston if this would be purposeful?

Mr. Helston replied that the comment could be allowed, obviously, the public comment carried by law has been closed and cannot be considered as evidence in the County Board

Members' deliberations on any of the criterion. With that caveat that it cannot be considered as evidence, he suggested that the lady could be allowed to speak.

Member Brandolino made a motion, seconded by Member Adamic, to suspend the rules.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two.

No negative votes.

RULES ARE SUSPENDED.

Member Brandolino asked how long Ms. Keiser has to speak?

Executive Walsh responded she has two to three minutes to speak.

Ms. Judy Keiser stated she is a resident close to the landfill. She has been watching Waste Management since 1983, when there were six weeks of hearings. She asked the County Board to deny the Waste Management proposal. One reason is the existing site has had many releases into the ground water and under EPA regulations it can have contamination up to the property boundary. It is her understanding that as recently as late 2006 that they have had to do corrective action in the south side of the boundary property. She does not believe the contaminated ground water is going to stop at the fence line. The EPA has concerns about the permit dealing with ground water monitoring and she has asked the EPA to do offsite monitoring to see if the ground water is over the fence line. If it is, then Waste Management would have to investigate how far it has gone, what can be done, and where it is coming from. If they are allowed to expand there will be a new boundary since it would be an expansion. She feels that Waste Management should be accountable for the existing site before any other new expansion. Waste Management is using a scare tactic to make their proposal more convincing. Waste Management stated in their own proposal to the County Board and she quoted, "The gamma emissions or radioactive from decay of radium in the gypsum stack are typically less than 50 mil rods per hour, which is less than the gamma measured from granite use to build Grand Central Station in New York." These levels are not a health concern and do not require any special radiation protection. Also, as far as the radon, it's everywhere it is a natural occurring thing. As far as need, Waste Management stated on their tour for the neighbors if they did not get siting, they were afraid their competitors would take their waste; Waste Management would be out of the special waste business. By the way, hazardous waste is mixed with oil and water and other substances which dilutes concentration, this is called special waste, but it is still a hazardous waste. They just get to bring more in. The waste can be incinerated; it does not have to come here. The borings that were done were measured in inches and not an accurate description of the whole expansion site; however, the EPA did an extensive study of the existing Waste Management site, which would give a more accurate picture of the entire area as a whole. It shows how inadequate it is for any kind of disposal or waste or composting. The EPA went as far as composing a close and exhume report. She included parts of the Appellate Court Brief where it explains the study as part of the comments on this proposal. Also the borings were done at a

time of the drought last year, so the ground water levels in the area would be less. The area has a very high water table. The detection systems fail, synthetic liners fail, they call it tinsel strate, like a string that was stretched to the breaking point. Post closure care of the site can be shortened in groundwater monitoring does not mean testing the groundwater. The taxpayers look to the County Board to protect them from the very threat of this site would present by the very nature of the waste. At some point in time, the site will close and all of the problems that exist will belong to the taxpayers and the future and Waste Management will walk away with the profits in hand. Sure, the Host Agreement was nice but you can't put a price tag on and groundwater should not be one of them; because it was done elsewhere does not make it right.

Mr. Helston announced as Member Brandolino indicated to everyone – let me back up. By way of overview, the application for expansion of this facility for completion of the Environmental Enhancement Project. The public hearing on this matter was held in November. There is a 30-day post hearing comment period where anyone interested in the proposal they submit comments. This comment period expired in mid-December; thereafter, County Staff and their outside experts reviewed the entire record and pursuant to the ordinance, made their report and recommendation as Member Brandolino stated to the committee. On January 8th, the committee after very careful consideration, he commends everyone involved including not only the committee, the County Board Members that attended and asked questions. He commends the County Staff and the outside experts from Ms. Cipriano's Patrick Engineering. This was a very throughout and exhausted process. After that entire process on January 8th, the committee met and determined that in their opinion, they would recommend, it was their advisory and non-binding opinion, because the County Board Members make the final decision under the siting act, that each of the nine criterion have been met subject to certain conditions. The siting statute allows conditions to be attached to approval; as long as those conditions are necessary to accomplish the purposes of the Siting Statute, which is to protect the human health and the environment and minimize impacts. As long as those conditions aren't inconsistent with regulations, which the Pollution Control Board has promulgated and given to EPA to govern their deliberations once an application is a formal application for permit and is filed here. The basis for the committee's recommendation was the staff report and staff's findings. As Member Brandolino pointed out, we are here today, it is the County Board vote that is the binding vote, their vote is the determinative vote. We will go through each of the nine criterion and two additional findings as well. The first finding is that the County Board Members have jurisdiction, that is the threshold finding. The Siting Statute provides that in order for the County Board Members to have jurisdiction, certain notices have to be sent, both of the intent to file the application and the hearing have to be sent to interested parties that are enumerated in the statute. The county staff after careful review found that those jurisdictional requirements had been met and the committee found that as well. The second finding that he asked for was that the proceeding was fundamentally fair and Member Brandolino has offered his comments as chairman. Mr. Helston has given his observations that he believes it was fundamentally fair in all respects and afforded everyone a meaningful and full opportunity to comment on the merits of the application. After this, we will go through each of the criterion and ask County Board Members to vote on whether they feel -- there is a roll call vote on each of them -- whether the members feel the applicant has demonstrated compliance with that criterion and then go to whether or not any additional conditions should be attached to the approval of that criterion.

Executive clarified that the understanding is there will be a number of roll call votes and asked the County Board Members to this very important subject. On behalf of the County Clerk Voots, when roll call is taking place that all County Board Members remain in their seats.

Mr. Helston announced the first proposition for consideration is that the County Board has jurisdiction to rule on the application of Waste Management of Illinois, Inc. For expansion of the Pollution Control Facility which is the subject of the application as provided by Section 39.2 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act and the Will County Pollution Control Facility Ordinance as they pertain to the persons identities that appear on the authentic tax records of the County of Will, as well as such notice requirements set forth in these statutory provisions.

Member Brandolino made a motion, seconded by Member Weigel, to approve additional criterion for Resolution #07-31 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svava, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-three.

No negative votes.

ADDITIONAL CRITERION FOR RESOLUTION #07-31 IS ADOPTED.

Mr. Helston announced the second finding is that the hearing held in this matter and the procedure as a whole was conducted in a fundamentally fair manner.

Member Riley made a motion, seconded by Member Sheridan, to approve additional criterion for Resolution #07-31 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svava, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-three.

No negative votes.

ADDITIONAL CRITERION FOR RESOLUTION #07-31 IS ADOPTED.

Mr. Helston announced we are going to each of the criterion. The first criterion being that the facility is necessary to accommodate the weights, needs of the area it is intended to serve.

Member Weigel made a motion, seconded by Member Brandolino, to approve Criterion #1 for Resolution #07-31 be approved.

Member Svava stated unfortunately it is apparent that the Waste Management gets to find a service area by law. He wanted to go on record stating he does not agree with the service area. We are talking about 10 counties. He has been advised that he cannot vote "no" because of his

disagreement with the number of counties involved in the service area, so he's not going to be able to do that. He wanted to go on record that this is not a service area that he agrees with.

Member Konicki commented to Member Svara that perhaps care for consideration that he is equipped to do and look toward a direction of consideration he is able to consider today. She does not believe that Waste Management, this is a point made in the legal reason submitted by the CARE attorney, that they took into adequate consideration the increasing tendency to recycle demolition debris. They just assumed the static market, as a country and a culture increasingly recycling these wastes. She feels this was failed to be taken into consideration. She does not believe that Waste Management has met their burden on this issue.

Mr. Helston asked if he could respond to Member Svara. Member Svara is correct that you cannot vote "no" based on your disagreement with the identification of the service area. You could vote "no" if you determine that there was not a need within that service area.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two

Negative votes: Konicki. Total: one.

CRITERION #1 FOR RESOLUTION #07-31 IS ADOPTED.

Mr. Helston announced the next criterion is number two; the facility is so designed located and proposed to be operated so that the public, health, safety and welfare will be protected. He pointed out that the Will County staff filed its initial report in a timely fashion on January 2nd. His opinion was that it was a very thorough, analytical, and insightful analysis. There were responses to that report filed by Waste Management and other stakeholders in this matter. Ms. Cipriano who represented the staff responded to those on January 5th. The committee considered all of those on January 8th and asked that those all be consolidated into a revised report, which you received yesterday. The county staff, their outside counsel, and their outside hydro geologist and professional engineers have recommended 21 conditions be attached to any approval. The Pollution Control Committee has specifically recommended that a vote of yes be conditioned upon inclusion of those 21 conditions.

Member Weigel made a motion, seconded by Member Brandolino, to approve criterion #2 for Resolution #07-31 be approved.

Member Svara stated he has eight questions on this criterion. In the first one, is there to be a line replaced over the current file and eventually will probably dry out, which is going to raise the temperature in that pile. Is there any danger that we can wind up with a fire by spontaneous combustion?

Mr. Helton announced we have the county staff experts here to answer any questions on the conditions for their findings, he would direct that question to any one of Ms. Cipriano's team that would answer this questions.

Executive Walsh recognized Ms. Renee Cipriano and any of her staff that will be answering questions.

Ms. Cipriano announced that Chris Burger from Patrick Engineering would answer Member Svvara's question.

Mr. Burger asked Member Svvara to repeat the question.

Member Svvara asked they are going to put a cover over the pile, he presumes there would be some drying of the pile because there is no more water going to go in there, and as it dries, is there going to be an increase in temperature so that we could wind up with spontaneous combustion. In certain places if there is peat, it catches on fire it could burn for years.

Mr. Burger responded that there is no reason to believe; he assumes it was referred to as excel thermic reaction, a chemical reaction that helps with the cause. At this point, there is no indication that it will happen as a result of a slow drawing of the gypsum pile.

Member Svvara clarified Mr. Burger's opinion at this point is that this most likely would not happen.

Mr. Burger responded there is no evidence in front of them that would indicate this.

Member Svvara stated second question is on page eight of the report, the very last line, the proposed use of biosoils as landform material for a phosphogypsum stack closure appears to be unique. Does that sentence mean that this has never been done before?

Mr. Burger replied the expert from Florida who has performed a lot of the remediation in that state has not used it in Florida, that is our understanding.

Member Svvara responded then this is unique, in a classic sense of the word?

Member Svvara stated the third one he has is at the bottom of page nine, Class I or Class II groundwater Waste Management is using Class II but this is going to be turned over for public use. He is wondering why we don't use Class I?

Mr. Helston announced for the record that the microphone has been handed off to Mr. Steve VanHook who is a hydrogeologist.

Mr. VanHook responded that the material directly underneath the site is about 20 to 40 feet thick is a silky claytill and they believe this would be Class II groundwater.

Member Svvara asked for a further explanation.

Mr. VanHook explained the material right underneath the site, is like a layer. The material right underneath the site approximately 20 to 40 feet thick is a fine grain material and that would be Class II groundwater.

Member Svava asked then you are not worried about the class two groundwater, which is an industrial category rather than a residential category?

Mr. Richard Frendt from Patrick Engineering responded the classification to groundwater from Class I to Class II is not dependent upon the use of the groundwater at all. It is solely dependent upon the hydrogeological characteristics of the soils and groundwater beneath the site; specifically, how much water can be transmitted by the soil. In this case, the top 20 to 40 feet of groundwater is actually located in a fairly tight till and is therefore classified according to the EPA protocol states a Class II groundwater.

Member Svava replied the difference is a standard for naphthalene. A Class II that is 42 parts per million and the class standard is 18 parts per million for Class I.

Mr. Richard Frendt responded that is correct. You might also notice that in the staff report, there has been an additional condition added that would require that the naphthalene standard that it would be treated to is 18 parts per million instead of the 42 that had been recommended.

Member Svava asked about page 13, on the seventh line from the bottom, the sentence that starts with the drainage layer. The drainage layer is not specifically proposed for this landfill, the application says it will be included "as needed". What happens if it is not on there, there is an accumulation of water up there and the semipermeable membrane becomes percolable? Who will determine as needed?

Mr. Burger stated that their special condition was included to include a drainage on top of the membrane.

Member Svava asked then this has been addressed?

Mr. Burger responded yes.

Member Svava asked about page 15, there is a word there "karst", he looked in three dictionaries and none of them had that word in it. What is a karst?

Mr. VanHook responded that a karst is a land form that as it develops like sinkholes.

Member Svava replied then they are unaware of any karst features?

Mr. VanHook concurred no sink holes on site.

Member Svava asked about page 16, the second paragraph from the bottom, "as a result an impact from expanded solid waste units that overlay groundwater contaminated by the

hazardous waste area may be difficult to detect". Is there some effort to make it less difficult to detect. We are talking about wells offsite, he thinks that's what we are talking about.

Mr. VanHook responded this is the reason we proposed the special condition that they establish background specific to those areas. Since there are already constituents that concern in those areas, they have to establish the background concentrations before they build a new unit in that area. It is special condition 17.

Member Svara stated he missed that too. He asked about the last paragraph, the monitoring wells the contaminants that are measured are sulfate and chloride. Who determines that those are the measurements rather than other chemical? It seems that two are picked out of a long list of potential.

Mr. VanHook replied to discuss sulfate and chloride, those are good indicator parameters that you commonly see first. They are also naturally occurring in the groundwater so you have to decide if it is naturally occurring or if it is from the facility.

Member Svara responded if you get in hydrogen sulfate, you are going to know it.

Member Svara asked about the conductivity, it seems like there is 1.6 centimeters per second or day, was that conductivity the standard? Who decided that?

Mr. VanHook asked what page he was referring too?

Member Svara stated he had this question from his memory.

Mr. VanHook commented he wanted to get the correct person to field the question for Member Svara.

Member Svara explained he was talking about the movement of the water and the velocity and there was a standard at pick at 1.6. He did not know whose standard it was?

Mr. Burger responded on page 14, first full paragraph, the maximum hydraulic conductivity for the grading layer was chosen by the applicant as 1×10^{-6} cm/sec.

Member Svara stated a millionth of a centimeter per second, is that standard or an imperial?

Mr. Burger responded that basically it is a perimeter or a value assigned to a flow right through a material.

Member Svara replied he knows what it is, but he is wondering who decided on the number.

Mr. Burger responded it was proposed by the applicant for this grading layer.

Member Svara stated that is the issue.

Mr. Burger replied that layer is laying underneath a membrane, this is the expansion design. This is not uncommon. This component of the cap, and that will go through an EPA permitting process, and they will need to determine if it is equivalent to the bottom liner. So the 10 x 6 centimeter per second is a value that they did not say was going to be in their construction quality assurance plan. The reason why they brought it up is they mention it here but they do not show how they are going to measure it.

Member Svara clarified a million seconds to run a centimeter.

Member Babich announced as a former colleague that would ask a question in regards to all of Member Svara's questions and answers received, who is the county's watchdog going to be on site?

Waste Management Representative Dean Olson announced there is an agreement with the IEPA, so they will be inspecting that site on a regular basis.

Member Babich asked if there would be anyone from Will County personnel onsite with the IEPA as a watchdog to the IEPA.

Waste Management Representative Dean Olson announced we could go out there anytime and so can the IEPA. We have the same authority as the IEPA does.

Member Babich asked if they would be monitoring it at all times?

Waste Management Representative Dean Olson replied nobody is there 100% of the time; they go there on an as needed basis. They may go there more often on certain times than other times.

Executive Walsh stated the situation would be exactly the same as what we do currently with our county owned landfill. The operation down there and whenever Waste Management is needed, correct?

Waste Management Representative Dean Olson responded correct, and any other facilities?

Member Babich asked if there would be reports coming back to the County Board Members?

Waste Management Representative Dean Olson responded yes they do inspection reports and are available for anyone to see for that matter.

Member Wisniewski wanted clarification on page 11, under Discharge of Seepage Water from Sideslope Drains. Looking at the last sentence, it says he, Dr. Garlanger I guess, assumed that the seepage water will be discharged. Discharge of the water from seepage drain to other

then a treatment plant is a concern as it likely has been impacted, and testing should be conducted to verify it will meet all surface water stands. His question is, there will be a report given along the line that states the seepage water is either meeting standards we set up or it is not meeting the standards we set. If it is not meeting the standards that we set, is there a plan or condition that this water will go then go to a treatment plan to be properly cleaned up?

Mr. Richard Frandt responded there would be a seepage permit issued by the EPA called MPES Permit and the perimeters in this water will be sampled and monitored on a periodic basis and monitored by the EPA. If there is a failure of one or more those compounds, there is a set of standards and procedures that would be implemented by the EPA for corrective action. It is not specifically determined here because we are unable to predict exactly what might be failing and at what degree, however, that would be the jurisdiction of the EPA to determine.

Member Wisniewski asked is this information in this agreement? If that fails, then who is responsible for the cost of construction of some type of treatment if the water is not deemed a certain level of quality?

Mr. Frandt replied the treatment plan would only be one option for being in a situation like that. Another treatment would be to treat the biosoils to a different level of (inaudible).

Member Wisniewski asked who would pay for that?

Mr. Burger responded presumably the applicant, Waste Management.

Member Wisniewski asked if it was specifically stated in this agreement.

Ms. Cipriano responded they would be responsible for all costs associated with compliance. There is no specific, special condition that makes clear they will pay for that type of compliance but that is the compliance burden by law is placed on the applicant and on the operator.

Member Wisniewski stated his second question on page 12 at the top, Dr. Garlanger did testify that a perimeter could be installed along the perimeter of the stack above the membrane, therefore it is recommended that a drainage pipe be installed above the membrane on top of the stack to limit and capture the head buildup at the perimeter of the geomembrane, thereby enhancing stability and minimizing the potential for infiltration. His question is it says a perimeter drain could be installed to prevent this build up, that is not in the current agreement.

Ms. Cipriano responded that they did, the technical experts did recommend that a condition be placed on the siting approval that specifically requires that and that is number three.

Member Wisniewski thanked her.

Member Konicki stated by far this is the most important criterion and she is focusing now on the cap design. She had sat through the testimony, but correct me if I'm wrong, because the

staff report is a little fuzzy on the issue. There is going to be a total of three feet of soil placed over the membrane, correct?

Mr. Burger inquired about if Member Konicki was speaking of the landfill expansion?

Member Konicki replied correct. She is talking about capping off the gypsum pile.

Mr. Burger responded that the gypsum is different than the expansion. There are two different caps, we have to try and keep that straight. He clarified and asked if the discussion was regarding the gypsum pile.

Member Konicki asked for the footage on both of them, perhaps that would solve some of the ambiguity.

Mr. Burger explained with the expansion is your standard low permeability layer, and then the three foot of protective soil. That is the landfill expansion. The stack is different. The stack has a low perm liner, the 16-mil liner. Then there are various levels of biosoils on top of that and the depth varies.

Member Konicki asked how much clean fill is being used for the biosoils? She thinks it is only six inches?

Mr. Burger replied they are requiring a special condition that the applicant places at least 12 inches of clean soil as the final lift over the bio-soil.

Member Konicki asked so whatever stuff the biosoils are using, must now put 12 inches of clean soil over them.

Mr. Burger responded correct.

Member Konicki continued what bothers her is that she did cross-examine the applicant's expert witness on this and they were proposing six inches but she asked him whether that would protect the public health and safety welfare and he said he did not know. This would take someone in specialized risk assessment and she sat through the entire process know one ever came forward with that background and testified that it would be safe. The gentleman she questioned was an employee of Waste Management and he was their expert in the bio-remediation of soils. She finds a huge hole in the burden of what they had to meet. The reason we are doing this is so we can have an open space that is suitable for passive recreational use. Now, you do state in the staff report that passive recreational use is considered to be a residential use in terms of the environmental perk, there is integrity that is required for the site. Yet, it is also stated in the staff report that Waste Management has not committed themselves as the other TACO standards, which would basically go to the safety of the soil. Whether they intend to meet the commercial/industrial standards or residential standard and of course, the commercial/industrial standard is a lower standard than the residential. This would certainly be a disappointment to the county down the road, if they chose to meet the lower standard and certainly if we were ahead and contraindicate our ability to safely go ahead and use this site for

passive recreational purposes. Is there any reason that we are not requiring the applicant to meet the TACO standard for residential use?

Mr. Frendt stated we are requiring them to meet that standard.

Member Konicki asked in which one of the conditions?

Mr. Frendt replied it is actually not a condition. The applicant has suggested through the treatment standards that they proposed for biosoils, they would meet residential standards as long as the special conditions that we required are implemented. It would describe how these soils are being protected; they are being covered with 12 inches of soil during an interim period. But at the ultimate closure of the site, they will put three feet of clean soil over the top of all of that. This is consistent with what the EPA requires for any treatment site with concentrations of this nature.

Member Konicki clarified that you are stating that over the gypsum stacks, whatever bio-remediated cells are going on that site, there will be replaced another three feet of clean soil over it.

Mr. Frendt stated correct. The three feet of clean soil is not a made up number but a requirement by the EPA, which is used in 100's of different sites across the state and is completely consistent with the clean up objections.

Member Konicki asked so can we state in the application that in the additional three feet over the bio-remediated soil, we do have a site that will be safe for passive recreational use.

Mr. Frendt stated that is correct.

Member Konicki inquired in terms of the type of materials that are going to be accepted in the expansion, do we need to have similar considerations. Is the landfill accepting debris that will also raise a public health issue?

Mr. Burger responded by law the EPA requires them to cover each day with a daily lift, if an area in the landfill is not active for a 60 day period, they have to cover that particular area with an intermediate cover which at least 12 inches of clay. The EPA does monitor this; there is a requirement for cover for that material going into the landfill.

Member Konicki stated she did not understand what Mr. Burger just said. They are taking some of the materials into the expanded landfill that are special waste. Have special waste landfills been turned into passive recreational areas, to your knowledge?

Mr. Burger responded he is not sure.

Member Konicki asked we might be doing the only special waste landfill that will be turned into a passive recreational use?

Mr. Burger responded the landfill is considered a municipal waste landfill and will have three foot of final cover as well, a low perm layer. The final use has protections that Rich just mentioned. If you are talking about a daily basis, the IEPA will permit what is required to cover this active face of the landfill on a daily or monthly basis.

Member Konicki explained she is more concerned about ultimate endings, they are all done now and where they are ready to hand the covered gypsum stacks and expanded landfill over to the county. That is her focus on the time line. There was absolutely no testimony put forth by Waste Management that the property they would be turning over to us would be safe for passive recreational use. She specifically asked the question of the witness who seemed to be giving testimony on the bio-remediated soils. He stated he did not know if it would take a risk assessment...she can read aloud the transcript. She asked him, "Could you then use it in a residential application." Answer, "I don't know." He stated, "I don't know how to answer that, I am not a risk assessor." A little bit further in his answer, she asked if there would be a witness coming forth who would be comfortable with that risk assessment. His answer, "I think you would have to start with Joan Underwood to see what she says." Joan Underwood never came anywhere close to giving an opinion on this issue. And neither did any other witness. The degree of bio-remediated soils that they are putting in...this is soils that have been bio-remediated only to a level that would be suitable for a commercial or industrial application, not for a residential application. She asked the witness where soils of this nature are typically used, they have no marked value. Soils bio-remediated to the extent proposed to be used to cap our gypsum stacks are given away free. A company like Waste Management just want to get rid of them. The witness also stated that sometimes companies that have delivered their soils to be bio-remediated, even ask for them back out of a fear for liability So these are not real good soils that are being used here, the only use he came up with, was maybe like in a roadway for leveling off a roadway in another state.

Mr. Frenndt replied the bio-remediated soils are being treated to a level as long as they are being covered in the manner that is dictated in this report. They are being treated to a level that is consistent with residential standards, not just commercial standards but residential standards. The assessment that is being done is being done by the staff here and they are using standard EPA risk protocol to do that. The standards per TACO to evaluate it and it does meet all of the residential standards.

Member Konicki stated if it has the three feet of clean soil case, then it does.

Mr. Frenndt replied this is a requirement.

Member Konicki commented we have seen similar bio-remediated soil... she would prefer that Waste Management met their burden and had an expert witness testifying on this, which they didn't and that's what we are here today to see whether they have met their burden of proof. They didn't but the expert staff is going to step in and do it for them. It is very nice, but she is not comfortable with it. She asked if they could point out another situation for the County Board to give a level of comfort where these types of bio-remediated soil, to a very low level of remediation, they have been covered with these three feet of clean soil and turned into passive recreational area.

Mr. Frenndt replied there are many instances in Illinois; it is not an exaggeration to say hundreds. There are soils of concentrations higher than this are covered with three feet of soil and that is considered sufficient by the Illinois EPA to block exposure to these soils and meet residential standards. There are residential neighborhoods with people living on the properties and there are many examples. He does not know of an example with the gypsum pile being covered by, but the same basic principle holds true.

Member Konicki stated she is more concerned with the contaminants of the bio-remediated soils from the gypsum at this point. There are two more points she wanted to focus on. She asked for Mr. Burkey's patience as she had to do at the hearing process also and she does not feel she should be put in this position. You can take your hand off of your forehead because it is dramatic. This was discussed at the hearing and she will call him on it again.

Mr. Burkey announced if he offended Member Konicki then he is sorry.

Member Konicki stated she has a concern on the fact that in a normal landfill, testimony said not only the top but also the sides of the landfill are covered with the membrane; however, on the gypsum stacks the membrane is only going to be on the top. She is interested in having it also coming down on the side but the testimony was the slope on the side was going to be too steep and it might slide off. Additionally questioning brought out the fact that the landfill operator, Waste Management would be able to pack additional soil on the sides to change the slope and then give us the fully capped gypsum stack, which would be a lot cleaner approach. Is there any reason we are not requiring this? She is not interested in letting them off cheap on this process especially when there are bio-remediated soils and gypsum stacks at stake and we are intending public use of the property.

Mr. Burger responded there is a low permeability cap that is going to be placed over the entire gypsum stack and it is going to be made up of the biosoils also. So if the side slopes, -- the testimony at the hearing was correct, there are currently very steep slopes out there and their first effort will be to cap those at the gypsum pile to try and cut off infiltration into that pile. This is one of the first things that they are going to do and those slopes are very steep and they do not allow the inclusion of a low permeability membrane.

Member Konicki asked why couldn't those slopes be flattened? The witness at the side slope could be adjusted where it would take a membrane. Of course, now they are talking side slopes that have been capped with bio-remediated soil so it would be nice just to have a cap go over everything. Just like a regular landfill, cap the gypsum pile to the same quality of standard as a regular landfill. The liner goes over everything and then the clean soil goes over everything.

Mr. Burger responded over the years when the biosoils are placed over that size of slope to flatten the slopes, they will be compacted and you are simply building a low permeability cap over the gypsum stack.

Member Konicki replied those are biosoils, it is not good stuff that would be worse than the gypsum.

Mr. Burger responded but in the end, those will be capped with a three-foot lip of clean soils.

Member Konicki clarified are you saying that the membrane is going over the whole thing?

Mr. Burger responded no.

Member Konicki clarified it is just the top.

Mr. Burger replied the upper flat is 106 acres.

Member Konicki asked then on the sides we are going to pack in some biosoils again?

Mr. Burger responded correct, they are compacting biosoils and we are requiring them to place one foot of interim cover over those until they come back and finalize.

Member Konicki asked – go to the final design just to make it simpler. So, at the site, the side of the gypsum where we are going to pack biosoils over that, are we putting three feet over whatever biosoils are packed on the sides, three feet of clean soil again?

Mr. Burger responded immediately on top of the gypsum pile, there is going to be compacted biosoils.

Member Konicki asked if she could discuss the sides. She understands the top but the side design is what she is inquiring about.

Mr. Burger responded that is what he is speaking on. The initial side slopes, right now there are no biosoils out there, there is all gypsum. The immediate cap over the side slopes will biosoils at least two feet of compacted biosoils and they are asking per the special condition that the additional one foot of soil be a clean soil.

Member Konicki asked then why not three feet again?

Mr. Burger responded eventually there will three feet of clean soil.

Member Konicki replied eventually is what we are talking about. You are putting conditions on here where there will be on the sides of the gypsum stacks, three feet of clean soil over any bio-remediated soils that are used there, is that correct?

Mr. Burger responded that is correct in the final landform

Member Konicki commented that she thought the testimony was extremely weak on whether or not this development could negatively impact property values of people in the area. The witness they put on spoke ...

Mr. Helston announced this is on Criterion #3 and asked Member Konicki to stay on #2.

Member Svava stated lets focus on the timeline now and get to the point where Waste Management is ready to turn this over to the county. Does the county have the ability to say, we don't want it?

Mr. Helston responded that's a host agreement question. Yes we do, but Member Svava has been indicated by the responses to Member Konicki's questions, which he thinks are the most important criterion. The standards that you comply with is determined by the end use, that is why you wait until the end use to determine what it is going to be used for. He agrees with the staff that Waste Management has offered in its application to meet the residential standard at the end of the day for the end use. Then what you do is go to TACO, either to tier 1, tier 2, or tier 3, in this instance it would be tier 2 or tier 3 site specific demonstration for this site that all relevant pathways of exposure have been cut off and it is safe for people to conduct recreational activities the same as live there. All pathways of exposure have been cut off. One thing he asked the staff, could we, even though he believes Waste Management has already offered that. To address Member Konicki's concerns add a condition 22, a paragraph or two, that makes it clear that upon the appropriate post closure period having transpired, and upon the determination of a recreational end use, that Waste Management will demonstrate pursuant to applicable Illinois Law and Regulation that all relevant pathways of exposure have been eliminated and they meet the residential standard. Could we do that? Then, Member Konicki's concern, which he understands, is addressed and over. If Waste Management accepts this condition, it is like a contract, they are bound by it. He asked someone from Patrick Engineering or Ms. Cipriano to give two sentences that address the issue and then it's put to bed. Then at the end of the day, when this is all over with, the standard has to be met.

Ms. Cipriano announced the staff would craft something now.

Member Dralle announced she looks to the experts that the county hired to make sure that the health safety and welfare criterion has been met. The two conditions thatthe one she believes to be most important and critical is obviously the water and the monitoring that takes place. She does not have a great deal of faith in the EPA. Over the years, her faith has dwindled. Her concern is with what Member Konicki raised. Why was that not addressed by the experts and made part of the condition? And why is it now being made part of the condition? Everyone is to protect the best interest of the county and the best interest of the residents. And if this is something that should have been addressed, why are we at the eleventh hour now doing this?

Mr. Helston stated it is easy for people to defend themselves he will defend the staff. As he indicated previously, he believes that Waste Management has already agreed on the application to do it. So reiteration of it is unnecessary; however, maybe he should not have thrown the grenade out there on the floor, but to address the issue and to put it to bed, even though it has substantially been addressed, why don't we just come up with two sentences that eliminate all doubt whatsoever. Going to Member Babich's question, Ms. Cipriano will get to it at the end of the day crafted three general conditions that give the county's staff, the technical experts an ongoing roll in looking at every step of the development of this facility and the

operation give them a partnership roll over and above the authority as they have as a delegated authority under the Illinois Environmental Protection Act. They are given an extra layer of scrutiny and we will get to those. This is delicate. Member Dralle said there is no supervision like local supervision and that is what Mr. Cipriano on page 35 of the first page of special conditions was trying to address. That being said, one thing he wanted to make clear State's Attorney Glasgow wisely hired Ms. Cipriano who was a director under two separate administrations, which does not occur one republican, one democratic. He thinks that shows the level of trust that those two doveners have in her. It is reflected in the innovative nature of the special conditions and throughout it as well. She is a person of much experience, much wisdom. The only time he has ever known her to be completely off base was the four or five times she disagreed with him as Director of IEPA, other than that he has never known her to be off base.

Member Dralle announced her apologies Director of IEPA (inaudible).

Mr. Helston commented there are a number of dedicated officials throughout EPA but he understands what is being said and agree with the premise that there is no scrutiny like local scrutiny and that is why he thinks these conditions were very good. He was pleased to see them.

Member Dralle asked Mr. Helston regarding the conditions that have been added, is there something, another condition, that you feel is necessary.

Mr. Helston responded no, he believes that these conditions went over, above and beyond. It is his understanding that Waste Management by Mr. Moran's letter has no objection to these and will be hopefully accepting these. These combined with the state regulations and as Ms. Cipriano has said, the plenary's protection and the Environmental Protection Act, which are plenary. They are comprehensive, and in his opinion, (inaudible).

Ms. Cipriano read into the record the condition added, "Upon final closure of both the landfill and the capped phosphogypsum stack, Waste Management shall demonstrate that the site will meet all residential treatment standards in accordance with applicable Illinois Law and subject to Illinois EPA review and approval.

Mr. Helston announced in his opinion this would be added. It addresses Member Konicki's valid concerns. Again, he feels it was already outlined in Waste Management's application and they agreed to do it. But he thinks it puts this issue to rest.

Member Brandolino made a motion, seconded by Member Stewart, to approve additional condition to #07-31 be approved.

Member Konicki stated she wanted to read what had set off her query regarding staff's report, "Waste Management has not made clear in the application whether they are pursuing residential or industrial/commercial standards." The report states the intended end use as a recreational they must meet residential, but even if they intend to only seek industrial/commercial ...bla, bla, bla. She stated with all due respect as she wants to be diplomatic here, apparently staff didn't feel that the application locked Waste Management into meeting residential standards. That is why she took her line of questioning where she took it and

she appreciates the effort to address her concerns. Her concerns were very well based. It is really nice to say that they shall do it, and of course if they don't do it, then the county just does not take the land but we've lost the benefit of the bargain. She wants to make sure that the county is imposing on them standards, if they meet the standards by the time they are ready to turn it over to us at the end, we will have something we can accept, that is her main focus; to put in residential TACO standards language right into a condition. Instead of saying they shall do this at the end, and if they haven't met residential TACO standards, then what....we just reject it. That is not good enough. She wants open space, she wants trails, she wants some public benefit out of this, she wants residential TACO standards met throughout the construction of this landfill.

Mr. Frendt announced the staff was commenting in the section that Member Konicki quoted, the fact that Waste Management never actually has a sentence that say they are going to meet residential treatment standards; however, on the review of the treatment standards that have been proposed they in fact do meet the residential treatment standards. The staff feels that with this special condition added that is would be more than clear.

Member Konicki concurred we do want it more than clear and is that language to catch them. Lets say that they start designing this thing where it is only going to be suitable for a industrial/commercial end use, can we catch them before they get their final permit through the EPA? Will that condition stick at step one of the process?

Mr. Helston stated it becomes incorporated as part of the permit as Ms. Cipriano was directed to tell you it is incorporated as specifically as part of the permit going forward for the facility. Again, he would agree with Mr. Frendt, they have demonstrated compliance with the residential standard and that is why the staff did not put this condition. Condition #22 vindicates you, Ms. Konicki, your comment was taken and given consideration and there was an additional condition adopted. He commented to Member Konicki that she should feel that she served us well.

Member Bilotta commented he was a part of this from day one. He appreciates the comments and questions here today, he feels they are good comments and questions. We did receive the answers. We need to keep in mind, that staff of experts at the meeting are out for the county's and constituents best interest. They are the ones keeping us with the ability to make a good decision. Nobody here is welcoming landfills to Will County. But if we are going to address this, we have to make sure that we are safe about it. He is comfortable with the fact that they did. The questions that came up today were addressed.

Member Wisniewski echoed Member Bilotta's thinking. He feels staff has done an outstanding job of putting together these conditions and priorities. The county is receiving a huge benefit and these waste hills have been sitting there for years polluting the water, the land, and the runoff and Waste Management is coming in and capping all of it. At worst case scenario, the county will get land that is acceptable, even if you don't turn it into a park, we have saved the environment, we have improved the quality of life and eliminated a potential hazard to all residents of the county. He is very much in favor of the proposal.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki Svava, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-three.

Negative votes: none.

ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE TO CRITERION #2 FOR RESOLUTION #07-31 IS ADOPTED

Member Konicki asked for staff's advice for some input on Condition 15. She is glad that they are requiring the geophysical survey to locate any karsts that are out there; however, in the text of staff's report on page 16, the applicant should provide a written program for approval by the county. If karst features are encountered, site improvements should be made to prevent impact to landfill performance. We have not required the survey; what if that survey turns up karst features. In the staff report, karst features could be discovered either by conducting the survey or they may be encountered during the actual landfill construction process. If karst features are encountered at either of those two phases, during the survey or construction, what site improvements will be made? Do we have a hook on Waste Management to make the site improvements that would be necessary to protect public health, safety and welfare, given the existence of karst features?

Mr. VanHook answered regarding the study that is going to be done and during construction he will turn it over into Mr. Burger because that is a construction issue. In regards to karst, when they do the study they will submit it to the county and as part of the permitting process, the county being a delegated county, they provide comments to Illinois EPA. During the permitting process, so that will give the county staff opportune time to review the report and provide comments regarding the results of the study prior to the IEPA issuing the permit for the site.

Member Konicki commented that the IEPA would probably put on additional conditions given the new information that would protect the county.

Mr. VanHook replied yes and they feel it is conservative and that gives the county opportunity to review the study and provide comments. In regards to the construction, he turned it over to Mr. Burger.

Member Konicki announced that is after it is already permitted.

Mr. Helston responded you get your development permit, again, what the staff has already said, the process at EPA is much more strenuous as far as demonstration and compliance with all of the groundwater impact assessment models. The compliance rigors as Ms. Cipriano can explain it is much more rigorous there then what is proposed here.

Ms. Cipriano stated generally speaking because the survey and its results have to be presented to the Illinois EPA and to the county before any permitting or approval is allowed, if in fact there is any evidence of karst, that will absolutely be considered as part of the ultimate design. It is hard to dictate what that will be because we are not sure if karst conditions are going

to be found or if there are, where they would be located. Certainly, this would all be part of the permitting process and the approval process and we have reserved through our special conditions the ability to be at the table when those results are discussed.

Member Konicki announced she sees one potential loop hole and that is the survey doesn't show a karst but as mentioned in the staff report, a karst is identified during construction, now what?

Mr. VanHook responded the other process that they have to do is they go through a CQA program and the EPA has to issue authorization to operate that area so there is another check even beyond the original permit they have to go through during construction to make sure everything was built.

Member Konicki replied the CQA person makes sure that you are complying with everything that has been required. She would feel safer if there was a condition on the report stating if a karst were discovered during construction that certain site improvements and additional site improvements would kick in. We then would be covered after the facts. Right now we are only going to be covered if they are discovered as part of the survey, the report indicates that they may not be discovered there, they may not be discovered until construction. If there is not a condition put on to deal with that situation, where are we?

Mr. Helston responded that no CQA plan is going to be approved by IEPA unless it incorporates all standards that IEPA gives them. He asked Ms. Cipriano isn't it? If something is encountered after the development permit before construction of the facility, that has to be addressed before the facility get its operating permit.

Mr. Cipriano replied absolutely.

Executive Walsh stated we need a motion that meets the criterion of item #2 with staff's conditions 1-23, correct Mr. Helston?

Mr. Helston replied yes and per the finding, you do not need a motion on this, but those conditions are reasonable and necessary to accomplish the purposes of section 39.2 of the Environmental Protection Act and such conditions are not inconsistent with regulations promulgated by the Illinois Pollution Control Board, the board concerning this type of pollution control facility.

Executive clarified the motion would need to include items 1-22.

Member Brandolino made a motion, seconded by Member Wisniewski, to approve items 1-22 for Resolution #07-31 be approved

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Anderson, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-one

Negative votes: Konicki, Svara. Total: two.

ITEMS 1-22 FOR RESOLUTION #07-31 IS ADOPTED.

Mr. Helston stated the third criterion addresses compatibility with the surrounding area minimization of impacts upon property values in the surrounding area and as staff has noted in page 39 and 40 of their report suggested two additional special conditions, which were special condition 22 and 23. They are now special condition 23 and 24, staff has indicated that those conditions as well as your committee should be imposed as being reasonable and necessary to accomplish the purposes of the Act and that such conditions are not inconsistent with regulations promulgated by Illinois Pollution Control Board with respect to these type of facilities.

Member Konicki announced she's unable to agree that Waste Management has met their burden of proof on this standard either. She cross-examined their expert witness who gave predictable testimony, but in cross-examination it did not hold up well. He kept referring to rate appreciation; the target area was the same as rate of appreciation of the control area. He saw no depreciation in home values for those next to landfill. On cross-examination, he could not tell her whether or not the target areas, what came first the homes or the landfill. Basically, this means very possibly the landfill was there first the homes came in second. In a situation like this, you are not going to see a depreciation because the homes started out at a depreciated level to begin with. Once at that depreciated level they would have enjoyed the same rate of depreciation. The fact is there is no evidence that they did suffer depreciation on the front end. Whether the case could have been made with a better set of data she does not know, but Waste Management did not make the case, and she will be a no vote.

Member Wisniewski made a motion, seconded by Member Stewart, to approve Criterion #3 for Resolution #07-31 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-one.

Negative votes: Konicki. Total: one.

CRITERION #3 FOR RESOLUTION #07-31 IS ADOPTED.

Mr. Helston stated the fourth criterion that being the facility is located outside the boundary of the 100-year floodplain or the site is flood proofed within a 100-year floodplain. There are no special conditions as the evidence illustrated it is not within the 100-year floodplain.

Member Gerl made a motion, seconded by Member Adamic, to approve Criterion #4 for Resolution #07-31 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-two

Negative votes: none.

CRITERION #4 FOR RESOLUTION #07-31 IS ADOPTED.

Mr. Helston stated the fifth criterion is the plan of operation of the facility is designed to minimize the danger to the surrounding area from fire, spills or other operational accidents or incidents. As noted in the county staff's report with two special conditions. They were originally 24 and 25, they would now be 25 and 26. Staff and committee has also found that the conditions should be proposed as reasonable and necessary to accomplish the purposes of the act and that these conditions are not inconsistent with regulations promulgated by the Illinois Pollution Control Board for these facilities.

Member Svara commented on page 28, the last line, "During stack construction activities, use of the dosimeter or other appropriate device to measure the level of radon or radiation shall be considered". It ought to say, "Will be used".

Mr. Frendt responded regardless of what this document says, there is a state-wide, actually a federal regulation that requires a limit on the amount of radiation to be admitted from any site regardless of what is in here. This is really focusing more on the methods rather than the requirement itself, they will have to meet the requirement regardless. Waste Management will have to meet that emission air stature regardless to comply with the Federal EPA.

Member Svara asked in order to decide if they are being required, they are going to have to use

Mr. Frendt clarified there is more than one method to actually measure that. They are just suggesting that here to be considered. The means and methods by which that would be done is in Waste Management's court. The standard will be met.

Member Svara asked so the issue is the method?

Mr. Frendt responded that is correct.

Member Svara announced as long as it is mandatory, he will leave the method to the scientists.

Member Babich made a motion, seconded by Member Adamic, to approve criterion #5 for Resolution #07-31 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Piccolin, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-one.

Negative votes: none.

CRITERION #5 FOR RESOLUTION #07-31 IS ADOPTED.

Mr. Helston stated the sixth criterion is the traffic patterns to or from the facility are so designed as to minimize the impact on existing traffic flows and patterns. Again, it is noted on page 40 of the staff's report recommendations, they've recommended two special conditions previously 26 and 27, and would now be 27 and 28. Both the staff and the committee have found that those conditions should be proposed as reasonable and necessary to accomplish the purposes of the act and that those conditions are not inconsistent with rules promulgated by the Illinois Pollution Control Board for this type of pollution control facility.

Member Konicki commented in second of importance to the earlier criterion in public safety and welfare, she thinks it is this criterion because God knows in this county we are so behind the eight ball in terms of having the funding we need to do road improvements that are so desperately needed. We don't need to pick up Waste Management as a charity case. She appreciates staff's sentence in their report that states if upgrades in Laraway Road are required to meet standards for 80,000 pound vehicles, then Waste Management shall bear a portion of the cost based on its proportional use. She assumes the meaning behind that is the number of 80,000 pound trucks they put on Laraway Road as opposed to number of 80,000-pound truck or otherwise on Laraway Road. However, it is not stated this way in the condition. She doesn't want Waste Management down the road counting every VWF vehicles small vault cars and stating they only run 100 80,000 pound trucks as they are not the only 80,000 pound trucks on the road, but only have 100 of those and there are 2,000 little bitty cars on there. Therefore, they are only going to pay that percentage. She doesn't think that is the intent. She feels the following wording needs to be added to the end of Condition II. "Waste Management shall be responsible to pay applicable proportion of the upgrade required for Laraway Road due to increased traffic volume based upon the number of 80,000 pound truck trips that arise from landfill traffic versus the number of truck trips otherwise generated on Laraway Road." She wants to get the most money back for the residents. If there are upgrades required, they are going to be because of 80,000-pound trucks. If Waste Management is responsible for 95% of the 80,000-pound trucks, then they are going to pay 95% of the cost for us to upgrade Laraway to the standard for 80,000-pound trucks.

Executive Walsh asked if Member Konicki was going to make that in a motion?

Member Konicki stated yes.

Member Svava asked colleague Member Konicki to consider stating, "as determined by the county".

Member Konicki responded that leaves it open political arm-twisting down the road and we end up giving them a break and dooo dooo la dooo. She feels we should spell it out so everybody knows and there is no wiggle room and there is no arguing.

Executive Walsh asked Member Svara if he is not seconding it?

Member Svara stated no.

Executive Walsh asked if anyone would be seconding the motion because the motion is going to die?

Member Bilotta asked Member Konicki to repeat the original motion.

Member Konicki responded she is adding some wording at the end of Condition #2. "Waste Management shall be responsible to pay its equitable portion of the upgrade required for Laraway Road due to

Member Bilotta stated he would second the motion.

Member Konicki made a motion, seconded by Member Bilotta, to amend Criterion #6 for Resolution #07-31.

Executive Walsh asked Mr. Helston to comment on the motion. Is it already included in the language?

Mr. Helston responded this was a very astute observation because this leaves open the method by which that could be considered, which would be 80,000-pound trucks or what other factors at that point in time may be appropriate. Maybe the further amendment, he agreed that most generally would be the number of 80,000-pound vehicles but there may be other conditions and by adding this, the county will pigeon hold itself into this. The county could do this and add the language, "and such other factors as are appropriate to be considered" to the end of Member Konicki's motion.

Member Konicki stated she would amend her motion.

Member Konicki made a motion, seconded by Member Bilotta, to amend Criterion #6 with the language "and such other factors as are appropriate to be considered" for Resolution #07-31.

Mr. Frank Burkey commented he thought this was already included in our host agreement.

Member Bilotta commented Waste Management would have to abide by the county regulations anyway for accident permits if they need to reapply. If that study impact is... then the county would be addressing that anyway.

Executive Walsh stated the issue is by trying to control this that we don't open up the door that we can't do more, if that is needed. This is what Mr. Helston was alluding to.

Member Bilotta replied that as long as he knows that it is being addressed because he thinks the concerns are there to run into that problem, it is addressed in the host agreement....if that is the case, he will withdraw his second on Member Konicki's motion.

Ms. Cipriano responded one of the reasons they did not specify a method is because it is in fact addressed specifically in the host agreement, located on page seven of that agreement. This is why they did not specify it in this agreement again and also going to Mr. Helston's point of sort of limited the county's ability to appropriately assign an equitable fair share.

Member Bilotta inquired that the county engineer was a part or privy to this information? He will withdraw his second.

Mr. Frank Burkey responded there has already been a contractual agreement between Jared and Waste Management on monies. There is already an agreement between Waste Management and Jared who is developing the Port of Joliet. He pointed out that Waste Management would not have majority of trucks. The majority of trucks will come out of the Port of Joliet and the approximate 1,000 acres that are being tied up for boxes. According to the Highway Engineer, we will produce approximately 28,000 truck trips a day out of the boxes. So Waste Management will not have the majority of trucks.

Executive Walsh asked Ms. Cipriano if she feels comfortable between what is in the host agreement and what Mr. Helston had presented that this issue of the truck traffic is addressed as it stands.

Ms. Cipriano stated she does absolutely feel comfortable as it stands.

Member Konicki asked for the record, can the language be read from the host agreement that nails this down.

Mr. Helston stated the guy that drafted the host agreement is pretty sharp. He read from Section 4.7 Laraway Road, in the event Waste Management obtains all approvals required to commence operations in the Laraway Expansion, the County Engineer will perform an assessment as to whether Laraway Road should be improved to maintain Class 2 IDOT standards for 80,000 pound vehicles. The assessment will be made in accordance with the applicable Illinois Department of Transportation standards that the County Engineer with the consent and approval the Public Works & Transportation Committee determines, this is the appropriate part, going to Member Bilotta's issue, determines that improvements are required Waste Management agrees to pay its equitable portion of the improvement cost based upon actual and projected, so projected use was covered too, as well as Laraway Road compared to actual and projected uses of Laraway Road by other owners or operators who would benefit from such improvements. The equitable allocation for any road improvement cost would be determined separately from each portion of Laraway Road to be approved, i.e. Laraway Road between Patterson and Brandon, Laraway Road between Brandon and West Line Vulcan Quarry, Laraway Road and West Line Vulcan Quarry and Route 53. So it will be a very micro, the emphasis will be very specific and was designed. He talked to Mr. Burkey and Mr. Friefeld when they put it together, it was

designed to really focus on each of those components rather than just rough proportionality, which would have been good enough under the law. This is exacting proportionality.

Member Konicki stated the critical language that is in here, is in the fifth sentence from the bottom of the paragraph, "Waste Management will bear their equitable proportion compared to the actual projected uses of other operators." Who would benefit from, that is the language that would limit us to the 80,000-pound truck class. She commented if you are comfortable, she doesn't want to run into a squabble with Waste Management...well the cars benefit from it too and dooo la doooo... If you are comfortable then she is comfortable. This is a serious issue for taxpayers.

Executive Walsh responded to Member Konicki that Mr. Helston and Ms. Cipriano have given there assurances that we have put this thing through the micromanagement situation that gives the county the best results of watching and what actions will be taken care of after that. He acknowledged that Member Bilotta had removed his second from Member Konick's motion.

Member Konicki announced it is really easy to be comfortable with the language here today but she thinks down the road we will regret the language and doesn't feel it is specific enough.

Executive clarified that Member Konicki's motion died from lack of a second.

Member Gerl made a motion, seconded by Member Wisniewski, to approve Criterion #6 for Resolution #07-31 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Piccolin, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Svava, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty.

Negative votes: Konicki. Total: one.

CRITERION #6 FOR RESOLUTION #07-31 IS ADOPTED.

Mr. Helston stated the next criterion, Criterion #7, is if the facility will be treating, storing, or disposing of hazardous waste, an emergency response plan exists for the facility which includes notification, containment and evacuation procedures to be used in case of an accidental release. As he has reviewed the county's staff report and recommendations, they felt that nothing needed to be done by way of special condition and Waste Management had demonstrated compliance because they will not be handling hazardous waste as defined by law.

Member Brandolino made a motion, seconded by Member Stewart, to approve Criterion #7 for Resolution #07-31 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-one.

Negative votes: none.

CRITERION #7 FOR RESOLUTION #07-31 IS ADOPTED.

Mr. Helston stated the eighth criterion that going to consistency with County's Solid Waste Management plan that criterion being that the facility is to be located in a county where the county board has adopted a solid waste management plant consistent with the planning requirements of the local Solid Waste Disposal Act or the Solid Waste Planning and Recycling Act, the facility is consistent with that plan. The county's staff has found the proposal to be consistent with no need for conditions.

Member Wilhelmi made a motion, seconded by Member Piccolin, to approve criterion #8 for Resolution #07-31 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Piccolin, Singer, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-one

Negative votes: none.

CRITERION #8 FOR RESOLUTION #07-31 IS ADOPTED.

Mr. Helston stated the last numbered criterion is the ninth criterion and is regarding if the facility will be located within a regulated recharge area, any applicable requirements specified by the board, that is the Illinois Pollution Control Board for such area that have been met. There is only one regulated recharge area in the state of Illinois between Peoria and Tazwell County, so this is applicable and therefore no special conditions were suggested. If it is inapplicable, then the applicant has demonstrated compliance with the criterion.

Member Konicki commented to Mr. Helston that all of the County Board Members realize that Will County is a huge aquafur, re-charge area. Her question is in regards to a regulated re-charge area, who is doing the regulating and how does a aquafur re-charge area get on the regulated list?

Mr. Helston responded as areas are proposed, you go through rule making before the Illinois Pollution Control Board. Any interested party can propose a rule, if they find an area, which meets the definition against regulated re-charge area. You can ask the board to add that to the list of regulated recharge area. Thus far, there has been only one in the state and has deemed to be of sufficient sensitivity to be qualified as a regulated re-charge area.

Member Konicki replied she realizes that since no one in Will County has bothered to propose any of our Will County aquifers whether or not they would be assessed with being added to the regulated list. She and other County Board Members have no other choice but to vote yes on the criterion nine, perhaps that is something to look into. We may have a re-charge area here, that is just as sensitive as the one in Peoria, but Peoria has been a little bit more proactive than other areas in the state, they have had theirs evaluated. She will be a yes vote but is uncomfortable she knows the sensitivity of the re-charge areas.

Member Brandolino stated he believes the state is conducting a study on water sources re-charging and all of the rest of this is on the way.

Member Bilotta commented to Member Konicki that there is a task force put together to address the groundwater issue on the re-charging, it is an issue that the region is addressing.

Member Konicki stated she does understand that regardless of these other studies find, unless someone actually proposes us to be added to the list, we won't automatically be added. She understands (inaudible) when the results are in, she thinks we need to take the step that Peoria has taken.

Member Wilhelmi made a motion, seconded by Member Brandolino, to approve Criterion #9 for Resolution #07-31 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Piccolin, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-one

Negative votes: none.

CRITERION #9 FOR RESOLUTION #07-31 IS ADOPTED.

Mr. Helston announced we have now gone through each of the criterion and the special conditions that apply to each of those. Going back to Member Babich's issue, there are also three general conditions which county staff and committee had recommended being posed on all criterion, located on page 35 of the county staff. He asked for a resolution that those conditions be imposed upon all criteria is being reasonable and necessary to accomplish the purposes of Section 39.2 of the Act and those conditions are not inconsistent with regulations promulgated by the Illinois Pollution Control Board for this type of pollution control facility.

Executive Walsh asked if all the conditions one, two, and three can be put all together?

Mr. Helston replied yes.

Member Sheridan made a motion, seconded by Member Brandolino, to approve three special conditions for Resolution #07-31 be approved

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Piccolin, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Blackburn, Kusta, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-one

Negative votes: none.

THREE SPECIAL CONDITIONS FOR RESOLUTION #07-31 ARE ADOPTED.

Mr. Helston stated with the consideration of each of the statutory criteria and the County Board's vote deliberations and vote on each of the conditions, this proceeding is now closed by approval of the nine criterion. The County Board has granted Waste Management's site location approval.

Executive Walsh thanked Mr. Helston and appreciates his commitment, expertise, and professionalism. Executive Walsh thanked Ms. Cipriano and the staff she put together to help out.

Mr. Helston replied he appreciates County Executive Walsh's comments but this was a highly professional process from start to finish, as he does these all of the time. Everyone involved by the county should be commended including everyone here today. It was a very thorough process.

Member Brandolino suggested that Mr. Helston was accurate when he said that everyone participated. He thanked Member Konicki because some people would suggest that she just asks a lot of questions. They sat through a two-day hearing and ask questions and then come back and refine her questions as she did today, it indicates that the County of Will does run an open process.

Member Brandolino made a motion, seconded by Member Wisniewski, Resolution #07-31 be approved.

**Pollution Control Facility Committee
Resolution #07-31**



**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
OF WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

**CONCERNING LARAWAY RECYCLING AND DISPOSAL FACILITY APPLICATION
FOR LOCAL SITING APPROVAL**

WHEREAS, on or about January 19, 2006, the Will County Board adopted the amended Will County Pollution Control Facility Siting Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, on or about the 11th day of August, 2006, Waste Management of Illinois, Inc. filed its application for site location approval for the Laraway Recycling and Disposal Facility with the Will County Clerk; and

WHEREAS, as required by the Will County Pollution Control Facility Siting Ordinance, as well as the State Siting Statute (415 ILCS 5/39.2), a public hearing was held on such application in November of 2006; and

WHEREAS, the post-hearing public comment period relating to such request for site location approval has now ended, and the record has been closed in this matter; and

WHEREAS, subsequent to the close of the public comment herein, Will County Staff issued its Report and Recommendations concerning this application for site location approval on or about the 2nd day of January, 2007; and

WHEREAS, subsequent to the filing of the County Staff Report, additional responses to the contents of the Report were received for the record in this matter; and

WHEREAS, after a review of the entire record made in this matter (including the County Staff Report and such responses thereto) the Will County Pollution Control Facility Committee met in open session on January 8, 2007, and recommended that site location approval be granted for this pollution control facility (subject to those special conditions prescribed by Will County Staff in its January 2, 2007 report, as amended by the County Staff attorney's letter to all parties of record of January 5, 2007).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, after review of the Application, all testimony, all exhibits, the hearing record as a whole, all public comments, the proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Conditions submitted by various parties herein, the record of this proceeding as a whole, and after considering all relevant and applicable factors and matters, as well as the County Staff's Report and Recommendations herein, the Will County Board Finds as follows:

The Board has jurisdiction to rule on the Application of Waste Management of Illinois, Inc. for siting approval of a pollution control facility based upon the Applicant's proper notification as provided by Section 39.2 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act in the Will County Ordinance as they pertain to persons and entity that appear on the authentic tax records of County of Will, as well as such other notice requirements set forth in these statutory provisions.

Aye _____	Abstain _____
-----------	---------------

Nay _____	
-----------	--

Further that the hearing held on such Application was conducted in a fundamentally fair manner.

Aye _____	Abstain _____
Nay _____	

CRITERION 1: THE FACILITY IS NECESSARY TO ACCOMMODATE THE WASTE NEEDS OF THE AREA IT IS INTENDED TO SERVE.

The Applicant has demonstrated compliance with Criterion 1:

Aye _____	Abstain _____
Nay _____	

(Conditions attached to Criterion 1): The Will County Board further finds that certain conditions should be imposed as being reasonable and necessary to accomplish the purposes of Section 39.2 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (the “Act”), and that such conditions are not inconsistent with regulations promulgated by the Illinois Pollution Control Board (the “Board”) concerning this type of pollution control facility: (See Attachment “A”).

CRITERION 2: THE FACILITY IS SO DESIGNED, LOCATED AND PROPOSED TO BE OPERATED THAT THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE WILL BE PROTECTED.

The Applicant has demonstrated compliance with Criterion 2:

Aye _____	Abstain _____
Nay _____	

(Conditions attached to Criterion 2): The Will County Board further finds that certain conditions should be imposed as being reasonable and necessary to accomplish the purposes of Section 39.2 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (the “Act”), and that such conditions are not inconsistent with regulations promulgated by the Illinois Pollution Control Board (the “Board”) concerning this type of pollution control facility: (See Attachment “A”).

CRITERION 3: THE FACILITY IS LOCATED SO AS TO MINIMIZE INCOMPATIBILITY WITH THE CHARACTER OF THE SURROUNDING AREA AND TO MINIMIZE THE EFFECT ON THE VALUE OF THE SURROUNDING PROPERTY.

The Applicant has demonstrated compliance with Criterion 3:

Aye _____	Abstain _____
Nay _____	

(Conditions attached to Criterion 3): The Will County Board further finds that certain conditions should be imposed as being reasonable and necessary to accomplish the purposes of Section 39.2 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (the “Act”), and that such conditions are not inconsistent with regulations promulgated by the Illinois Pollution Control Board (the “Board”) concerning this type of pollution control facility: (See Attachment “A”).

CRITERION 4: FOR A FACILITY THAT IS A SANITARY LANDFILL OR WASTE DISPOSAL SITE, THE FACILITY IS LOCATED OUTSIDE THE BOUNDARY OF THE 100-YEAR FLOOD PLAN OR THE SITE IS FLOOD-PROOFED.

The Applicant has demonstrated compliance with Criterion 4:

Aye _____	Abstain _____
Nay _____	

(Conditions attached to Criterion 4): The Will County Board further finds that certain conditions should be imposed as being reasonable and necessary to accomplish the purposes of Section 39.2 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (the “Act”), and that such conditions are not inconsistent with regulations promulgated by the Illinois Pollution Control Board (the “Board”) concerning this type of pollution control facility: (See Attachment “A”).

CRITERION 5: THE PLAN OF OPERATIONS FOR THE FACILITY IS DESIGNED TO MINIMIZE THE DANGER TO THE SURROUNDING AREA FROM FIRE, SPILLS, OR OTHER OPERATIONAL ACCIDENTS.

The Applicant has demonstrated compliance with Criterion 5:

Aye _____	Abstain _____
Nay _____	

(Conditions attached to Criterion 5): The Will County Board further finds that certain conditions should be imposed as being reasonable and necessary to accomplish the purposes of Section 39.2 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (the “Act”), and that such conditions are not inconsistent with regulations promulgated by the Illinois Pollution Control Board (the “Board”) concerning this type of pollution control facility: (See Attachment “A”).

CRITERION 6: TRAFFIC PATTERNS TO OR FROM THE FACILITY ARE SO DESIGNED AS TO MINIMIZE THE IMPACT ON EXISTING TRAFFIC FLOWS.

The Applicant has demonstrated compliance with Criterion 6:

Aye _____	Abstain _____

Nay _____	
-----------	--

(Conditions attached to Criterion 6): The Will County Board further finds that certain conditions should be imposed as being reasonable and necessary to accomplish the purposes of Section 39.2 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (the “Act”), and that such conditions are not inconsistent with regulations promulgated by the Illinois Pollution Control Board (the “Board”) concerning this type of pollution control facility: (See Attachment “A”).

CRITERION 7: IF THE FACILITY WILL BE TREATING, STORING OR DISPOSING OF HAZARDOUS WASTE, AN EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN EXISTS FOR THE FACILITY WHICH INCLUDES NOTIFICATION, CONTAINMENT, AND EVACUATION PROCEDURES TO BE USED IN CASE OF AN ACCIDENTAL RELEASE.

The Applicant has demonstrated compliance with Criterion 7:

Aye _____	Abstain _____
Nay _____	

(Conditions attached to Criterion 7): The Will County Board further finds that certain conditions should be imposed as being reasonable and necessary to accomplish the purposes of Section 39.2 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (the “Act”), and that such conditions are not inconsistent with regulations promulgated by the Illinois Pollution Control Board (the “Board”) concerning this type of pollution control facility: (See Attachment “A”).

CRITERION 8: IF THE FACILITY IS TO BE LOCATED IN A COUNTY WHERE THE COUNTY BOARD HAS ADOPTED A SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN CONSISTENT WITH THE PLANNING REQUIREMENTS OF THE LOCAL SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL ACT OR THE SOLID WASTE PLANNING AND RECYCLING ACT, THE FACILITY IS CONSISTENT WITH THAT PLAN.

The Applicant has demonstrated compliance with Criterion 8:

Aye _____	Abstain _____
Nay _____	

(Conditions attached to Criterion 8): The Will County Board further finds that certain conditions should be imposed as being reasonable and necessary to accomplish the purposes of Section 39.2 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (the “Act”), and that such conditions are not inconsistent with regulations promulgated by the Illinois Pollution Control Board (the “Board”) concerning this type of pollution control facility: (See Attachment “A”).

CRITERION 9: IF THE FACILITY WILL BE LOCATED WITHIN A REGULATED RECHARGE AREA, ANY APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED BY THE BOARD FOR SUCH AREAS HAVE BEEN MET.

The Applicant has demonstrated compliance with Criterion 9:

Aye _____	Abstain _____
Nay _____	

(Conditions attached to Criterion 9): The Will County Board further finds that certain conditions should be imposed as being reasonable and necessary to

accomplish the purposes of Section 39.2 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (the "Act"), and that such conditions are not inconsistent with regulations promulgated by the Illinois Pollution Control Board (the "Board") concerning this type of pollution control facility: (See Attachment "A").

(GENERAL CONDITIONS ATTACHED TO ALL CRITERION)

Certain general conditions should be imposed upon all criterion as being reasonable and necessary to accomplish the purposes of Section 39.2 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (the "Act"), and that such conditions are not inconsistent with regulations promulgated by the Illinois Pollution Control Board (the "Board") concerning this type of pollution control facility: (See Attachment "A").

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Will County Board, that based upon its findings on these nine criteria, the County Board hereby:

Approves the Application for local siting approval.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution becomes effective immediately upon the adoption thereof.

PRESENTED to the Will County Board on the 18th day of January, 2007.

PASSED by the Will County Board on the 18th day of January, 2007.

APPROVED by me as Will County Executive on the _____ day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes ___ No ___ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Piccolin, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: nineteen.

Negative votes: Konicki, Svara. Total: two.

RESOLUTION #07-31 IS ADOPTED

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
James Moustis, Chairman

Member Brandolino turned reporting of Executive Committee over to Member McMillan. Member McMillan gave report in Member Moustis' absence.

Member presented Resolution #07-32, Replacement Hires for Sunny Hill Nursing Home.



**Executive Committee
Resolution #07-32**

**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

**SUNNY HILL NURSING HOME
REPLACEMENT HIRES**

WHEREAS, in accordance with the 55 ILCS 5/2-5009, the County Executive shall "appoint, with the advice and consent of the Board, such subordinate deputies, employees, and appointees for the general administration of county affairs as considered necessary, except those deputies, employees and appointees in the office of an elected county officer", and

WHEREAS, the Will County Executive has presented the attached list for the Sunny Hill replacement hires to the Executive Committee of the County Board, and

WHEREAS, that list has been approved by the Will County Executive Committee in the appropriate manner and now the committee recommends the list to the full Will County Board for approval.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board, in accordance with 55 ILCS 5/2-5009, does hereby concur with the action of its Executive

Committee and the County Executive and gives its consent to the list of names attached to this resolution for the Sunny Hill Nursing Home.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass_____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member McMillan made a motion, seconded by Member Wisniewski, Resolution #07-32 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Piccolin, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svava, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-one

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-32 IS ADOPTED.

Member presented Resolution #07-33, Recognizing Heritage Corridor Convention & Visitor's Bureau as Agency to Promote Convention and Tourism business in the County of Will.



**Executive Committee
Resolution #07-33**

**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

RE: RECOGNIZING THE HERITAGE CORRIDOR CONVENTION & VISITORS BUREAU AS THE AGENCY TO PROMOTE CONVENTION AND TOURISM BUSINESS IN THE COUNTY OF WILL

WHEREAS, the Heritage Corridor Visitors Bureau is a not-for-profit organization established for the purpose of promoting convention and tourism business in this region, and

WHEREAS, the County of Will wishes to encourage the promotion of convention and tourism business to simulate economic development and business relating to tourism and to improve job opportunities.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board recognizes the Heritage Corridor Visitors Bureau as the official agency to promote convention and tourism business on behalf of Will County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby authorizes the Heritage Corridor Visitors Bureau to receive any funding for the purposes of promoting convention and tourism business which may be made available.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass_____ (SEAL) _____
Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007. _____
Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member McMillan made a motion, seconded by Member Babich, Resolution #07-33 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Piccolin, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-one

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-33 IS ADOPTED.

Member McMillan presented Resolution #07-34, Authorizing the County Executive to Execute a Second Amendment to Intergovernmental Cooperation Agreement for Law Enforcement Services Between the County of Will and the Village of Homer Glen.



**Executive Committee
Resolution #07-34**

**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

RE: Authorizing the County Executive to Execute Second Amendment to Intergovernmental Cooperation Agreement for Law Enforcement Services Between the County of Will and the Village of Homer Glen

WHEREAS, 5 ILCS 220/1 et. seq. provides that any power or powers, privileges or authority exercised or which may be exercised by a public agency of this State may be exercised and enjoyed with any other public agency of this State, and

WHEREAS, 5 ILCS 220/5 et. seq. further provides that any one or more units of local government may contract to perform any governmental service, activity or undertaking which any unit of local government entering into the contract is authorized by law to perform, provided that such contract shall be authorized by the governing body of each party to the contract, and

WHEREAS, the Village of Homer Glen has requested that the County of Will enter into a Second Amendment to Intergovernmental Cooperation Agreement for Law Enforcement Services Between the County of Will and the Village of Homer Glen, and

WHEREAS, the Will County State's Attorney's Office has approved the proposed Second Amendment to Intergovernmental Cooperation Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby authorize the Will County Executive to execute the Second Amendment to Intergovernmental Agreement for Law Enforcement Services Between the County of Will and the Village of Homer Glen, in the form substantially attached hereto.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes _____ No _____ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member McMillan made a motion, seconded by Member Konicki, Resolution #07-34 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Piccolin, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-one

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-34 IS ADOPTED.

Member presented Resolution #07-35, Authorizing County Executive to Execute Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement.



**Executive Committee
Resolution #07-35**

**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

***AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE
FREQUENCY RECONFIGURATION AGREEMENT***

WHEREAS, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") issued a report and order to reconfigure the frequency assignments in the 800 MHz band ("Reconfiguration"), including frequency assignments on which Will County is currently authorized to operate and which are at substantial risk of interference from the mobile radio systems operated by Nextel; and

WHEREAS, Nextel and Will County desire to enter into a 800 MHz Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (“Reconfiguration Agreement”) that will define the Parties’ respective obligations regarding the process of rebanding Will County’s system to different 800 MHz channels largely insulated from the risk of harmful interference (“Reconfiguration”), including without limitation, Nextel’s obligation to pay for reasonable costs incurred in effecting the Reconfiguration; and

WHEREAS, this Agreement addresses the terms of payment and other issues relating to Will County’s 800 MHz Reconfiguration; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Committee concurs with the recommendations made at Committee and recommends approval of the Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THAT THE WILL COUNTY BOARD HEREBY AUTHORIZES AND DIRECTS THE WILL COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE THE FREQUENCY RECONFIGURATION AGREEMENT FOR WILL COUNTY’S 800 MHZ RECONFIGURATION ATTACHED HERETO AND MADE A PART HEREOF AS EXHIBIT A AND OTHER RELATED AND NECESSARY DOCUMENTS, SUBJECT TO FINAL REVIEW AND APPROVAL BY THE WILL COUNTY STATE’S ATTORNEY’S OFFICE.

FURTHER, BE IT RESOLVED, THAT THE PREAMBLE OF THIS RESOLUTION IS HEREBY ADOPTED AS IF FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes___ No___ Pass_____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member McMillan made a motion, seconded by Member Wisniewski, Resolution #07-35 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Piccolin, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-one

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-35 IS ADOPTED.

Member presented Resolution #07-36, Authorizing County Executive to Execute Contract for Rebanding Services.



**Executive Committee
Resolution #07-36**

**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

Member McMillan made a motion, seconded by Member Weigel, Resolution #07-36 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Piccolin, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svava, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-one

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-36 IS ADOPTED.

Member presented Resolution #07-37, Supporting the Amendment of Congressman Jerry Weller to the FY 2006 National Defense Authorization.



**Executive Committee
Resolution #07-37**

**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

**RE: SUPPORTING THE AMENDMENT OF CONGRESSMAN JERRY WELLER TO THE
FY 2006 NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION**

WHEREAS, it is the residents of Will County, where the entire footprint of the proposed South Suburban Airport is located, who will have to live with both the benefits and the consequences the new growth will bring to Will County;

WHEREAS, it is the policy of the State of Illinois and Federal Government that processes for procurement, including developer selection be transparent and open;

WHEREAS, within the FY 2006 National Defense Authorization, Congressman Jerry Weller inserted an amendment to protect the taxpayers of Will County who will have the ultimate responsibility for the infrastructure and development associated with the airport;

WHEREAS, the “Weller Amendment” to the 2006 National Defense Authorization provided as follows:

- That Will County residents will receive a majority of the seats on the governing board of the airport.
- That all contractual dealings of the airport follow federal procurement laws and comply with sections 303, 303A, and 303B of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253-253b) as implemented by the Federal Acquisition Regulation issued pursuant to section 25 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 421) regarding land procurement and developer selection.

WHEREAS, the “Weller Amendment” is about local control and transparency in government contracts and does not jeopardize the future of the South Suburban Airport.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Will County Board of Will County, Illinois that:

- Any airport to be located in a single county shall have on its governing board a majority of local residents who live in the county in which such airport is located.
- That the governing board of the South Suburban Airport, to be located solely in Will County, Illinois shall have on its governing board a majority of residents who live in Will County.
- That it is in the best interest of the Citizens of Will County and the State of Illinois that all procurement for the airport be transparent and subject to open bidding.
- That the Will County Board reaffirms its support of the Congressman Weller amendment to the FY 2006 National Defense Authorization requiring:
 - That the governing body of the South Suburban Airport be composed of a majority of local residents who live in the Will County; and
 - Comply with sections 303, 303A, and 303B of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253-253b) as implemented by the Federal Acquisition Regulation issued pursuant to section 25 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 421) regarding land procurement and developer selection in order to insure transparency and open bidding in the procurement processes.

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED that the Will County Clerk transmit a copy of this resolution to all members of Will County's Federal Congressional Delegation and United States Senators Richard Durbin and Barack Obama.

FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED that the preamble of this resolution are hereby incorporated herein as if fully set forth.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes _____ No _____ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member McMillan made a motion, seconded by Member Wisniewski, Resolution #07-37 be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Piccolin, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svara, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-one

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-37 IS ADOPTED.

Member presented Resolution #07-38, Authorizing County Executive to Execute Change Order to Teng Architectural/Engineering Contract for Adult Detention Facility Expansion.



**Executive Committee
Resolution #07-38**

**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY BOARD
WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

AUTHORIZING COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE CHANGE ORDER TO TENG ARCHITECTURAL/ENGINEERING CONTRACT FOR ADF EXPANSION

WHEREAS, on August 19, 2004, the Will County Board passed a resolution authorizing the County Executive to execute a professional services contract with Teng & Associates for architectural and engineering design work in conjunction with the Will County Adult Detention Facility expansion, and

WHEREAS, Teng & Associates has submitted to the Public Building Commission a Change Order dated December 19, 2006 to the original contract approved in August, 2004, pursuant to paragraph 1.3.3.2, in the amount of \$946,285.00, due to the additional design work for multiple projects and the design of alternative bid scenarios, and

WHEREAS, the Executive Director of the Will County Public Building Commission has submitted to the County Board said Change Order with Teng & Associates in the amount of \$946,285.00, and has verified to the Will County Executive Committee that all the additional design work and alternative bid scenarios were in fact necessary and have been completed and recommends approval, and

WHEREAS, based upon representation made, the Executive Committee recommends approval of this Change Order with Teng & Associates in the amount of \$946,285.00 to the full County Board for consideration.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Will County Board hereby authorizes and directs the Will County Executive to execute the Teng & Associates Change Order dated December 19, 2006, to the original contract executed in August, 2004, for the expansion of the Will County Adult Detention Facility in the amount of \$946,285.00.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Preamble of this Resolution is hereby adopted as if fully set herein. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon its passage and approval as provided by law.

Adopted by the Will County Board this 18th day of January, 2007.

Vote: Yes ___ No ___ Pass _____ (SEAL)

Nancy Schultz Voots
Will County Clerk

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2007.

Lawrence M. Walsh
Will County Executive

Member McMillan made a motion, seconded by Member Gerl, to table Resolution #07-38.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Piccolin, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svava, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-one

No negative votes.

RESOLUTION #07-38 IS TABLED.

APPOINTMENTS BY THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

Member McMillan presented the Appointments by the County Executive. Member McMillan announced a scrivener's error on the Joliet Arsenal Appointment as Enterprise Zone and should be the Joliet Arsenal Development Authority 70 ILCS 508.

LAWRENCE M. WALSH
WILL COUNTY EXECUTIVE

WILL COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING • 302 N. CHICAGO STREET • JOLIET, ILLINOIS 60432

**APPOINTMENTS BY THE WILL COUNTY
EXECUTIVE**

January 2007

**Joliet City Center Redevelopment Project Area (TIF District) –
Joint Review Board**

(65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq)

Pat McGuire Will County Treasurer

302 N. Chicago Street, Joliet, IL 60432

New appointment – replaces Karen Callanan

Board History

In 2000, the *County of Will*, Joliet Grade School District No. 86, Joliet Township High School District No.

204, Joliet Township, the Joliet Park District, Joliet Junior College and the Will County Forest Preserve

District supported the establishment of the Joliet City Center Redevelopment Project Area (TIF District)

and recommended the adoption of the Joliet City Center Redevelopment Plan.

Since then, six major projects were launched including the Louis Joliet Hotel, the Loughran building, the Blackhall building, the Klines Building, the Munroe Building, and the YMCA Building. Per the City of

Joliet, the redevelopment of these historically and architecturally significant buildings could not have been

accomplished without the use of TIF incentives.

The *Joint Review Board is an advisory intergovernmental panel* consisting of representatives from all

affected taxing bodies. The Board is required to review proposed TIF expansions and make written

recommendations to the Joliet City Council. Will County is entitled to provide a representative to serve on the Joint Review Board since the County of Will is one of the taxing bodies impacted by this TIF District.

Karen Callanan previous served in this post, but has not been involved for the past few years.

Submitted to County Board December 19, 2006

LAWRENCE M. WALSH
WILL COUNTY EXECUTIVE

WILL COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING • 302 N. CHICAGO STREET • JOLIET, ILLINOIS 60432

**APPOINTMENTS BY THE WILL COUNTY
EXECUTIVE**

January 2007

Will County Workforce Investment Board (WIB – District 10)

Korey Adams – Joliet Job Corps

1101 Mills Road, Joliet, IL 60433

New appointment – replaces Lorraine Lane – resigned her board position post due to promotion
Term expires March 20, 2009

Ed Winfrey – Caterpillar

P.O. Box 504, Joliet, IL 60434

New appointment – new private sector member to the WIB
Term expires March 20, 2008

Kathy Mitchell – Adventist Bolingbrook Hospital

400 Medical Center Drive, Bolingbrook, IL 60440

New appointment – replaces Issac Palmer – resigned his board position
Term expires March 20, 2009

NOTE: This board is required to be comprised of a minimum of 51% private sector members. In addition, there are a set of required public sector appointments. Roughly one-third of the board is appointed each year. For more information on the make-up of the board, please contact the County Executive's office or Pat Fera in the WIB office.

**Submitted to the Will County Board – December 19, 2006*

LAWRENCE M. WALSH
WILL COUNTY EXECUTIVE

WILL COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING • 302 N. CHICAGO STREET • JOLIET, ILLINOIS 60432

**APPOINTMENTS BY THE WILL COUNTY
EXECUTIVE**

January 2007

Will County Center for Community Concerns

Bylaws – Article III - V

Katrina Deutsche – Monee Township Trustee

2400 W. Elmscourt Lane, Crete, IL 60417

New appointment – replaces Peotone Township Supervisor Jack Pierce (resigning)

Ms. Deutsche is a resident of Will County and qualified to serve on this board.

Note: This particular appointment has traditionally been reserved for an elected official in the Eastern Will County area.

Duties and jurisdiction of Commission. (Will County Center for Community Concerns Bylaws)

Article III, #4 – “Members must be at least twenty-one (21) years of age and resident of Will County.”

Article V, Board Composition

#1 “One-third (5) of the members are to be elected officials currently holding public office, or their representatives. Public officials shall be appointed and serve at the pleasure of the chief elected official of the county.”

#2 “One-third (5) members are to be representative of business, industry, labor, religious, welfare, or other private groups. The private sector members are to be selected by the incumbent board. The board shall draw representation not only among private social service agencies, educational institutions, and other private organizations within the county, but also from among business, industry, and labor organizations.

LAWRENCE M. WALSH
WILL COUNTY EXECUTIVE

WILL COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING • 302 N. CHICAGO STREET • JOLIET, ILLINOIS 60432

**APPOINTMENTS BY THE WILL COUNTY
EXECUTIVE**

January 2007

Community Development Block Grant Home Advisory Board
County Board Resolution 81-237 & 93-174

Patricia (Patti) Schoenbeck – Monee Township Supervisor

3221 W. Crete-Monee Road, Monee, IL 60449

New appointment – Replaces Mary Ann Gearhardt Deutsche (resigned as Supervisor)

Wayne McMillan – Majority Leader

1444 Clear Drive, Bolingbrook, IL 60490

New appointment – Replaces Richard Brandolino

Board history: The purpose of the Advisory Board shall be to act in accordance with HUD regulations at 24 CFR Part 570.501

to: establish, review, amend and recommend to the County Board for final approval, all Will County CDBG and Home Program

policies; to establish review, amend and recommend to the County Board for approval all locally generated program manuals; to

act on requests for wavier of local policies; and to accept and review applications for funding assistance for the CDBG and

Home programs eligible applications and to forward such waiver of policy requests and funding recommendations to the Will

County Executive Committee for action by the full County Board; and to conduct Public Hearings relating to the CDBG and

Home Programs as required.

Appointment Information (Article III of bylaws): Each County Board District which contains eligible sub-recipients for

Federal CDBG Funding shall have a District Representative on the Advisory Board (i.e. District 1 through 8) such membership

occupied by the Chief Elected official of either a municipality or townships. There shall be a maximum of eight such District

Representatives; The County shall have five County Representatives on the Advisory Board with such member being occupied

by the County Executive, Executive Committee Chair; Majority Leader; Minority Leader and Board Member at Large. In

addition to the District and County Representatives, the Advisory Board shall contain one such rep from a Public Housing

Authority within the County, and one such rep from a not for profit agency. The total membership of the Advisory Board shall

be fifteen members.

Submitted to County Board December 19, 2006

LAWRENCE M. WALSH
WILL COUNTY EXECUTIVE

WILL COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING • 302 N. CHICAGO STREET • JOLIET, ILLINOIS 60432

**APPOINTMENTS BY THE WILL COUNTY
EXECUTIVE**

January 2007

Will County Planning & Zoning Commission

55 ILCS 5/5-12010 & Will County Zoning Ordinance Section 14.4-1

Barbara Peterson Washington Township – Eastern area

2124 W. Church Rd., Beecher, IL 60401-5058

County Board District 1

Re-appointment – Term expires September 1, 2011

Commission qualifications (PZC By-Laws ---- Article III – Membership)

Section I. Members. The PZC shall consist of seven (7) voting members appointed by the County Executive and confirmed by the County Board. A quorum shall consist of five (5) members.

Section II. Geographical Territories. In order to provide broadly-based and representative participation in its deliberations and recommendations, subsequent members of the PZC shall be appointed from among residents of Will County as follows:

- A. One (1) member from each of the five (5) geographical areas of Will County designated as:
 - i. The **Northwestern area**, consisting of the Townships of Wheatland, DuPage, Plainfield, Lockport, Troy and Joliet;
 - ii. The **Southwestern area**, consisting of the Townships of Channahon, Jackson, Wilmington, Florence, Reed, Custer and Wesley;
 - iii. The **Northcentral area**, consisting of the Townships of Homer, New Lenox, and Frankfort;
 - iv. The **Southcentral area**, consisting of the Townships of Manhattan, Green Garden, Wilton, and Peotone;
 - v. The **Eastern area**, consisting of the Townships of Monee, Crete, Will and Washington.

Two (2) members from any of the five (5) designated geographical areas of Will County, except that such members may not be from the same Township as any other serving member, and that none of the five (5) designated geographical areas of Will County shall be represented by more than two (2) of the seven (7) PZC members.

C. All five (5) designated geographical areas of Will County shall be represented on the PZC, before any such areas may be represented by a second member.

Submitted to County Board December 19, 2006

LAWRENCE M. WALSH
WILL COUNTY EXECUTIVE

WILL COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING • 302 N. CHICAGO STREET • JOLIET, ILLINOIS 60432

**APPOINTMENTS BY THE WILL COUNTY
EXECUTIVE**

January 2007

Sunny Hill Sanatorium & Clinic Board

Bylaws of the Sunny Hill Sanatorium and Clinic

Dr. Hari Gadde

330 N. Madison Street, Joliet, IL 60435

New appointment – Serves until a qualified successor has been appointed.

Note: Dr. Gadde is a Pumonary doctor. This brings the number of doctors on this board to two.

Purpose:

Tuberculosis The name is the Sunny Hill sanatorium and Clinic, formerly known as Will County
Sanatorium, organized under the Illinois Act relating to the care and treatment of persons
afflicted
with tuberculosis.

Membership:

1. shall The Governing Board of Sunny Hill Sanatorium shall be composed of five members who
County serve until a successor is appointed by the County Executive with the consent of the Will
Board. Board.
2. Board of The said Governing Board shall be known as the Sunny Hill Sanatorium and Clinic
Directors. Not more than one new member shall be appointed annually.
3. At least one member of the Board of Directors shall be a qualified, license physician.

Submitted to County Board December 19, 2006

LAWRENCE M. WALSH
WILL COUNTY EXECUTIVE

WILL COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING • 302 N. CHICAGO STREET • JOLIET, ILLINOIS 60432

**APPOINTMENTS BY THE WILL COUNTY
EXECUTIVE**

January 2007

Godley Public Water District

70 ILCS 3705 Public Water District Acts

Frank Willis

1303 E. Adams Street, Godley, IL 60407

*This is a Will County appointment and he is a resident of Godley.

New Appointment -- Term expires May 1, 2010

Thomas Neary

288 S. Center Street, Godley, IL 60407

*This is a Will County appointment and he is a resident of Godley.

New Appointment -- Term expires May 1, 2010

Note: This board has been particularly hard to fill. With these appointments there will be 5 members from

Will County and 2 from Grundy County.

Board information: (70 ILCS 3705/4) (from Ch. 111 2/3, par. 191)

Sec. 4. A board of trustees consisting of 7 members for the government, control and management of the affairs of the business of each such water district organized under this Act shall be created in the following manner:

(1) If the district lies wholly within a single township but does not also lie wholly within a municipality, the board of trustees of that township shall appoint the trustees for the district but no voting member of the township board is eligible for such appointment;

(2) If the district is wholly contained within a municipality, the governing body of the municipality shall appoint the trustees for the district;

(3) If the district is wholly contained within a single county, the trustees for the district shall be appointed by the presiding officer of the county board with the advice and consent of the

of the county board;

(4) If the district is located in more than one county, the number of trustees who are residents of a county shall be in proportion, as nearly as practicable, to the number of residents of the district who reside in that county in relation to the total population of the district. Trustees shall be appointed by the county board of their respective counties, or in the case of a home rule county as defined by Article VII, Section 6 of the Constitution of 1970, by the chief executive officer of that county with the advice and consent of the county board.

**Submitted to the Will County Board – December 19, 2006*

LAWRENCE M. WALSH
WILL COUNTY EXECUTIVE

WILL COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING • 302 N. CHICAGO STREET • JOLIET, ILLINOIS 60432

Phone (815) 774-7480

Fax: (815) 740-4600

APPOINTMENTS BY THE WILL COUNTY EXECUTIVE

January 2007

AMENDED

Due to Scrivener's error

Joliet Arsenal Enterprise Zone Development Authority
70 ILCS 508
& County Board Ordinance No. 02-431 & 02-432

Walter Strawn – Elwood Representative

114 N. St. Louis Street, Elwood, IL

Re-appointment – Term Expires January 1, 2011

*Recommended by Elwood Village President Robert Blum

Board information:

The Joliet Arsenal Development Authority (JADA) is a quasi-public organization. Pursuant to the Illinois Land Conservation Act of 1995, 16 USCAs 1609 (PL104-106, 1996 S 1124 Sec. 2897 (c)), the Illinois General Assembly authorized the creation of JADA which is governed by P.A. 89-333. The purpose of the Authority "is to facilitate and promote the utilization of property formerly occupied and used by the United States government as an ammunition plant and arsenal and to replace and enhance the economic benefits generated by those former uses with diversified projects and land uses that will create new job opportunities and foster new economic development within the area."

The Authority's Board of Directors has been appointed and the Authority has prepared and ratified a preliminary development plan to assist it in its efforts to acquire, prepare and market the site to other developers. The Authority has been in the process of organizing its start-up operations, employing staff and identifying specific work activities that need to be undertaken to help it accomplish its goals and objectives of private development of the arsenal property.

**There are 5 appointments made by the Will County Executive and 4 made by the Governor.

**Submitted to the Will County Board – December 19, 2006*

Member McMillan made a motion, seconded by Member Bilotta, Appointments by the County Executive be approved.

Voting Affirmative were: McMillan, Piccolin, Brandolino, Weigel, Dralle, Riley, Wisniewski, Kusta, Blackburn, Gerl, Gould, Rozak, Sheridan, Bilotta, Konicki, Svava, Stewart, Travis, Adamic, Babich, Wilhelmi. Total: twenty-one

No negative votes.

APPOINTMENTS BY THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE ARE APPROVED.

ANNOUNCEMENTS BY COUNTY BOARD CHAIRMAN

James Moustis

Member Moustis was absent.

ANNOUNCEMENTS BY MAJORITY LEADER

Wayne McMillan

Member McMillan announced he wished Member Woods a speedy recovery from her surgery. One of the resolutions that were passed today, each and everyone was in reference to the Defense Bill and the amendment from Congressman Weller. Everyone here, both sides of the isle, make sure the correspondence is submitted in support of the local jurisdiction of the Peotone Airport, should it ever become a reality. Member Moustis had to leave because he had a doctor's appointment, he wished Member Moustis well in his endeavors. He wished everyone a Happy New Year and encouraged everyone to get up to Bolingbrook for the luncheon.

ANNOUNCEMENTS BY MINORITY LEADER

Margie Woods

Member Adamic commented in Member Wood's absence.

Member Adamic stated Member Woods is still recuperating and will be back with us next month. Again today, there was the JCA Volleyball girl's team and it is refreshing when you see young people work together and come back with a trophy that is certainly an honor for the community. This month we honored Dr. Martin Luther King, his birthday on the 15th of January and the ideals he stood for. He feels we are better off for all of those ideals that he stood for. With the New Year, he would like to pledge to work more efficiently and effectively for the tax payers of Will County

Executive Walsh stated Member Adamic had very good comments and they get better each month.

Member Bilotta announced there was a Land Use Planning & Zoning Meeting after the County Board Meeting. He apologized to everyone.

Member Wisniewski made a motion, seconded by Member Brandolino to adjourn to February 15, 2006 at 9:30 a.m.

MOTION CARRIED BY VOICE VOTE.